Clinical study to identify causative organism in microbial keratitis, their sensitivity pattern and treatment outcome.
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Abstract:
Purpose – to determine epidemiological characteristic, predisposing factor, microbiological pattern, and sensitivity pattern and treatment outcome of microbial keratitis.

METHOD – The present study was conducted in 100 cases. the patient were analyzed in accordance with demographic profile, predisposing factor, microbiological status of patient in reference to 10% KOH, gram’s stain , culture sensitivity and treatment advised in accordance with the diagnosis of the patient.

RESULT – During analysis following data were deduced, 52%, 36%, 9% and 3% had bacterial, fungal, viral and mixed flora respectively It was noted that patients involved in agriculture activity or those suffering from trauma inflicted with vegetative material had more risk of developing microbial keratitis that is 44%. Overall culture was positive in 12% of bacterial and mixed infection whereas only 6% of fungal keratitis clinically diagnosed, had culture positivity, whereas viral keratitis was diagnosed clinically only, who showed adequate responses to antiviral treatment. In bacterial keratitis surgical intervention was required in 7.69%, BCL in 9.6%, Perforation occurs in 19.2%, whereas it healed in 39.61% and conjunctival hooing in 3.8%. In fungal keratitis 27.77%, 13.8%, 41.6% and 36.11% respectively and in viral keratitis 11.11% require surgery, 55.55% healed, BCL in 11.11%, 22% pthisical in viral.

CONCLUSION – Study shows bacterial keratitis is more common than fungal & viral with male predominance in younger age .trauma by vegetative material or injury is most common risk factor, final diagnosis based on risk factor, clinical feature, response to treatment .Viral keratitis diagnosed clinically. Bacterial keratitis has better prognosis than fungal and viral.
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I. Introduction

Microbial keratitis is one of the leading cause of avoidable blindness in developing nations surveys in many parts of world have revealed that corneal scarring is an important cause of blindness and visual impairment ( upadhyaya et al 1991; shrinivasan et al 1997;norina et al 2008; whitcher et al 1997; chirambo et al 1986).blindness survey in Nepal (brilliant et al,1985)too showed that corneal trauma and ulceration are second leading cause of unilateral blindness after cataract and are responsible for 7.9% of all blindness.

The incidence of microbial keratitis varies from place to place from USA has an incidence of 11 per 100,000 persons for microbial keratitis as compared to 799 per 100,000 persons in Nepal(upadhyaya et al 2001).epidemiological features and causative organisms for keratitis varies from region to region within the same country.

The clinical diagnosis of microbial keratitis often relies on a thorough specific history of infectious exposure , epilogial trends and morphological feature(upadhyaya et al 2001).furthermore , the course of the disease and patient management is directly affected by the lack of proper diagnosis and initiation of appropriate antimicrobial treatment. To begin the proper management required quick and accurate identification of causative microorganisms. Therefore, the evaluation of the etiological diagnosis region wise and sensitivity pattern of isolated microorganisms provide the valuable information for initiation of their management. For this, some studies in Indian subcontinent have provided the important data so this study was conducted in conducted in UPGRADED DEPATMENT OF OPHTHALMOLOGY, N.S.C.B. medical college and hospital, Jabalpur (M.P.).

Most of the studies about microbial keratitis have primarily evaluated epidemiological features, predisposing Factors and clinical features of corneal ulceration (Whitcher et al, 1997; Srinivasan et al, 1997; Norina et al, 2008; Upadhyaya et al, 1991; Williams et al, 1987), but this study, along with the epidemiological pattern and identification of causative microorganisms, tried to include the sensitivity pattern of bacterial isolates, treatment modalities and their outcome. (Dhakhwa et al Nepal 2012 ).
II. Materials and methods

The present study was conducted in 100 cases in UPGRADED DEPARTMENT OF OPHTHALMOLOGY, N.S.C.B. medical college and hospital, Jabalpur (M.P.) from August 2012 to August 2013. The patient were analyzed in accordance with demographic profile, predisposing factor, microbiological status of patient in reference to 10% KOH, gram’s stain, culture sensitivity and treatment advised in accordance with the diagnosis of the patient. Patients were seen consecutively after the initial clinical diagnosis of corneal ulceration was made. Ulceration was defined as a loss of the corneal epithelium with underlying stromal infiltration and suppuration associated with signs of inflammation with or without hypopyon. Typical healing ulcers were excluded, as were Mooren’s ulcers, marginal keratitis, interstitial keratitis, sterile neuro-trophic ulcers and any Ulcers associated with autoimmune conditions.

Statistics: All case report forms were checked for completeness and inappropriate or illogical responses. The forms were entered using Microsoft 2007 Excel worksheet. The databases were validated and all inconsistencies and differences were resolved. Statistical analyses were performed using STATA 12 for Windows (StataCorp LP, Texas, USA). Categorical data are presented as frequency counts (percent) and compared using the chi-square or Fisher’s exact statistic as appropriate. Odds Ratio and 95% Confidence Intervals were also presented for 2 x 2 contingency tables. The significance level was considered Alpha =0.05 and at 95% confidence level.

Clinical procedure

Every patient was examined on the slit-lamp biomicroscope. The size of the epithelial defect after staining with fluorescein was measured with the variable slit on the slit-lamp and recorded in millimeters on a standardized form. The presence or absence of a hypopyon was recorded; and the height of the hypopyon was measured in millimeters. Associated ocular conditions such as blepharitis, dacryocystitis, dry eyes, corneal anesthesia, lagophthalmos, any surgery on the cornea, use of contact lens, or ocular leprosy were noted. The use of topical medication including topical corticosteroids was also noted. After a detailed ocular examination, corneal scrapings were performed under aseptic conditions on each ulcer by ophthalmologist using a flame sterilized Kimura spatula. Scrapings were performed in the slit lamp after instillation of 4 % lignocaine (lidocaine). Material was obtained from scraping, the leading edge and the base of each ulcer, was inoculated directly onto Blood agar, and Sabaraud-Dextrose agar (SDA). Material from the corneal scraping was also taken on two separate glass slides for smear: one for Gram stain and the other for microscopic examination in the clinic as a KOH wet mount. All KOH smears were then sent to the laboratory for confirmation.

Laboratory procedure

All bacterial cultures were incubated aerobically at 37 °C. Cultures on blood agar were evaluated at 24 hours and then discarded if there was no growth. Fungal cultures inoculated onto SDA were incubated at 27°C, examined daily, and discarded after 2 weeks if no growth was present in culture. Microbial cultures were considered positive only if growth of the same organism was demonstrated on two or more solid media; or there was semi confluent growth at the site of inoculation on one solid medium associated with the identification of the organism of appropriate morphology and staining characteristics on Gram stain or KOH mounted corneal smears. The specific identification of bacterial pathogens was based on microscopic morphology, staining characteristics, and biochemical properties using standard laboratory criteria. Fungi were identified by their colony characteristics on SDA. All culture positive samples were tested for their sensitivity pattern with commonly available/used antimicrobials. Treatment decision was based on clinical judgment and response to empirical treatment. Culture & sensitivity pattern was taken into account after 48 hours when culture sensitivity report was available of bacterial growth.

III. Results

Incidence of keratitis

In this study out of 100 cases, 52% are bacterial keratitis, 36% are fungal, 9% viral and 3% are mixed (Fig.1).
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**Fig 1: Incidence various keratitis in 100 cases.**

**Epidemiological characteristics**

100 cases with the clinical diagnosis of corneal ulcers were enrolled in this study 69 cases were males and 31 were females. Ulceration occurred most frequently in the age group of 30-39 years in 38 cases, followed by 28 cases in the age group of less than 30 years. (Fig. 2).

**Fig 2: Age and sex distribution of 100 patients with corneal ulceration**

**Predisposing factors/ risk factors**

Patients with history of trauma or injury by vegetative material are at more risk for development of corneal ulcer than other risk factors. (table.1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>% at risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Acute conjunctivitis</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Dacriocystitis</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>No past history</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>undetermined</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table1: predisposing/risk factors distribution of 100 patients for development of corneal ulceration

**Microbial diagnosis**

Cultures were positive and fulfilled the criteria established for the presence of infection. shows 12% cultures, exhibited pure bacterial growth, although 3% cultures were mixed type. Pure fungal growth was present in 6% all cases of corneal ulcers (table. 2)
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This study included 100 cases of keratitis out of which In bacterial keratitis surgical intervention was required in 7.69%, BCL in 9.6%, Perforation occur in 19.2%, whereas it healed in 59.61% and conjunctival hooding in 3.8%. In fungal keratitis 27.77%, 13.8%, 41.6% and 36.11% respectively and in viral keratitis 11.11% require surgery, 55.55% healed, BCL in 11.11%, 22% pthisical in viral.

### Table 2: Microbial growth pattern in 100 cases of corneal ulcers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.no.</th>
<th>Type of organism</th>
<th>Type of intervention</th>
<th>Bacterial keratitis</th>
<th>Fungal keratitis</th>
<th>Viral keratitis</th>
<th>Mixed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Staph. Aureus</td>
<td>7.69%</td>
<td>27.77%</td>
<td>11.11%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Staph. Albus</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>11.11%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Staph. Aureus + coagulase +ve B. xerosis</td>
<td>59.6%</td>
<td>36.11%</td>
<td>55.55%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Staph. Aureus + coagulase +ve pneumococcal</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>41.6%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Candida</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Aspergillus</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22.22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>****</td>
<td>****</td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IV. Discussion

In many parts of the world, mainly in the developing countries, corneal ulceration is the major cause of blindness. As a result, corneal scarring is the second only to cataract as the most cause of visual disability in the world today (Whitcher et al 1997; Sinhivasan et al 1997; Norina et al 2008; Upadhyaya et al 1991; Chirambo et al 1986; Brilliant LB et al, 1985). During analysis following data were deduced, 52%, 36%, 9% and 3% had bacterial, fungal, viral and mixed flora respectively. It was noted that patients involved in agriculture activity or those suffering from trauma inflicted with vegetative material had more risk of developing microbial keratitis that is 44%. Overall culture was positive in 12% of bacterial and mixed infection whereas only 6% of fungal keratitis clinically diagnosed, had culture positivity, whereas viral keratitis was diagnosed clinically only, who showed adequate responses to antiviral treatment. In bacterial keratitis surgical intervention was required in 7.69%, BCL in 9.6%, Perforation occur in 19.2%, whereas it healed in 59.61% and conjunctival hooding in 3.8%. In fungal keratitis 27.77%, 13.8%, 41.6% and 36.11% respectively and in viral keratitis 11.11% require surgery, 55.55% healed, BCL in 11.11%, 22% pthisical in viral. Study shows bacterial keratitis is more common than fungal & viral with male predominance in younger age. Trauma by vegetative material or injury is most common risk factor, final diagnosis based on risk factor, clinical feature, response to treatment. Viral keratitis diagnosed clinically. Bacterial keratitis has better prognosis than fungal and viral.
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