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Abstract: Sequential pattern mining, which discovers the correlation relationships from the ordered list of events, is an important research field in data mining area. In our study, we have developed a Sequential Pattern Tree structure to store both frequent and non-frequent items from sequence database. It requires only one scan of database to build the tree due to storage of non-frequent items which reduce the tree construction time considerably. Then, we have proposed an efficient Sequential Pattern Tree Mining algorithm which can generate frequent sequential patterns from the Sequential Pattern Tree recursively. The main advantage of this algorithm is to mine the complete set of frequent sequential patterns from the Sequential Pattern Tree without any intermediate projected tree. Again, it does not generate unnecessary candidate sequences and not require repeated scanning of the original database. We have compared our proposed approach with three existing algorithms and our performance study shows that, our algorithm is much faster than apiorei based GSP algorithm and also faster than existing PrefixSpan and Tree Based Mining algorithm which are based on pattern growth approaches.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Sequential pattern mining in transactional databases plays an important role in data mining field. Sequential pattern mining means discovering all the frequently occurring ordered events or subsequences from sequence databases. The advantage to find the sequential patterns is, we can find the customer sequences and predict the probability to buy some items in next transactions by the customers. For example, if a customer bought α and β in one transaction, then, we can predict the probability to buy δ in the next transaction by that customer: that is, if \( \{\alpha, \beta\} \) then \( \{\delta\} \). Sequential pattern mining is widely used in the analysis of customer shopping behavior, web access patterns, in the analysis of biological sequences, sequences of events in science and engineering, and in natural and social developments. Agrawal and Srikant first introduced sequential pattern mining in 1995 [1]. Based on their study, sequential pattern mining is stated as follows: “Given a sequence database or a set of sequences where each sequence is an ordered list events or elements and each event or element is a set of items, and given a user-specific minimum support threshold or min sup, sequential pattern mining is the process of finding the complete set of frequent subsequences, that is, the subsequences whose occurrence frequency in the set of sequences or sequence databases is greater than or equal to min sup.”

Past studies developed two major classes of sequential pattern mining methods. First class proposed several mining algorithms [1] [2] [3] based on apriori property which states that, every nonempty subsequences of a sequential pattern are also a sequential pattern. Among them, GSP [2] and SPADE [3] are most efficient apriori based algorithms. Both of them find all sequential patterns by using level-wise candidate sequences generate and test approach which increase the time and space complexity. Another class proposed algorithms like FreeSpan [4] and PrefixSpan [5] based on pattern growth approach. Pattern growth approach does not generate any candidate sequences like apriori based methods GSP and SPADE, but it creates lots of projected databases and each time it needs to scan the projected databases to find the frequent items. Tree based sequential pattern mining [6] algorithm can generate frequent sequential patterns from the fast updated sequential pattern tree (called FUSP-tree) [7] structure by recursively creating set of small projected trees from the large tree. Also, it requires two scans of original large database to build the FUSP-tree. Both intermediate small trees projection during mining and two scans of database increase the time and space complexity of this algorithm [6]. In this paper, we have developed an efficient Sequential Pattern Tree Mining algorithm which can generate complete set of frequent sequential patterns from a proposed Tree structure named Sequential Pattern Tree recursively. At first, our proposed Sequential Pattern Tree structure stores both frequent and non-frequent items from the sequence database. To build this tree structure, we need only one scan of original large database due to storage of both frequent and non-frequent items in the tree. A new approach is used to store each item from each sequence into the Sequential Pattern Tree. Then, our proposed mining algorithm mines the complete set of frequent sequential patterns from the original Sequential Pattern Tree without re-construction of the intermediate projected trees. Also, our algorithm does not generate any candidate sequence and scan the original large database only when the tree is created that means it does not require repeated scanning of original database. The technique proposed for mining
in this paper present a much better performance than that achieved by GSP [2], PrefixSpan [5], and Tree Based Mining [6] techniques.

In the rest of the paper, section II describes related works; section III introduces our concepts of Sequential Pattern Tree and Mining with examples. Performance analysis is shown in section IV and finally section V draws conclusion that points out the potentiality of our work.

II. REVIEW OF WORKS

We have studied a set of mining approaches to understand the effectiveness of pattern discovery in data mining field. Some of them are described sequentially in this section.

1.1 GSP Algorithm

GSP (Generalized Sequential Patterns) [2] is a sequential pattern mining algorithm which was proposed by Srikant and Agrawal in 1996. GSP is an Apriori based algorithm. It generates lots of candidate sets and it tests them by multiple passes. The algorithm to find the sequential patterns is outlined as follows: First, it scans the database to find the frequent items, that is, those with equal or greater than minimum support. All of those frequent items are length-1 frequent sequences. Second, each of them starts with a seed set of sequential patterns to generate new potentially sequential patterns, called candidate sequences. Each candidate sequence contains more than one item from which pattern it is generated. The length of each sequence is the number of instances of items in a sequence. All of the candidate sequences have the same length in a given pass. To find the frequent sequence, the algorithm then scans the database and discards those candidates which are infrequent. Finally, after getting the frequent sequences it makes those sequences as the seed for the next pass. The algorithm terminates, when there are no frequent sequences at the end of a pass, or when there are no candidate sequences generated.

1.2 PrefixSpan Algorithm

PrefixSpan [5] is a projection-based, sequential pattern-growth approach for efficient and scalable mining of sequential patterns, which is an extension of FP-growth [8]. Unlike apriori-based algorithms it does not create large number of useless candidate sets and generates complete set of sequential patterns from large databases efficiently. The major cost of PrefixSpan is database projection, i.e., forming projected databases recursively. To find the sequential patterns, PrefixSpan recursively projects a sequence database into a set of small projected databases and sequential patterns are grown in each projected database by exploring only locally frequent fragments. In this approach, sequential patterns from sequence database can be mined by a prefix-projection method in the following steps: (1) Find length-1 sequential patterns. Scan database once to find all the frequent items in sequences. Each of these frequent items is a length-1 sequential pattern. (2) Divide search space. The complete set of sequential patterns can be partitioned according to the number of length-1 sequential patterns (prefixes) found in step-1. (3) Find subsets of sequential patterns. The subsets of sequential patterns can be mined by constructing the corresponding set of projected databases and mining each recursively.

1.3 FUSP – Tree Algorithm

To efficiently mine the sequential patterns, Lin et al.2008 proposed the FUSP-tree [7] structure and its maintenance algorithm. FUSP-tree consists of one root node labeled as ‘root’ and a set of prefix subtrees as the children of the root. Each node in the prefix subtrees contains item-name, which represents the node contains that item, count, the number of sequences represented by the section of the path reaching the node, and node-link, links to the next node of that item in the next branch of the FUSP-tree. The FUSP-tree contains a Header-Table which store frequent item, their count and the link of first occurrence node in the tree of that item. This table helps to find appropriate items or sequences in the tree. The construction process is similar to FP-tree [8] i.e. the construction process is executed tuple by tuple from first sequence to last. To create this tree, it requires two scans of large database which increases the time construction time. Mining process of FUSP-Tree [6] is almost similar to PrefixSpan [5] and FP-growth [8] algorithms. After the FUSP-Tree [7] is maintained, the final frequent sequences can then be found by a recursive method from the tree. This method finds the sequential patterns from the FUSP-Tree structure by generating set of small projected trees from the large tree recursively. It generates no candidate sets but it generates many projected trees for each prefix sequence which require more memory [6].

III. PROPOSED APPROACH

Here, we have described our proposed Sequential Pattern Tree structure and Sequential Pattern Mining approach for finding sequential patterns from sequence database. At first, our proposed approach constructs a Sequential Pattern Tree for both frequent and non-frequent items from the sequence database. Then, it generates
the complete set of frequent subsequences from the Sequential Pattern Tree without generating any intermediate projected tree. The algorithm for Sequential Pattern mining is given in Algorithm 1.

**Algorithm 1:** (Sequential Pattern Mining: mining frequent subsequences from sequence database)

**Input:** Sequence Database and Minimum Support Threshold (min_sup).

**Output:** The complete set of sequential patterns.

**Method:**

1. Scan the sequence database once and construct a Sequential Pattern Tree using Algorithm 2.
2. Recursively mines the original Sequential Pattern Tree to find the frequent sequential patterns using Algorithm 3.

### 1.4 Construction of Sequential Pattern Tree

In our study, a Sequential Pattern Tree data structure along with Header Table is used to store the sequence database. Each item in the events of a sequence is inserted as a node into the tree based on the events arranged in each sequence. Each sequence is a branch of the tree. This tree stores both frequent and non-frequent items. So, it requires only one scan of database to build the tree which reduces the tree construction time considerably. Each node in a Sequential Pattern Tree registers three pieces of information: label, count and Transaction ID (label: count: Transaction ID). Every node is labeled by an item in the event of a sequence. Count of a node determines the number of sequences that share this node in their paths. Transaction ID in a node is used to indicate if there exists sequence relation (s-relation) or itemset relation (i-relation) between two nodes. The root of the tree is a special virtual node with a label as Root, count 0, and transaction ID 0. Sequential Pattern Tree structure maintains a Header Table, where each distinct item in the event with their count is stored for sequential mining.

The Sequential Pattern Tree is constructed as follows: Scans the sequence database and insert each item in the events of a sequence into the tree. The insertion of sequences is started from the root node of the tree. For each item e in the events, increment the count of child node with label e by 1 if there exists one child node with same label. Otherwise, create a child node labeled by e and set the count to 1. In this similar way, insert the rest of the items in the events of the sequence to the subtree rooted at that child node labeled e. The algorithm for constructing a Sequential Pattern Tree from sequence database is given in Algorithm 2.

**Algorithm 2:** (Construction of Sequential Pattern Tree from Sequence Database)

**Input:** Sequence Database.

**Output:** Sequential Pattern Tree, T.

**Method:**

1. Scan the sequence database.
2. Create the root node of a tree T and label it as "Root", set count to 0 and transaction ID to 0. Initially current_node = root.
3. for each sequence S till the end of database
   3.1 for each event e in S
   3.1.1 if current_node has a child node c with c. label = I and c. transaction ID = j, then set c. count += 1 and current_node = c.
   3.1.2 Otherwise,
   3.1.2.1 Create a New node label as I
   3.1.2.2 New node. count = 1.
   3.1.2.3 New node. transaction ID = j.
   3.1.2.4 Store New node in the current_node's successor link.
   3.1.2.5 Set current_node = New node.
   3.1.3 end if
   3.1.4 For the new branch of each distinct item I, increment the count of the corresponding item I in the Header Table if item I already exist in the Header Table; otherwise, add item I in the Header Table and set count to 1.
3.1.2 end for
3.2 end for
4. current_node = root.
5. end for

### 1.4.1 Example Construction of Sequential Pattern Tree

Here, we will try to describe the algorithm for constructing of Sequential Pattern Tree by using an example. As input our algorithm just takes a sequence database. In our example, we have used a sequence database which is shown in Table 1.
The Sequential Pattern Tree for the sequence database shown in Table 1 is constructed as follows. Scan the database and find the first sequence a(abc)(ac). Insert this sequence into the initial tree with only one root node (Root: 0: 0). It creates a new node (a: 1: 1) (i.e. labeled as ‘a’, with count set to 1 and set transaction ID to 1 as ‘a’ is in the first event of this sequence) as the child of the root node, and then derives the a-branch "(a: 1: 1) → (a: 1: 2) → (b: 1: 2) → (c: 1: 2) → (a: 1: 3) → (c: 1: 3)", in which arrows link from parent nodes to children nodes. Transaction ID of (a: 1: 2) is 2 because ‘a’ is in the second event of this sequence. After insertion of the first sequence, we find first branch of the tree which is shown in Fig 1. Now, insert the second sequence (ad)c(ae). It starts from the root again. Since the root node already has a child labeled with "a" and transaction ID of this node is also 1, then, a’s count is just increased by 1, i.e., (a: 2: 1) now. But, next item, d in first event of second sequence does not match with the existing child node of node (a: 2: 1) so, create a new child node (d: 1: 1) of node (a: 2: 1) and then, derives the branch "(a: 2: 1) → (d: 1: 1) → (c: 1: 2) → (a: 1: 3) → (e: 1: 3)". Fig 2 shows the tree after insertion of the second sequence. Third sequence is almost similar to the first sequence. So, only increment the count of nodes of the first branch and insert the node (f: 1: 3) as the child node of node (a: 2: 3) shown in Fig 3. This process continues until there is no sequence in the sequence database. The complete Sequential Pattern Tree along with its Header Table is shown in Fig 4.

1.4.2 Characteristics of Sequential Pattern Tree

The key design points behind the Sequential Pattern Tree are summarized as follows:

1. Sequential Pattern Tree is used to store sequence database in a compact data structure. Because, same sequences will share the same branch of the tree, only counts of the corresponding nodes increment. So, the size of the Sequential Pattern Tree is much smaller than the size of the sequence database. The height of the tree is one plus the maximum length of the sequences in the database. The number of leave nodes of the tree, i.e., tree width is the number of distinct sequences in the database.

2. Each node stores the corresponding count of the item, so that, the mining algorithm can avoid the tedious support counting during mining. That means, it can reduce the repeated scanning of large database during mining.

3. Transaction ID store in each node is used to easily indicate the sequence relation or itemset relation between nodes. Sequence relation means two nodes contain items from two different events of a sequence and itemset relation means two nodes contain items from the same event of a sequence. This new idea is shown in Fig 5. There exists sequence relation between (a)(a) subsequence because Transaction IDs between these nodes are different and exists itemset relation between (ab) subsequence as their Transaction IDs are same.
4. Links stored in the FUSP-Tree [7] and FP-Tree [8] to find the next node of same item from the next branch help us to find the frequent items easily without scanning each projected tree but they require lot of efforts to update the link information in each projected tree. Our proposed tree structure avoid this extra burden by not storing this type of link information in the tree, as our proposed mining algorithm ignores to generate intermediate projected trees during mining by using only the links of the children nodes. So that, our tree structure only links the children nodes from the parent.

5. Non-frequent items stored in the Sequential Pattern Tree help us in two ways. One is, we require only one scan of database to construct the tree due to storage of non-frequent items that reduce the tree construction time considerably where FUSP-Tree [7] and FP-Tree [8] both require twice scans of database to construct the tree structure. Another one is, non-frequent items will help us during incremental mining. The main reason to use tree structure with stored non-frequent items for sequential mining is, when new sequences will come, it can easily update the original Sequential Pattern Tree by scanning only the new sequences without requiring to scan the whole updated database (old + new) and then, will be able to get the new frequent sequential patterns from the updated new Sequential Pattern Tree easily. Incremental mining will be described in our future work.

From the above discussion, we can conclude that Sequential Pattern Tree is the most efficient tree structure for sequential pattern mining. But, due to storage of non-frequent items, it can require little more memory. As memory is not too costly now-a-days, we concentrate only on performance enhancement rather than memory usage. Also, we want to mention that, we have tried to decrease some overhead of memory by not using link information and not generating projected trees during mining that can’t be done by other algorithms [6][8][9].

![Sequential Pattern Tree](image)

1.5 Mining Sequential Patterns from Sequential Pattern Tree

In this paper, we have developed an efficient recursive algorithm to enumerate frequent sequential patterns from the Sequential Pattern Tree. This algorithm uses the original Sequential Pattern Tree for the entire mining and does not rebuild intermediate trees for projection databases during mining. It also does not generate candidate sets during mining.

**Prefix and Suffix Sequence:** For any node labeled as \( e_i \), all the nodes in the path from root (excluded root) of the tree to this node (itself excluded) form a prefix sequence of \( e_i \). For example, in the Fig 6, for node (b: 2: 2), the prefix sequence is (a)(a). On the other hand, for any node labeled as \( e_i \), all the nodes in the path from \( e_i \) (itself excluded) to leave node form a suffix sequence of \( e_i \). There are several children of \( e_i \) in the tree, and each branch from a child to a leaf node will represent as a suffix sequence and all these suffix sequences are called the suffix tree of \( e_i \). For example, in the Fig 6, for node (b: 2: 2), the suffix sequences are (c)(ac) and (c)(af). These suffix sequences are called the suffix tree of node (b: 2: 2). Again, in the Fig 6, node (a: 4: 1) has four suffix sequences (abc)(ac), (abc)(af), (d)(c)(ae), and (b)(ad). All these suffix sequences are called the suffix tree of node (a: 4: 1) and node (a: 4: 1) is the root of this suffix tree.

**Why not Generate Projected Trees:** The main advantage of our mining algorithm is, it does not generate any intermediate projected tree during mining. Unlike the FP- tree [8] and WAP-tree [9] mining algorithms which are based on finding common suffix sequence first, our mining algorithm finds the common prefix sequence first like [10]. The main idea is, find frequent events that occurred in the suffix tree of the last frequent event in an m- prefix sequence and add these frequent events to m- prefix sequence so that it can extend this subsequence to m+1 prefix sequence recursively. We can find any event from the suffix tree of a node labeled as \( e_i \) by using the links of the children nodes of \( e_i \). We do not need to store the whole suffix tree physically. If we store only the node labeled as \( e_i \), then, using the links of the children nodes, we can find the frequent events from the suffix tree of \( e_i \). That's why, we use suffix rootsets that store only the first occurrence
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nodes labeled as e₁ of a prefix sequence, eₙ…e₂e₁ from the suffix tree rooted at node e₂. Rootsets are used to virtually represent the suffix trees without the need to physically store each suffix tree. In conclusion, our algorithm can avoid generating any projected tree during mining by storing only the root nodes of the suffix tree physically.

1.5.1 Mining Approach
The algorithm for mining frequent patterns from the Sequential Pattern Tree is described in Algorithm 3. This algorithm starts from the Header Table. Since the proposed tree stores both frequent and non-frequent items. So, during mining, the frequent items that satisfy the minimum support threshold are only taken into consideration and non-frequent items are discarded virtually. Meaning that, non-frequent items exist physically but they are not considered during mining. For each frequent item I in the Header Table, it always try to find the first-occurrence node with labeled I from each branch of the original tree and store these nodes in the rootset. The first-occurrence nodes are found by using depth-first-search of the tree. The algorithm of finding the first-occurrence node is given in Algorithm 4.

Algorithm 3: (SP-tree Mine (Rootset, F): Mining Sequential Patterns from Sequential Pattern Tree)
Input: Sequential Pattern Tree with Header Table and Minimum Support Threshold (min_sup).
Output: The Complete Set of Sequential Patterns.
Global Variable: Rootset_s to store s-relation nodes, Rootset_i to store i-relation nodes, Track to store each root node.
Other Variable: F to store frequent sequential patterns.
Initial: Rootset_s stores root of the original tree. F set as null. At first, call the SP-tree Mine () of Algorithm 3 by passing Rootset_s and F as null.
Method:
1. for each frequent item I in the Header Table
   1.1. Rootset_s = new Rootset()
   1.2. Rootset_i = new Rootset()
   1.3. for each root node R of the Rootset
      1.3.1. Track = R
      1.3.2. for each child node N of R
         1.3.2.1. First-occurrence-node(I, N, Mark_s, Mark_i) [Describe in Algorithm 4]
      1.3.3. end for
   1.4. end for
   1.5. if (the sum of the counts of root nodes in the Rootset_s ≥ min_sup), then
      1.5.1. F’ = F U (I)
      1.5.2. Call SP-tree Mine (Rootset_s, F’)
   1.6. end if
   1.7. if (the sum of the counts of root nodes in the Rootset_i ≥ min_sup), then
      1.7.1. F’ = (F U I)
      1.7.2. Call SP-tree Mine (Rootset_i, F’)
   1.8. end if
2. end for

Algorithm 4: (First-occurrence-node (I, N, Mark_s, Mark_i): To Find First Occurrence Node that Labeled as I from Sequential Pattern Tree).
Input: Frequent Item, I and child node N of root node R from Rootset, Mark_s variable use to find only one s-relation node labeled as I from a branch and Mark_i variable use to find only one i-relation node labeled as I from a branch.
Output: The First Occurrence nodes those Labeled as I from each branch.
Global Variable: Mark variable use to keep track if the parent node's label of a node equal to the root node's label. Initially, Mark set as 0.
Method:
1. if (N. label = Track. label)
1. set Mark as N.transaction ID
2. end if
3. if (N. label = 1), then
   3.1. if (N. transaction ID = Track. transaction ID && Mark_i = 0 )
      3.1.1. Append N to Rootset_i
      3.1.2. Mark_i set as 1
   3.2. else if(N. transaction ID != Track. transaction ID && Mark = N. transaction ID && Mark_i = 0) 
      3.2.1. Append N to Rootset_i
      3.2.2. Mark_i set as 1
   3.3. else if(N. transaction ID != Track. transaction ID && Mark = N. transaction ID && Mark_s = 0) 
      3.3.1. Append N to Rootset_s
      3.3.2. Mark_s set as 1
3.4. end if
4. end if
5. for each child node, n of node N
5.1. First-occurrence-node (l, n, Mark_s, Mark_i)
6. end for

1.5.2 Example Mining Sequential Pattern from Sequential Pattern Tree

Here, we illustrate an example to better understand the proposed mining algorithm. To mine the sequential patterns, consider the Sequential Pattern Tree along with Header Table shown in Fig 4. Suppose the minimum support threshold is 50% or 2 (4*50% = 2). The first item in the Header Table is 'a'. From the Root node of the tree the first occurrence nodes labeled as 'a' using depth-first-search. The first occurrence node of item 'a' is (a: 4: 1) node shown in Fig 7. The count of this node is 4 ≥ minimum support threshold. Transaction ID of node (a: 4: 1) is 1 which is not matched with the transaction ID of Root node, 0. So, the frequent sequential pattern is (a) and now the list of mined frequent sequential patterns is {(a): 4}. The mining of frequent 2-sequences that start with item 'a' would continue with the suffix tree rooted at node (a: 4: 1). Again, for item 'a' in the Header Table, starts searching to find first occurrence nodes labeled as 'a' from the root node (a: 4: 1). The first occurrence nodes labeled as 'a' from suffix tree of root node (a: 4: 1) are (a: 2: 2), (a: 1: 3) and (a: 1: 2) shown in Fig 8. The sum of counts of these nodes is 4 ≥ minimum support threshold. Transaction IDs of these nodes are not matched with the transaction ID of node (a: 4: 1). So, the frequent sequential pattern is (a)(a) and now the list of mined frequent sequential patterns is {(a): 4, (a)(a): 4}. Again, for item 'a' in the Header Table, find first occurrence node (a: 2: 3) labeled as 'a' from the suffix trees of root node (a: 2: 2), (a: 1: 3) and (a: 1: 2) shown in Fig 9. Only one node (a: 2: 3) is found from the suffix tree rooted at node (a: 2: 2). The count of node (a: 2: 3) is 2 ≥ minimum support threshold. Transaction ID of this node is not matched with the transaction ID of node (a: 2: 2). So, the frequent sequential pattern is (a)(a)(a) and now the list of mined frequent sequential patterns is {(a): 4, (a)(a): 4, (a)(a)(a): 2}. No frequent 4-sequences exist for (a)(a)a sequence. So, stop here.

Backtrack and start again for item 'b' in the Header Table and find the first occurrence node (b: 2: 2) labeled as 'b' from the suffix tree rooted at node (a: 2: 2) shown in Fig 10. The count of this node is 2 ≥ minimum support threshold. Transaction ID of node (b: 2: 2) is matched with the transaction ID of node (a: 2: 2). So, node (b: 2: 2) is considered as i-relation node of (a: 2: 2). So, the frequent sequential pattern is (a)(b) and this time, the list of mined frequent sequential patterns is {(a): 4, (a)(a): 4, (a)(a)(a): 2, (a)(ab): 2}.

Continue this process for the frequent 4-sequences of subsequence (a)(ab) from the suffix tree rooted at (b: 2: 2) and find frequent pattern (a)(ab)(a): 2 shown in Fig 11. We can see Fig 12 to understand in which order frequent sequential patterns are generated during mining.


IV. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

In this section, we represent a performance comparison of proposed Sequential Pattern Tree Mining approach with GSP, PrefixSpan and Tree Based Mining on both synthetic and real-life datasets. All the
experiments were conducted on a 2.80-GHz Intel(R) Pentium(R) D processor with 1.5GB main memory, running on Microsoft Windows 7. All the programs were written in NetBeans IDE 6.8 with JDK 6. We did not directly compare our data with those in some published reports running on different machines. Instead, we also implemented GSP, PrefixSpan and Tree Based Mining algorithms to the best of our knowledge based on the published reports on the same machine and compared these four algorithms in the same running environment.

1.6 Datasets
We have used four datasets, three real-datasets, BMS-WebView-1 [11], BMS-WebView-2 [11], and BMS-POS [11], as well as a Synthetic dataset T104D100K [11] for evaluation of experimental results. We use these datasets by considering each transaction as a sequence and each item of the transaction as a single item element in that sequence. Obviously, while considering these datasets for sequential pattern mining, they will also generate long sequential patterns. The properties of these datasets, in terms of the number of distinct items, the number of sequences, the maximum sequence size, the average sequence size, and type are shown below by Table 2.

Table 2 Properties of Experimental Datasets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Distinct Items</th>
<th>No. of Sequences</th>
<th>Max Size</th>
<th>Avg Size</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T104D100K</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>100000</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>Synthetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMS-WebView-1</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>59602</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Real</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMS-WebView-2</td>
<td>3340</td>
<td>77512</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>Real</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMS-POS</td>
<td>1657</td>
<td>515597</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>Real</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.7 Experimental Result
Comparisons between GSP, PrefixSpan, Tree Based mining and Sequential Pattern Tree mining algorithms for different minimum support threshold values for these datasets are shown in this section.

All the experimental results in Fig 13, 14, 15, and 16 are depicted to show the execution time of the four algorithms at different support thresholds. It can be observed from these figures that, our Sequential Pattern Tree mining approach performs much better than apriori based GSP algorithm and also outperforms PrefixSpan and Tree Based Mining which are pattern growth approaches. This is also to be mentioned that, our proposed approach generates same number of sequential patterns for different minimum support thresholds as generated by GSP, PrefixSpan, and Tree Based Mining algorithms shown in Fig 17, 18, 19, and 20 respectively.

V. CONCLUSION
In this paper, we have proposed an efficient Sequential Pattern Tree Mining algorithm which can generate the complete set of frequent sequential patterns from a Sequential Pattern Tree without generating any candidate sequence and any intermediate projected tree that reduce the both space and time complexity. It does not generate projected trees during mining by finding the first occurrence nodes from the suffix trees of prefix subsequences. Also, it reduces the effort of repeated scanning of database due to storage of count in the tree’s node that help us to enhance the performance of our algorithm. This approach first generates a Sequential Pattern Tree from the sequence database which stores both frequent and non-frequent items. So that, it requires only one scan of sequence database to create the tree along with Header Table which also reduces the tree construction time considerably. Again, our proposed approach reduces the usage of memory by storing only essential information in the tree and by not generating projected trees during mining. Although we require little more memory for storing non-frequent items in the tree, but in future we will be able to achieve better performance for incremental mining because of these stored non-frequent items. We will show this in our future work. So that, we can ignore this memory usage issue for the benefit of performance enhancement as memory is not so expensive at the present time.
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Figure 1: First Occurrence Node of 'a' for "(a)"

Figure 2: First Occurrence Nodes of 'a' for "(a)(a)"

Figure 3: First Occurrence Node of 'a' for "(a)(a)(a)"

Figure 4: First Occurrence Node of 'b' for "(a)(ab)"

Figure 5: First Occurrence Node of 'a' for "(a)(ab)(a)"

Figure 6: Order to Generate Frequent Sequential Patterns
Figure 7: Comparisons Between Execution Time and Minimum Support for T10I4D100K
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Figure 9: Comparisons Between Execution Time and Minimum Support for BMS-WebView-2

Figure 10: Comparisons Between Execution Time and Minimum Support for BMS-POS

Figure 11: Comparisons Between No. of Sequential Patterns and Minimum Support for T10I4D100K

Figure 12: Comparisons Between No. of Sequential Patterns and Minimum Support for BMS-WebView-1

Figure 13: Comparisons Between No. of Sequential Patterns and Minimum Support for BMS-WebView-2

Figure 19: Comparisons Between No. of Sequential Patterns and Minimum Support for BMS-WebView-2

Figure 13: Comparisons Between No. of Sequential Patterns and Minimum Support for BMS-POS
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