Prevalence of myopia among the medical students in western India vis-à-vis the east Asian epidemic
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Abstract: The prevalence of myopia among students has reached epidemic proportions in east Asia. Indian data also suggests a high prevalence, especially in the southeast. We examined the trend locally, in 148 first MBBS students at a medical college in Pune, located in Maharashtra, a western state of India. We report a prevalence of 45% and compare it to that reported globally as well as from different regions of India. In the study population, we conclude, though myopia is quite prevalent, the east Asian trend of epidemic proportions is not yet evident. We emphasize timely institution of preventive measures to prevent an epidemic of myopia in India.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Refractive error is the inability of the optical system to bring an image to a sharp focus on the retina. In myopia, the image falls short of retina, adversely affecting the distance vision. Like in many other diseases, both nature and nurture have been blamed [1]. In India, the prevalence of myopia is 7-11% in 15 year old and 35% in adults [2]. Myopia increases the risk of cataract, glaucoma, retinal detachment, macular degeneration, choroidal neovascularization, visual impairment and blindness. It imposes substantial economic burden for the patients, immense societal costs and public health impact [3]. Recent reports suggest an Asian, especially east Asian trend of high prevalence of myopia among students, reaching ‘epidemic’ proportions [4-7]. Lately, MBBS students in India are also reported to have a high prevalence [8-10]. This study examined the prevalence of myopia among first MBBS students to confirm if this is true for this part of western India.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Study design

This study was designed as a cross sectional descriptive study. The prevalence of myopia was calculated as proportion of the participants with myopia.

2.2 Study population

The sole inclusion criterion was: being a first MBBS student. The exclusion criteria included past history of glaucoma, diabetes mellitus, surgery or ocular injury. Institutional ethics committee clearance was obtained and 148 first MBBS students at a medical college in western Maharashtra volunteered to recruit. The mean (SD) age of the participants was 18.82 (1.34) years, age having been recorded as the number of completed years as on the nearer birthday. The sex distribution was 59% (88/148) males and 41% (60/148) females.

2.3 Diagnosis of myopia

A subject was classified as having myopia if the distance visual acuity (DVA) was worse than 20/20 in at least one of the eyes, which could be improved with the optical correction last prescribed or a pinhole instead.

2.4 Data collection

After informed consent, DVA was determined without the prescribed optical correction, if any, using a Snellen’s test type. If it was worse than 20/20, the test was repeated with the optical correction last prescribed or with a pinhole, in case the optical correction was not yet prescribed.

DVA recorded was collapsed into categorical dichotomous scale, based on the presence or absence of myopia. Any improvement in the DVA with the optical correction last prescribed (or a pinhole), was recorded directly on a categorical dichotomous scale.

2.5 Statistical analysis

Prevalence of myopia was calculated as a proportion of subjects detected to have myopia, per hundred participants.
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III. RESULTS

Forty five percent (67/148) subjects were detected to have myopia.

IV. DISCUSSION

The prevalence of 45% reported in this study is compared with that reported globally (TABLE 1) and other parts of India (TABLE 2). It was much lower than that reported from Taiwan, Singapore, China and Pakistan; slightly lower than that in Norway and Denmark; higher than that in Turkey and Poland [1, 4-6, 11-15].

Table 1: Prevalence of myopia in medical students: comparison with global data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study authors [Reference]</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Fledelius HC [13]</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Onal S, et al [1]</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Mozolewska-Piotrowska K, et al [14]</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The prevalence of 45% in western Maharashtra that we report is much lower than that reported earlier from southeastern, western and central India [8-10].

Table 2: Prevalence of myopia in medical students: comparison with the Indian data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region of India</th>
<th>Study authors [Reference]</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Southeastern</td>
<td>Chalasani S, et al [8]</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western</td>
<td>Kathrotia RG, et al [9]</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Wakode NS, et al [10]</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western</td>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Medical students have high prevalence of myopia, reaching epidemic proportions, in the east Asia [4-6]. Even the school children in this region have developed very high prevalence of epidemic proportions [7]. Researchers point to a recent trend of high level of curricular near work indulged by the children in this region. Medical students too, have to indulge in a lot of curricular near work, probably predisposing them to development of myopia. Furthermore, excessive near work indulged by students is a known risk factor for myopia [16]. Therefore, it is possible that academic competition led to selection of more myopes for medical education.

Excessive near work as a risk factor for myopia is underlined by the findings of the following studies. As per a study published in 1969, the then recently acculturated Eskimos showed a very large difference in prevalence between older subjects (1.5%), who had little or no schooling vis-à-vis the younger subjects (51%), who had compulsory schooling [17]. The high prevalence of myopia in these younger Eskimos was thought to be due to the then recently introduced excessive near work of reading [17]. Optometry students, who perform extensive near work, were detected to have been at risk of developing myopia. Their myopic progression was related to the high demands for near work and could be slowed in vacations while near work decreased [18].

It has also been suggested that increasing westernization, particularly the availability of store-bought food that was high in sugars and carbohydrate, may have been associated with the rapid increase of myopia noted in the then recently acculturated Eskimos [17].

V. CONCLUSION

Myopia is quite prevalent in the study population but the east Asian trend of epidemic proportions has not yet arrived. Prevalence is also much lower than that reported earlier among the medical students from different regions of India. The trend needs to be substantiated with larger studies on the various groups at risk. Absence of dropout is an advantage of this study. We recognize lack of auto-refraction as a limitation. This
study points to the window of opportunity still available to institute possible preventive measures against myopia before it assumes epidemic proportions in our population.
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