# An Improved Ant-Based Algorithm for Minimum Degree Spanning Tree Problems 

Md. Niaz Imtiaz ${ }^{1}$, Md.Akkas Ali ${ }^{2}$<br>${ }^{1,2}$ Lecturer, Dept. of CSE, University of Information Technology \& Sciences (UITS), Baridhara, Dhaka-1212, Bangladesh


#### Abstract

A spanning tree of à connected graph is à sub graph, with least number of edges that still spans. The problem of finding degree constraint spanning tree is known to be NP-hard. In this paper we discuss an Ant-Based algorithm for finding minimum degree spanning trees and give improvement of the algorithm. We also show comparisons among the three algorithms and find the best improved Ant-Based algorithm. Extensive experimental results show that our improved algorithm performs very well against other algorithms on a set of 50 problem instances.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

This paper describes Ant-Based algorithms for minimum degree spanning tree (AB-MDST) of unweighted connected graph. This is an interesting, real-world problem that seems well suited to an ant algorithm approach. The AB-MDST problem entails finding a spanning tree such that the maximum degree of a vertex in the tree becomes minimum [2]. This concept is useful in the design of telecommunication networks, design of networks for computer communication, design of integrated circuits, energy networks, transportation, logistics, and sewage networks [3]. For instance, switches in an actual communication network will have limited number of connections available. Transportation systems must place a limit on the number of roads meeting in one place.

The problem of finding degree constraint spanning tree is NP-hard [9]. Therefore, heuristics are often used to find good solutions in a reasonable amount of time [10]. We have used one type of heuristic called Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) [10]. Here, artificial ants move based on local information and pheromone levels. Our algorithm uses cumulative pheromone levels to determine candidate set of edges from which minimum degree spanning trees are built [7]. In this paper, we compare 3 algorithms - AB-MDST without local search and without degree constraint, AB-MDST with local search but without degree constraint and the last one ABMDST with local search and with degree constraint. Extensive experimental results show that AB-MDST with local search and with degree constraint performs very well against other algorithms.

The rest of the paper is organized as follow. In section 2 Our Ant-Based algorithm and two improved versions of that algorithm are described. Section 3 compares the performances of the three algorithms. The conclusion is given in section 4.

## II. Methodology

### 2.1 Initialization

Initially one ant is assigned to each vertex of the graph. In the next step, pheromone is assigned to each edge using the formula $P[i][j]=(M-d[j])+(M-m) / 3$, where $P[i][j]$ is pheromone level of edge $(i, j), d[j]$ is degree of $j$ vertex, $M$ is maximum degree of the input Graph and $m$ is minimum degree of the input Graph [6]. Note that if a vertex has smaller degree, the edges connected to that vertex have higher pheromone levels.

### 2.2 Exploration

In each step each ant moves along one of the adjacent edge of its present vertex and after moving, the pheromone level of that edge is enhanced using the formula $\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{i}][\mathrm{j}]=(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{j}])+(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{m}) / 3$. This event occurs until all the ants visit all the vertices [10]. In the tree construction section, a set C of candidate edges based on pheromone levels is identified. From C a spanning tree T is constructed. After constructing spanning tree the maximum degree cost $(T)$ of the spanning tree $T$ is calculated. Next, cost $(T)$ is compared with cost (B), where cost (B) is the previous best tree (whose maximum degree is minimum) [5]. If cost (T) is smaller than cost (B), $T$ is the present best tree and cost (T) is assigned to cost (B), because we always try to find the best tree whose degree is minimum. The pheromone level for edges in the best tree B is then enhanced. This entire event is repeated until the stopping criteria met.

### 2.3 Ant Movement

Let, an ant $\alpha$ is at vertex $i$. In case of AB-MDST without local search, an edge ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ ) is selected randomly, where $j$ is an adjacent vertex of $i$. In case of AB-MDST with local search, all the adjacent edges of vertex i are considered, then the edge with highest pheromone level is selected. Note that, among all the adjacent edges the edge ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ ) has highest pheromone level if the degree of vertex j is minimum [4]. For this reason in case of AB-MDST with local search, better result is found than in the case of AB-MDST without local search. After an edge ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ ) is selected, ant $\alpha$ moves from vertex i to vertex j and the pheromone level of the edge ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ ) is enhanced and vertex j is then marked as visited .

### 2.4 Tree Construction

After the ants have completed their movements and the pheromone levels of all the edges are updated, we are ready to identify the edges from which to construct a spanning tree. To identify a set of candidate edges, we first sort the edges in the graph in the order of descending pheromone level. The top candidate edges from the sorted list are selected to form candidate set C. During constructing tree, edges are taken one by one from C maintaining the order [9]. Let ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ ) be next candidate edge and i and j are not connected in T . In case of AB MDST without degree constraint, the edge ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ ) is removed from C and added to tree T if this would create no loop. In case of AB-MDST with degree constraint, while adding ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ ) edge to tree T another checking is needed. If after adding ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ ), degree of i or degree of j exceeds given parameter $k$ and number of skipped edges(skippedEdge) C is smaller than E-V, the edge, ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ ) is skipped and added to skippedEdge rather than adding it to tree T and each time C is increased by one [8]. This event continues until the entire tree is constructed.
2.5 Stopping Criteria

The algorithm stops if one of the following two conditions is satisfied: 1 . there is no improvement found in 1,000 consecutive cycles, or 2 . It has run for 5,000 cycles. When the algorithm stops, the current best tree is returned.

```
AB-MDST (G= (V, E))
//initialization
assign one ant to each vertex of the Graph.
initialize pheromone level of each edge using the formula \(\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{i}][\mathrm{j}]=(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{j}])+(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{m}) / 3\).
// \(\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{i}][\mathrm{j}]\) is pheromone level of edge ( \(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}\) )
\(/ / \mathrm{d}[\mathrm{j}]\) is degree of vertex j
                                    // M is maximum degree of the input Graph
                                    \(/ / \mathrm{m}\) is minimum degree of the input Graph
```



```
while stopping criteria not met // loop will continue when counter<5000 and notImproved <1000
    for each vertex
                for each ant
                    move \(\alpha\) along one edge
                    update pheromone level of the edge using same formula
                end-for
    end-for
    // Tree construction stage
identify a set C of candidate edges using pheromone levels
    while \(|T|<n-1\)
        construct spanning tree T from C
    end-while
    count the maximum degree cost \((\mathrm{T})\) of the spanning tree T
    if \(\operatorname{cost}(T)<\operatorname{cost}(B)\)
        \(\mathrm{B} \longleftarrow \mathrm{T}\)
        \(\operatorname{cost}(\mathrm{B}) \longleftarrow \operatorname{cost}(\mathrm{T})\)
        enhance pheromone level for edges in the best tree \(B\)
    end-if
end-while
return the best tree found B
```

    Figure 1: Ant Based Minimum Degree Spanning Tree Algorithm
    Move ( $\alpha, \mathrm{i}$ ) // ant $\alpha$ is at vertex i

```
select an adjacent edge (i,j) // j is an adjacent vertex of i vertex
if vertex j is unvisited
    update pheromone level of edge (i,j)
    move \alpha from vertex i to vertex j
    mark j visited
    break
else
    \alpha remains at vertex i
end-if
```

Figure 2: One Step in the ant movement algorithm (AB-MDST without local search)

## ConstructTree (G=(V,E))

sort all the edges by pheromone level in descending order
$\mathrm{C} \longleftarrow$ top candidate edges ( highest pheromone levels )
$T \longleftarrow \not \longleftarrow$
while $|\mathrm{T}|<\mathrm{n}-1$
let $(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})$ be next candidate edge
if $i$ and $j$ not connected in $T$ if adding ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ ) to T would create no loop
remove ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ ) from C
add $(i, j)$ edge to tree $T$ end-if
end-if

## end-while

return T
Figure 3: Tree construction (AB-MDST without degree constraint)
Move ( $\alpha, \mathrm{i}$ ) // ant $\alpha$ is at vertex i find all the adjacent edges of verrex i count the number of adjacent edges
if count $=0$ ant $\alpha$ remains at vertex i
else if count $=1$
select the adjacent edge ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ )
else
find the adjacent edge ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ ) whose pheromone level is maximum
select the adjacent edge (i,j)
end-if
if vertex j is unvisited
update pheromone level of edge ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ )
move $\alpha$ from vertex $i$ to vertex $j$
mark j visited
break
else
$\alpha$ remains at vertex i
end-if
Figure 4: One step in the ant movement algorithm (AB-MDST with local search)
ConstructTree ( $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E}$ ),k) // k is degree constraint sort all the edges by pheromone level in descending order
$\mathrm{C} \longleftarrow$ top candidate edges ( highest pheromone levels )
$T \longleftarrow$ Ø
while $|\mathrm{T}|<\mathrm{n}-1$
let $(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})$ be next candidate edge
if i and j not connected in T
if degree $[\mathrm{i}]>\mathrm{k}$ or degree $[\mathrm{j}]>\mathrm{k}$
and $\mathrm{C}<\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{V} \quad / / \mathrm{C}$ is number of skipped edges
add (i,j) to skippededge


Figure 5: Tree construction (AB-MDST with degree constraint)

## III. Experimental Results

Our algorithm and the two improved versions of the algorithm are run on a set of 50 complete graphs ranging from 10 to 200 vertices. The algorithms were implemented in C and run on a 1.80 Ghz Pentium DualCore with 2 GB of RAM running the Windows 7 operating system. "Table 1 " shows the final results. For all of the tables below, first column represents the data set number from 1 to 50 . Second column and third column represent the input graph (number of vertices $V$ and number of edges respectively E ) for each of the data set. Fourth and fifth column represent the result for the algorithm AB-MDST without local search and without degree constraint. Sixth and seventh column represent the result for the algorithm AB-MDST with local search but without degree constraint and Eighth and ninth column represent the result for the algorithm AB-MDST with local search and with degree constraint. For each of the three algorithms the Degree column shows the maximum degree of the constructed tree and the Time column shows execution time in seconds for each of the input graphs. From the table we see that for most of the input data sets, AB-MDST with local search but without degree constraint gives better result than AB-MDST without local search and without degree constraint. ABMDST with local search and with degree constraint gives much better result than both AB-MDST with local search but without degree constraint and AB-MDST without local search and without degree constraint for both Degree and Time.

| Input Graph |  |  | Output |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Algorit | hm 1 | gorithm 2 |  | gorithm 3 |  |
| Dataset | V | E | Degree | Time | Degree | Time | Degree | Time |
| Data1 | 10 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Data2 | 10 | 22 | 3 | 0.016 | 3 | 0.016 | 2 | 0 |
| Data3 | 10 | 24 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Data4 | 10 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0.02 | 2 | 0 |
| Data5 | 10 | 26 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Data6 | 10 | 27 | 3 | 0.016 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Data7 | 10 | 34 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Data8 | 25 | 69 | 3 | 0.078 | 3 | 0.047 | 2 | 0 |
| Data9 | 25 | 70 | 3 | 0.078 | 3 | 0.062 | 2 | 0 |
| Data10 | 28 | 75 | 4 | 0.094 | 3 | 0.078 | 2 | 0 |
| Data11 | 25 | 72 | 3 | 0.078 | 3 | 0.063 | 2 | 0.094 |
| Data12 | 25 | 90 | 3 | 0.078 | 3 | 0.078 | 2 | 0.01 |
| Data13 | 25 | 71 | 3 | 0.094 | 3 | 0.078 | 2 | 0 |
| Data14 | 43 | 63 | 4 | 0.25 | 5 | 0.203 | 3 | 0.203 |
| Data15 | 45 | 85 | 3 | 0.297 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0.171 |
| Data16 | 50 | 123 | 5 | 0.486 | 3 | 0.359 | 3 | 0.235 |
| Data17 | 50 | 145 | 3 | 0.453 | 4 | 0.375 | 3 | 0.234 |
| Data18 | 60 | 166 | 4 | 0.719 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0.359 |
| Data19 | 50 | 157 | 4 | 0.437 | 4 | 0.321 | 3 | 0.282 |
| Data20 | 50 | 183 | 4 | 0.453 | 4 | 0.328 | 3 | 0.265 |
| Data21 | 50 | 491 | 4 | 0.625 | 5 | 0.485 | 3 | 0.359 |
| Data22 | 50 | 582 | 3 | 0.593 | 3 | 0.469 | 3 | 0.344 |
| Data23 | 50 | 171 | 4 | 0.437 | 3 | 0.359 | 3 | 0.235 |
| Data24 | 75 | 196 | 4 | 1.359 | 4 | 0.984 | 3 | 0.703 |
| Data25 | 75 | 215 | 5 | 1.531 | 5 | 1.547 | 3 | 0.781 |
| Data26 | 75 | 256 | 4 | 1.36 | 4 | 1.265 | 3 | 0.703 |
| Data27 | 75 | 202 | 4 | 1.375 | 4 | 0.906 | 3 | 0.672 |
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| Data28 | 75 | 266 | 5 | 1.547 | 5 | 1.094 | 3 | 0.797 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Data29 | 100 | 297 | 5 | 2.922 | 5 | 2.828 | 3 | 1.532 |
| Data30 | 100 | 324 | 4 | 3.125 | 5 | 2.578 | 3 | 1.578 |
| Data31 | 100 | 334 | 4 | 4.563 | 5 | 3.734 | 3 | 2.047 |
| Data32 | 100 | 314 | 3 | 3.062 | 6 | 4.969 | 3 | 1.547 |
| Data33 | 100 | 394 | 4 | 3.862 | 4 | 2.812 | 3 | 1.781 |
| Data34 | 100 | 261 | 4 | 5.718 | 5 | 3.218 | 3 | 1.844 |
| Data35 | 100 | 271 | 5 | 3.156 | 4 | 2.125 | 3 | 1.547 |
| Data36 | 100 | 451 | 5 | 4.032 | 4 | 2.484 | 3 | 2.031 |
| Data37 | 100 | 742 | 5 | 4.438 | 5 | 3.906 | 3 | 2.266 |
| Data38 | 100 | 922 | 4 | 4.938 | 4 | 3.406 | 3 | 2.531 |
| Data39 | 150 | 481 | 5 | 11.609 | 4 | 7.841 | 3 | 7.11 |
| Data40 | 150 | 473 | 5 | 10.531 | 5 | 9.062 | 3 | 5.5 |
| Data41 | 150 | 402 | 4 | 12 | 5 | 9.297 | 4 | 5.986 |
| Data42 | 100 | 334 | 4 | 2.875 | 6 | 2.782 | 4 | 1.47 |
| Data43 | 150 | 453 | 5 | 19.687 | 5 | 8.653 | 4 | 6.656 |
| Data44 | 150 | 1064 | 5 | 16.204 | 4 | 14.468 | 4 | 7.86 |
| Data45 | 200 | 514 | 5 | 21.828 | 4 | 18.672 | 4 | 10.797 |
| Data46 | 200 | 654 | 5 | 21.469 | 6 | 16.016 | 4 | 11.516 |
| Data44 | 200 | 644 | 5 | 24.047 | 5 | 15.972 | 4 | 12.422 |
| Data48 | 200 | 664 | 5 | 22.89 | 8 | 39.281 | 4 | 11.437 |
| Data49 | 200 | 519 | 5 | 43.188 | 4 | 18.266 | 4 | 13.67 |
| Data50 | 200 | 701 | 4 | 26.188 | 5 | 18.375 | 4 | 15.61 |

Algorithm 1: AB-MDST without local search and without degree constraint
Algorithm 2: AB-MDST with local search but without degree constraint
Algorithm 3: AB-MDST with local search and with degree constraint

## IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper we discussed an Ant-Based algorithm- AB-MDST without local search and without degree constraint (algorithm 1) to find minimum spanning degree spanning trees from different input graphs and gave two improved versions of the algorithm named AB-MDST with local search but without degree constraint (algorithm 2) and AB-MDST with local search and with degree constraint (algorithm 3). The experimental results show that for both parameters (Degree and Time); algorithm 3 gives much better result than the other two algorithms.
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