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Abstract: In this research we are focused on measuring the impact of main author on dependent author/followers. When dependent author use the work of main author, the dependent author may carry some impression of main author in his writing. Text similarity is comparison between query text and text from main document. This comparison gives most similar documents to user. Text similarity is important in the classifying the text as well as document. Using cosine similarity algorithm we can measure similarity between two different documents and also we can find impression of main author on dependent author. Up till now this work is not available for Marathi and English language it’s the first attempt in this direction where significance of author. This will also be applied in detection of plagiarism.
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I. Introduction

We have studied literature of Kabir Panth. From that we come to know, the collection of Kabir's poems were found in Adi Granth or Guru Granth Sahib which is holy book of Sikh community and was collected in A.D. 1604 by the orders of sixth Guru, Guru Arjun. The Granth also contains speech of praise of various Gurus and religious songs or bhajans of several devotees such as Kabir, Namdev whose teachings were supposed to corroborate that of the Sikh Gurus [1]. This literature shows impact of Kabir’s poems was found in Guru Granth Sahib.

In this research we come with new feature i.e. “author impression”. We want to extract with this feature, the impact of main author that can be seen on dependent authors when they use main author work. This work tries to find those impacts of well-known writers on dependent authors. We have used cosine similarity algorithm to find impression of author on dependent authors. Author impression can be used in plagiarism detection as well as in text similarity detection. Plagiarism is act of stealing another person's original work and ideas as created by own [2]. The word plagiarism is derived from the Latin words 'plagiaries', means snatcher. In recently, a large amount of data is easily available on the internet. It is very easy to copy the material from Google search engine. It has increased the plagiarism [2].

Similarity is a process by which we can determine relationship between extracted texts. Text similarity is Having two types: i) Lexical similarity ii) Semantic similarity

1.1. Lexical similarity: It offers similarity based on matching a character or string. For e.g. ‘book’ and ‘cook’ are having lexical similarity.

1.2. Semantic Similarity: It provides similarity based on meaning of the words. For e.g. ‘happy’ and ‘pleasure’ are having semantically similar to each other. Text similarity is having various applications like question answering system, machine translation, information retrieval, text sum marization, clustering and text classification [3].

Following are statistical measures used in cosine similarity algorithm [14].

i) Term frequency:
Term frequency is the number of times a term (word) occurs in a document.

ii) Normalized term frequency:
NTF=Term frequency/Total number of terms

iii) Inverse document frequency:
IDF=1 + loge (Total Number of Documents / Number of Documents with term)

iv) TF * IDF:
Product of term frequency and inverse document frequency.
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v) Cosine similarity: Cosine Similarity (d1, d2) = Dot product (d1, d2) / ||d1|| * ||d2||

We have created database of summary on two Marathi poems by six different authors. We have calculated term frequency, normalized term frequency, inverse document frequency, and cosine similarity ratio in cosine similarity algorithm. Using cosine similarity algorithm, we can find the impact of main author on dependent authors.

II. Literature Survey

This literature review shows lexical similarity measure [4]. It is classified in two types of similarities: 1) character based similarity and 2) statement based similarity.

2.1 Character based similarity:
In character based similarity four algorithms are used namely:
i) LCS similarity ii) N-gram similarity iii) Levenshtein similarity iv) Jaro similarity.

2.1.1 LCS (Longest Common Subsequence) similarity: It is a frequently used technique to compute the comparison between two strings. It calculates the longest substring from all the matched substring among two strings [5].

2.1.2 N-gram similarity: Using N-gram similarity method we can find the similarity of sub-sequence of n objects. In this similarity we compute the similarity on the basis of distance between each character in two strings [6].

2.1.3 Levenshtein similarity: It is a technique which uses the distance factor to calculate the similarity between given two strings [7].

2.1.4 Jaro similarity: This technique defines the comparison between two strings on the basis of common character and it is used in duplicate detection [8].

2.2 Statement based similarity: In statement based similarity three different algorithms are described namely: i) cosine similarity ii) Centroid based similarity iii) Web Jaccard Similarity

2.2.1 Cosine similarity: It is broadly used method to find the similarity between two documents. Each text document is denoted in the form of vector [9].

2.2.2 Centroid based similarity: It is a statement based similarity in which each statement is considered as vector form of a document [10].

2.2.3 Web Jaccard Similarity: It is a count based co-occurrence measure technique. This technique is used to find the similarity between words. [11, 12].

III. Development of text Corpus

We have created the text corpus using summary of 3 Marathi Poems from text book of Marathi second standard, which contains 15 text document files. We have taken summary of three poems written by five different authors. We have taken five authors summary on one Marathi poem written by poet K. Narkhede.

IV. Cosine Similarity Algorithms

Cosine similarity is a degree of similarity between two vectors of n dimensions by finding the cosine of the angle between them. The cosine similarity is a method of normalizing length of document during comparison [13].

There are some steps to calculate cosine similarity between two documents.

4.1 Term frequency: It is called as TF. It measures the number of occurrences of a word in a text document [14]. We have taken six authors summary from poem. In below table1 there are six specific words and their frequencies in each document are shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Term frequency of poem “Anandane Nachuya”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Words</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>आनंदें    न</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>सुंदर    न</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>रंग    न</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>मंग    न</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>गुण    न</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>कुरें    न</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In general each document is not having similar size. In large document the frequency of the words will be more as compare to smaller documents. So we require normalizing the document on the basis of size.

For example: In Author4 the word “आनंदें” occurs two times. The total numbers of “आनंदें” words in document Author4 are 94. So the normalized
frequency is 2/94=0.021.

**NTF= no. of occurrences of word/ total no. of words in document**

In below table 2 the normalized term frequencies for six documents are shown.

### Table 2. Normalized term frequencies of six documents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Words</th>
<th>Author 1</th>
<th>Author 2</th>
<th>Author 3</th>
<th>Author 4</th>
<th>Author 5</th>
<th>Author 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>आनंदन</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>भूंगे</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>राम</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>गणेश</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>कुले</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### a. Inverse Document Frequency:

The important objective of doing a search is to find similar documents, which are matched with query. At first level all words are have equal importance. Some terms which are coming repeatedly, that terms have little power in determining the importance. We require a way to consider the effects of mostly occurring terms. The words that are having minimum occurrence, those words can be more important. We require a way to consider the effects of less frequently occurring terms [14].

**IDF=1+ loge (Number of total documents/ number of documents with term frequency).** In below table 3 inverse document frequency of six words from each document is calculated.

### Table 3. Inverse document frequency of terms which are occurring in all six documents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TERM</th>
<th>IDF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>आनंदन</td>
<td>1.0791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>भूंगे</td>
<td>1.1760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>राम</td>
<td>1.1760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>गणेश</td>
<td>1.3010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>कुले</td>
<td>1.4771</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### b. TF*IDF

We are finding related documents for the query: “आनंदने भूंगे”

For each term in the query multiply its normalized term frequency with its IDF on each document. In Author 1 for the word “आनंदने”

the normalized term frequency is 0.026 and its IDF is 1.0791. Multiplying them together we get 0.0280566 (0.026 * 1.0791). Given below table 4a and table 4b product of term frequency and inverse document frequency i.e. **TF * IDF** calculations for term “आनंदने” and “भूंगे” in all the documents are calculated respectively [14].

### Table 4a. Product of Term frequency and Inverse document frequency for the term “आनंदने”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Author 1</th>
<th>Author 2</th>
<th>Author 3</th>
<th>Author 4</th>
<th>Author 5</th>
<th>Author 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>आनंदने</td>
<td>0.0280</td>
<td>0.0280</td>
<td>0.0280</td>
<td>0.0280</td>
<td>0.0280</td>
<td>0.0280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 4 b. Product of Term frequency and Inverse document frequency for the term

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Author1</th>
<th>Author2</th>
<th>Author3</th>
<th>Author4</th>
<th>Author5</th>
<th>Author6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>d^2</td>
<td>0.0355</td>
<td>0.0179</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0456</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4 Cosine Similarity:
In cosine similarity we have taken five documents. In that we compared Author1 document with all four documents. We can compute similarity between two documents by using given formula. The cosine value is having range between -1 and 1. The cosine of small angle is near to 1 and cosine value of large angle near 180 degrees is close to -1.

Cosine Similarity \((d_1, d_2) = \text{Dot product} (d_1, d_2) / ||d_1|| * ||d_2||\)

\[
||d_1|| = \text{square root} (d_1[0]^2 + d_1[1]^2 + ... + d_1[n]^2)
\]

\[
||d_2|| = \text{square root} (d_2[0]^2 + d_2[1]^2 + ... + d_2[n]^2)
\]

Dot product \((d_1, d_2) = d_1[0] * d_2[0] + d_1[1] * d_2[1] * ... * d_1[n] * d_2[n]\)

Table 5 shows that cosine similarity ratio for all documents. In author 1 and author 2 documents cosine similarity ratio is 1, which shows the impact of main author on dependent authors.

Table 5 Cosine similarity for all documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cosine Similarity</th>
<th>Author1</th>
<th>Author2</th>
<th>Author3</th>
<th>Author4</th>
<th>Author5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>19.16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.03412</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. Conclusion
In this research we are mainly focused on author impression of main author on dependent authors. We have taken summary of one poem written by five authors. We have considered six specific words from poem. We have studied literature review of text similarity algorithms. There are two types of similarity algorithms namely: character based similarity algorithms and statement based similarity algorithms. From statement based similarity algorithms we have selected cosine similarity algorithm to find author impression. In cosine similarity, we have calculated term frequency, inverse document frequency, product of term frequency and inverse document frequency and cosine similarity ratio. If there is more similarity between two documents, the cosine similarity value is near to 1 otherwise it is near to -1 or 0. We have found main author’s impression in two documents out of five documents. In future, we will work on similarity detection using synonyms which will be useful to detect paraphrasing plagiarism.
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