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Abstract: Security testing is one of the most important security practices today. To secure an application it’s important to go for a security testing phase during the development life cycle. Many useful enhancements are done using UML diagrams to model security like Misuse cases, Mis-sequence diagrams and Misuse deployment diagrams etc. Misuse deployment diagrams can be used to model a client server environment with security aspects. Since in client server environment it is important to know where to apply your security, it’s better to use Misuse Deployment diagrams which can give an overall view of the system in a single model. Based on this fact, an approach is proposed in this paper by combining Misuse deployment diagrams and Misuse Case diagrams with threat trees to generate security test cases. The approach is demonstrated with a case study where Misuse deployment diagrams, Misuse Cases are used to show that possible security defects can be identified using these diagrams and combined those with threat trees. Finally the threat trees are traversed to generate test sequences. This approach is suitable for detecting threats that need to be tested in location specific manner in client-server applications.

Index Terms: Security testing, Misuse deployment diagrams, threat trees, Misuse cases, test sequence.

I. Introduction

Many enhancements are done on UML to model security aspects of a system like Misuse case diagrams, Mis-sequence diagrams, Misuse deployment diagrams etc. Each has their own advantages and uses. We will mainly focus on Misuse deployment diagrams, which are deployment diagrams that show security concerns. According to The Open Web Application Security Project’s [1] top 10 security defects of 2013, top attacks are like injection attacks, cross site scripting, sensitive data exposure etc. These are the biggest threats to a web application and known as possible defects. According to a survey by CERT/CC [13] 75% of security breaches are because of these defects. Modeling a client-server environment with Misuse deployment diagrams are beneficial [2], because it is required to locate where security need to be implemented. Mis-sequence diagrams can also be used but it will be difficult to understand because of the complexity of message passing between each objects. It will lack to give an overall view of a system with a single diagram. Misuse deployment diagrams can give an overview of a systems security with the help of a single diagram. So finding defects both at server and client side is easier. Misuse cases can also identify possible attacks but they are unable to locate where to apply security. Misuse cases are useful mainly in requirement phase of software development to identify possible security requirements. Misuse cases and Misuse deployment diagrams can be combined to understand system security deeply. We can take help of OWASP [1] top attack list to identify possible defects from the diagrams.

In this paper a novel approach is given for security testing of Client server based applications by combining Misuse deployment diagrams, Misuse case diagrams with threat trees and identifying possible defects.

Rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section II discusses some basic concepts about threat modeling and security testing with illustration. Section III presents discussion about related works. Section IV describes the proposed approach of security testing. Section IV is divided into two subsections A and B. In Subsection A a case study is given and in Subsection B the results are shown. In Section V the proposed approach is compared with some related works. In Section VI, discussion and possible future works are given. And Section VII contains the conclusion.

II. Basic Concepts

a) Threat Modeling [3]

Threat modeling is a method of documenting and identifying the security risks associated with an application. This methodology helps development teams to identify security strengths and weaknesses. Its
primary goal is to identify attack goals that can be used to secure a system. The main process of threat modeling is given below:

i. Understanding adversary’s view.
ii. Characterizing security of the system.
iii. Determining threats.

Now in analyze threat phase that is a subpart of Determining threat, we can analyze the threats that are found during many modeling approaches using threat trees (non-UML) [3], threat nets (petri-nets), Misuse sequence diagrams, Jackson’s problem diagram by Haley et al [4]. Misuse activity diagrams suggested by Braz et al [5]. Since our aim is to use models that are easily understandable and lightweight so we are using threat trees.

b) Threat trees [3]

Threat trees are used to find out whether the conditions necessary for a threat to be realized exist and unmitigated. A threat tree consists of root node, which is the main threat, and it has one or more child nodes that need to be true for threat to be realized. Two nodes at the same level can be connected to its parent node with an AND or an implicit OR condition.

![Fig 1: AND node](image1)

![Fig 2: OR node](image2)

c) Misuse deployment diagrams

Misuse deployment diagrams are useful to model a client-server application in a distributed environment where software is related with many hardwares also. Location where to place security code in client-server environment is as important as having the code. Misuse deployment diagrams consist of three things-

i. Nodes
   a. Device nodes (Hardware)
   b. Execution environment nodes (eg browser)

ii. Artifacts

iii. Misuses: We can merge Misusers with Misuses and only represent it as Misuses in the diagram.

III. Related Works

Software security testing aims at finding vulnerabilities in a system. The main problem of security testing is it requires experience and resources. It is difficult for developers and testers who are new in this field. Many work has been done to give a way how to model security and then generate security test cases from them. Pari Salas et al [6] proposed an approach to generate test cases from three models, specification, implementation and attack model. Their approach consists of generating test cases and automating the process from implementation details.

Threat modeling has become a viable practice for secure software development. Threat modeling is comprised of three high level steps: understanding the view of attacker, characterizes the view of attacker, characterize security of the system and finally determine threats [3]. Based on this many work has been done to model security and generating security test cases. Marbach et al [7] proposed an approach of generation of test cases from threat trees. Threat tree is a threat analysis model in a tree structure which shows how to realize a threat. They have used DFD’s to model system functionality and then developed threat trees from possible threats and finally generated test cases. Song et al [8] proposed an approach similar where possible threats are identified from the data flow diagrams of a system. Then from identified possible defects threat trees are generated and after traversing the threat tree they generated test sequence. Many modifications are done on UML diagrams later to model security in an object oriented development. Tondel et al [9] proposed an approach to combine UML Misuse case diagrams with non-UML threat trees. Here instead identifying threats from DFD’s they proposed a model to identify threats from Misuse case diagrams and finally linking threats with threat trees to get a broader view of the threats and how to mitigate the threats. Lincke et al [2] proposed a new model which is extension of UML deployment diagram, in which security is represented. They named it Misuse deployment diagrams. It has several advantages over Misuse cases in terms of the location specific identification of the threats. Based on this approach in, in this paper, we have combined Misuse deployment diagrams with threat trees to generate more suitable test cases for a client-server environment.
IV. Proposed Work

Securing a client-server application needs an overall knowledge about the server side and client side security, keeping in mind an approach is given where Misuse deployment diagrams are used to get a clear overall view of the system. Misuse case diagrams are combined for better understanding of the system. From that basic threats are identified. Next we need to analyze each threat for determining detailed threats. Each threat can be achieved through several ways. These ways are the detailed threats to the system. Next threat trees are created from each detailed threat to know how it can be realized. Last Threat trees are traversed to get test sequences. We could have used Misuse cases to identify security requirements but that wouldn’t have given us detailed view of the security risks possible at specific places like server and client side. Mis-sequence diagrams can give location specific information but due to complex message passing between objects can make it complex to identify threats. So Misuse deployment diagrams are used that will give an overview with a single diagram and we can specify several attacks based on specific location. An example of generated test sequence is given that will clear how location is important in some cases of security. Steps for the given approach can be summarized as follows:

1) Model Misuse deployment diagrams and Misuse cases to identify basic threats.
2) Analyze basic threats to determine detailed threats.
3) Generate threat trees from detailed threats.
4) Finally test sequences can be generated by traversing threat trees.

**Fig 3:** A framework for security testing of Client-Server based application.

A. Case Study

We have taken an example of a blog site to show how our approach works. The blog site consists of few of the following requirements and features.

i. Every user needs to register to the website before he or she can see the available posts and contents of the site.
ii. Users can manage his or her account such as change password and personal details.
iii. Users can post or upload videos, images etc. that other users can see.
iv. Admin can delete any post that other users have uploaded if found inappropriate.

Based on these features we can create Misuse deployment diagrams and Misuse cases of the system for understanding the security risks. Now we can apply our approach as follows:

**Step 1:** Constructing Misuse cases and Misuse deployment diagrams.

**Fig 4:** Misuse Case diagram of the Blog site.
Fig 5: A Misuse Deployment diagram showing an overall view of the blog site.

Now from these diagrams we can identify the possible basic threats like-

a) Authentication attack.
b) Upload malicious content.
c) Denial of service.
d) Stealing information.

Step 2: Analyze threats to determine detailed threats.

a) Authentication attack can be achieved using
   i) SQL Injection,
   ii) Username and password Enumeration,
   iii) Brute force attack etc.

b) Upload malicious content
   i) XSS attack
   ii) Upload contents violating sites policy etc.

c) Denial of service
   i) Distributed denial of Service attack.

Step 3: Construct threat trees.

a) Authentication Attack:
   i) SQL injection

Fig 6: Threat tree of SQL injection attack.
ii) Username and Password Enumeration

Fig 7: Threat tree of Username and Password Enumeration attack.

b) Upload Malicious content Attack:

i) XSS attack

Fig 8: Threat tree of XSS attack.

ii) Upload contents that violates sites policy

Fig 9: Threat tree of Upload contents that violates sites policy

For simplicity we have shown threat trees of Authentication attack and Upload malicious content attack.

Step 4: Generating test sequences from threat trees.
Threat trees are traversed using algorithm called Tri-T which is proposed by Huang Song et al [8].
Starting from the root node that is the root attack we have to check the type of the nodes. If it is an AND node then we have to include all the connected child nodes to get the final sequence and if it is an OR node then we can go any of the connected child nodes and get a sequence. The details are given in the Tri-T algorithm [8].

B. Results

For illustrating our approach we have shown the results of Authentication attack only.
Applying Tri-T algorithm on threat trees the following results are achieved:
1. From SQL injection threat tree in Fig 6 under authentication attack we got
   a. Authentication attack: SQL Injection → Open Login GUI → Construct special SQL query.
   b. Authentication attack: SQL Injection → Open Login GUI → Use special characters like single quote.
2. Results achieved from threat tree of username and password enumeration under authentication attack in Fig 7 are:
Now as you can see from the Misuse Deployment diagram in Fig 5 we can specify where to apply these test sequences. If we take the test sequence that is:-

Authentication attack: SQL Injection → Open Login GUI → Use special characters like single quote, in login field it can give us a false negative if client side validation is provided and won’t allow us to insert special symbols. But the attack is still possible if we bypass client side validation using HTTP tampering and modification during transition. So we have to apply this same test sequence to test server side security by deactivating client side validation and test whether the server can still filter the malicious input and that will give a correct result whether the application is secure from SQL injection using special characters or not. Only client side validation will not help. From username and password enumeration threat tree in Fig 7 we get a result that is:-

Authentication attack: Username and Password Enumeration → Eavesdropping. This is a special kind of attack that is difficult to represent with Misuse deployment diagram also because it occurs during transition but it can be understood from Misuse case diagram in Fig 4. Here we have to check whether the data in transit can be read if sniffed during transition. HSTS (HTTP Strict Transport Protocol) [10] can be used to prevent this where all data will be transferred with HTTPS protocol. We need to test the server whether it supports HSTS or not. So here also location of attack and where to apply test cases is important. In this way other test sequences can be generated from other threat trees also.

V. Comparison with related works

We have gone through several papers related to our work and after analyzing their techniques we have given a brief evaluation in Table 1. The parameters are as follows:

1. Related work: Name of the paper or work which is being compared.
2. Technique Used: Technique used for security testing.
3. Implementation Knowledge Required: Whether the approach requires code level or detailed implementation details.
4. Support for Client-Server based applications: Whether the approach for security testing or generated test cases can handle variations of attack possible in a client-server based application where location specific security code is required.
5. Support for OOD: Whether the approach supports Object Oriented Development or any UML diagram support is discussed.
6. Executable Test Case: Whether the approach contains information about how to generate executable test cases or test scripts that can automate the process of testing.

Table 1: Comparison with related works

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. no</th>
<th>Related Works</th>
<th>Technique Used</th>
<th>Implementation Knowledge Required</th>
<th>Support for Client-Server based applications</th>
<th>Support for OOD</th>
<th>Executable Test case</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A Software Security Testing Method Based On Typical Defects [8]</td>
<td>From DFD of a system they have identified Typical Defects and generated Threat trees. And finally traversing threat trees they have generated test sequences</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Security Test Generation using Threat Trees [7]</td>
<td>Using Threat Modelling and DFDs of a system to generate Threat trees. Traversed threat trees and finally provided an approach to make test sequences generated from threat trees executable with added parameters</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>A Threat Model Driven Approach for Security Testing [12]</td>
<td>From UML sequence diagrams they extracted threat traces and after applying random test cases in the system they compared if both consists the same traces. If matched a security threat gets detected in the system.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Random test cases were used and results were mapped against threat model based threat traces.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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