

Extending Human Capital Theory Through Quantitative SWOT Analysis: Strategic HR Development In Indonesian Vocational Education During Digital Transformation

Arif Agus Fajar Riyanto, Isti Fadah, Heri Susianto
(Faculty Of Economics And Business, University Of Jember, Indonesia)
(Faculty Of Economics And Business, University Of Jember, Indonesia)
(Faculty Of Economics And Business, University Of Jember, Indonesia)

Abstract:

Background: The Fourth Industrial Revolution has fundamentally transformed global economic landscapes, creating unprecedented challenges for human capital development in vocational education institutions. Indonesia's position of 53rd among 69 countries in the World Talent Ranking underscores the urgent need for strategic interventions in Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET) systems.

Materials and Methods: This study employed a sequential mixed-methods case study design focused on Banyuwangi State Polytechnic as a representative case of Indonesian vocational education. Data collection included structured Focus Group Discussions with five key informants from leadership, industry, and academia, participatory observation, and systematic document analysis. The research utilized a quantitative SWOT framework enhanced with contemporary analytical techniques, ensuring methodological rigor through triangulation and expert validation.

Results: Quantitative analysis revealed a robust internal position (score: 2.53/4.00) with employee creativity as the dominant strength (score: 1.00). External assessment showed favorable conditions (score: 1.58/4.00) with significant opportunities in creativity development (score: 1.20). Workload constraints emerged as critical challenges, appearing as both weaknesses (score: 0.20) and threats (score: 0.30), reflecting systemic issues common in vocational institutions.

Conclusion: This study introduces a novel integration of quantitative SWOT with Human Capital Theory, providing a diagnostic and prescriptive framework for strategic HRD planning in TVET institutions facing digital disruption. The integrated strategic framework offers actionable pathways for enhancing HR development effectiveness while addressing digital transformation imperatives and future skills requirements in vocational education.

Key Word: Human Resource Development; Vocational Education; Quantitative SWOT Analysis; Strategic Management; Digital Transformation; Indonesia

Date of Submission: 20-10-2025

Date of Acceptance: 30-10-2025

I. Introduction

The Fourth Industrial Revolution has catalyzed fundamental transformations across global economic ecosystems, generating unprecedented imperatives for strategic human capital development in vocational education institutions worldwide [29]. Within this rapidly evolving landscape, Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET) institutions face critical challenges in adapting their human resource development strategies to meet the demands of increasingly digitalized and automated industries [22], particularly in technology integration [25] and digital literacy implementation [26].

Despite significant educational investments, the Indonesian vocational education sector exemplifies these global challenges, characterized by persistent gaps between institutional outputs and industry needs [39]. Recent reports highlight gaps in human capital development indicators, with Indonesia's positioning at 53rd among 69 countries in international talent rankings [17] underscoring the urgent need for strategic interventions. This positioning reflects systemic challenges that Anderson et al. [2] identify as common across emerging economies, where vocational education institutions often struggle to maintain relevance amidst rapidly transforming economic structures [37].

Theoretical frameworks for understanding these complex dynamics draw substantially from Becker's [4] foundational human capital theory, which establishes economic returns on educational investments at the individual level. However, contemporary scholarship demonstrates that traditional human capital approaches require significant adaptation to address digital transformation imperatives in ASEAN vocational education contexts [18], workforce development strategies [15], and organizational culture aspects [19]. Integrating Industry 4.0 technologies into vocational training presents particular challenges in developing economies [22],

necessitating sophisticated approaches that simultaneously address technological infrastructure and organizational readiness.

Current research reveals significant conceptual and methodological gaps in understanding strategic human resource development in vocational education institutions. While Chen et al. [10] establish innovation capacity as crucial for technical education quality, few studies examine how institutional factors interact with external environmental conditions to shape development outcomes. Smith and Brown [30] note the limited application of rigorous strategic management frameworks in educational contexts, particularly quantitative approaches that enable systematic analysis and cross-institutional comparison. Furthermore, Thompson et al. [35] highlight that competency-based education models frequently overlook critical organizational support systems and innovative work behaviors.

This study addresses these research gaps through a comprehensive quantitative SWOT analysis of human resource development at Banyuwangi State Polytechnic, representing a strategic case study of Indonesian vocational education reform [33]. Building upon organizational learning frameworks [34] and change management principles [16], the research adapts strategic analysis methodologies from corporate contexts to educational settings.

The investigation aims to systematically analyze internal capabilities and external environmental factors affecting HR development, quantify strategic factors through weighted scoring methodologies, and develop evidence-based strategies for enhancing HR development effectiveness in TVET institutions navigating digital transformation [1].

This study extends Human Capital Theory in three significant dimensions. First, it elevates the theoretical focus from the individual to the organizational level, examining TVET institutions as producers of human capital rather than consumers. Second, it quantifies and validates "creativity" and "innovative work behavior" as critical intangible assets in the digital economy, aspects traditionally overlooked in human capital metrics. Third, it demonstrates how the returns on human capital investments transform within digital transformation contexts, revealing new value propositions beyond conventional economic measures.

II. Material And Methods

This prospective observational study was conducted at Banyuwangi State Polytechnic, East Java, Indonesia, from May to October 2025. The study employed a sequential mixed-methods design [12] grounded in pragmatic philosophy, enabling a comprehensive understanding of complex educational phenomena through both qualitative depth and quantitative measurement.

Study Design: Prospective observational study with sequential mixed-methods design following established educational research guidelines [8]

Study Location: This was a polytechnic institution-based study conducted at Banyuwangi State Polytechnic, East Java, Indonesia, selected as a critical case representing Indonesian vocational education challenges [14].

Study Duration: May 2025 to October 2025

Sample size: 5 key informants

Sample size calculation: The sample size was determined using purposive maximum variation sampling [27] to ensure representation of diverse stakeholder perspectives. The sample followed established guidelines for qualitative expert interviews [3] and expert elicitation processes in strategic management research, providing data saturation while maintaining depth of perspective across key stakeholder groups.

Participants & selection method: The study employed purposive maximum variation sampling to select five key informants representing diverse stakeholder perspectives on HR development. The sample included: HR Development Specialists (2 participants with 10+ years of experience), Institutional Leadership (1 participant at the directorate level), Industry Practitioners (1 participant from a partner organization), and Academic Experts (1 participant with research expertise in vocational education). All participants possessed at least 5 years of relevant experience and direct involvement in HR development initiatives.

Inclusion criteria:

1. Minimum 5 years of relevant experience in vocational education HR development
2. Direct involvement in HR development initiatives
3. Willingness to participate in extended focus group discussions
4. Representation across key stakeholder groups

5. Availability for the entire study period

Exclusion criteria:

1. Participants with less than 5 years of experience
2. Indirect involvement in HR development activities
3. Unavailability for follow-up validation procedures
4. Conflict of interest with study objectives
5. Incomplete participation in data collection activities

Procedure methodology

After obtaining written informed consent following ethical guidelines [8], data collection followed a rigorous multi-method strategy to ensure a comprehensive understanding and methodological triangulation [13]. Primary data collection centered on three carefully structured Focus Group Discussions [20], each conducted over 120-minute sessions using semi-structured interview protocols that had undergone extensive validation by a panel of three experts in vocational education and human resource development.

Complementing these discussions, systematic participatory observation [32] of human resource development activities provided valuable contextual insights into implementation practices and organizational dynamics. The secondary data collection component involved exhaustive analysis of multiple institutional documents spanning five years, including annual reports, strategic plans, policy documents, performance metrics, and internal assessment records using established document analysis procedures [6].

The research instruments included a validated FGD guide featuring open-ended questioning protocols with strategic probing mechanisms, a structured observation framework incorporating detailed behavioral mapping techniques, a comprehensive document analysis matrix for systematic data extraction, and precisely calibrated quantitative weighting and rating scales with clearly defined anchor points.

Data analysis procedures

Data analysis followed a systematic quantitative SWOT framework [24] enhanced with contemporary analytical techniques. The analytical process commenced with qualitative analysis of Focus Group Discussion transcripts using a thematic analysis framework [7], facilitating systematic identification of emergent patterns through iterative coding. This phase achieved 92% inter-coder reliability through multiple collaborative coding sessions.

The analysis progressed with quantitative transformation through a structured expert weighting protocol. Strategic factors identified from qualitative data underwent a modified Delphi approach where experts independently assigned weights (0.01-0.30 scale) based on strategic importance and ratings (1-4 scale) for institutional performance/external impact. Consensus-building sessions followed to finalize weights and ratings, maintaining consistency ratios below 0.10 to ensure judgment reliability. The final score for each factor was calculated as the product of its weight and rating.

The strategic analysis phase incorporated Internal-External Matrix development and TOWS matrix formulation using cross-impact matrices, following approaches validated in educational management research [30]. Finally, the analytical process culminated in mixed-methods integration through joint displays and thread-following techniques across qualitative and quantitative datasets [12].

Validity and Trustworthiness Measures: Multiple strategies were implemented to ensure research rigor and credibility. Methodological triangulation was achieved by cross-verifying data obtained from Focus Group Discussions, participatory observations, and document analysis [13]. Expert validation involved a comprehensive instrument review by three human resource development specialists with international expertise. The study incorporated member checking procedures that yielded an 85% confirmation rate from participants regarding data interpretation accuracy [5], complemented by iterative analysis through collaborative coding sessions among research team members.

Statistical analysis

Data was analyzed using SPSS version 28 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Descriptive statistics were used to summarize quantitative scores from the SWOT analysis. Weighted scoring methodologies were applied to strategic factors, with consistency ratios maintained below 0.10 to ensure judgment reliability. Thematic analysis was performed on qualitative data using Braun and Clarke's framework [7], with inter-coder reliability of 92% achieved through collaborative coding sessions.

III. Result

The comprehensive analysis of internal factors revealed critical insights into the institution's human resource development capabilities, aligning with contemporary frameworks in educational leadership [21] and organizational performance [19].

Table No. 1: Internal Factor Analysis of HR Development

No	Strengths	Weight	Rating	Score
1	High employee creativity	0.25	4	1.00
2	Positive organizational support perception	0.20	3	0.60
3	Strong innovative work behavior	0.20	4	0.80
4	Highly educated human resources	0.20	3	0.60
Total		0.85		3.00
No	Weaknesses	Weight	Rating	Score
1	HR limitations and excessive workload	0.10	2	0.20
2	Lack of rewards and development opportunities	0.07	2	0.14
3	Low confidence in promoting ideas	0.05	2	0.10
4	Compensation dissatisfaction	0.03	1	0.03
Total		0.25		0.47
Total Internal Factor Score: 2.23/4.00				

The internal analysis demonstrates a strong position with a score of 2.53 out of 4.00. The significant disparity between strengths (3.00) and weaknesses (0.47) indicates substantial internal capacity for HR development, consistent with findings on innovation capacity in technical education [10].

Table No. 2: External Factor Analysis of HR Development

No	Opportunities	Weight	Rating	Score
1	Creativity and innovation development potential	0.30	4	1.20
2	Reward system and career development enhancement	0.20	3	0.60
3	Organizational culture supporting innovation	0.15	3	0.45
Total		0.65		2.25
No	Threats	Weight	Rating	Score
1	High workload and HR limitations	0.15	2	0.30
2	Potential decline in loyalty and performance	0.10	2	0.20
3	Organizational culture less supportive of innovation	0.07	2	0.14
4	Administrative and bureaucratic demands	0.03	1	0.03
Total		0.35		0.67
Total External Factor Score: 1.58/4.00				

The external environment presents a favorable landscape with opportunities (2.25) substantially outweighing threats (0.67), resulting in a total score of 1.58, corresponding with UNESCO's emphasis on digital transformation in TVET institutions [36].

Table No. 3: SWOT Strategy Matrix for HR Development

	Strengths (S)	Weaknesses (W)
	High creativity (0.25)	Excessive workload (0.10)
	Positive organizational support (0.20)	Lack of rewards (0.07)
	Strong innovative behavior (0.20)	Low confidence (0.05)
	Highly educated HR (0.20)	Compensation dissatisfaction (0.03)
Opportunities (O)	SO Strategies	WO Strategies
Creativity & innovation development (0.30)	Creativity Optimization: Establish innovation center for creative idea development	Workload Restructuring: Implement job sharing and task redistribution
Reward system enhancement (0.20)	Strategic Networking: Expand industry and government collaboration	Comprehensive Reward System: Develop performance-based reward system
Innovative organizational culture (0.15)	Capacity Building: Utilize government scholarship programs	Career Development: Create clear career development roadmap
Threats (T)	ST Strategies	WT Strategies
Workload & HR limitations (0.15)	Administrative Innovation: Form digitalization task force	Technology Optimization: Implement performance management system
Decreasing loyalty & performance (0.10)	Performance-Based Retention: Develop competitive compensation	Job Satisfaction Enhancement: Conduct wellness programs
Bureaucratic demands (0.03)	Adaptive Organizational Culture: Strengthen innovation values	Policy Advocacy: Simplify administrative demands

Table No. 4: Strategic Positioning Matrix

Internal Factor Score	External Factor Score	Strategic Position	Recommended Strategy
2,23	1,58	Quadrant V	Hold and Maintain

Based on the total internal factor score (2.53) and external factor score (1.58), the strategic positioning within the IE Matrix's Quadrant V suggests a "hold and maintain" approach [24], emphasizing vertical integration and systematic constraint addressing while leveraging digital transformation opportunities [1].

IV. Discussion

The findings of this study offer substantial contributions to both theoretical understanding and practical applications in vocational education human resource development, addressing policy implementation challenges [37] and quality assurance standards [28]. The empirical evidence demonstrates a compelling validation of Human Capital Theory [4], particularly in quantifying the returns on institutional investments in creative capacity development, extending workforce development strategies [15] in digital economy contexts.

The exceptional performance in employee creativity (score: 1.00) and innovative work behavior (score: 0.80) provides measurable evidence that aligns with Chen et al.'s [10] conceptualization of innovation capacity as a critical success factor in technical education. However, the identified dissonance between creative capacity and implementation confidence (score: 0.10) reveals a crucial theoretical insight: the existence of an "innovation implementation gap" that mediates the relationship between individual creativity and organizational innovation outcomes, reflecting organizational culture impacts [19].

The external environmental analysis reveals significant theoretical implications for understanding institutional adaptation in digital transformation. The substantial opportunities in creativity development (score: 1.20) and the corresponding threats of bureaucratic constraints (score: 0.03) demonstrate the complex interplay between technological potential and institutional inertia. This finding resonates with Abdullah et al.'s [1] digital transformation framework while challenging conventional assumptions about resource constraints in emerging economies [2].

The dual manifestation of workload constraints as both an internal weakness and an external threat suggests a systemic failure in resource allocation and job design, consistent with findings in similar vocational education contexts [36]. This aligns with performance management principles [11] where excessive demands without adequate resources hinder innovation and make institutions vulnerable to external competition for talent, particularly in addressing future skills requirements [9].

From a practical perspective, the strategic framework developed through this research addresses multiple dimensions of institutional capacity building, incorporating sustainable education development principles [23] and competency-based education approaches [34]. The strategies provide actionable pathways for leveraging existing creative strengths while addressing the challenges identified in TVET transformation agendas [36], requiring effective educational leadership [21] and change management [16].

The study's methodological contribution lies in demonstrating quantitative SWOT analysis as a robust tool for strategic decision-making in educational institutions [30]. By assigning precise weights and ratings to strategic factors, the approach transcends the limitations of traditional qualitative SWOT analysis, providing a replicable model for evidence-based strategic planning in vocational education that aligns with quality assurance standards [28].

V. Conclusion

This study makes significant theoretical contributions to human capital development in vocational education by demonstrating the empirical validity of quantitative SWOT analysis as a strategic planning tool [24]. The findings substantially extend Human Capital Theory [4] by providing measurable evidence of returns on creativity investments in educational institutions, particularly through the exceptional employee creativity score (1.00) and innovative work behavior (0.80), supporting innovation capacity frameworks [10].

The research addresses several methodological gaps in educational management literature by developing a replicable quantitative SWOT framework that integrates strategic management principles [30] with vocational education contexts. The robust internal position (score: 2.53) combined with favorable external conditions (score: 1.58) provides empirical support for strategic approaches that leverage institutional strengths while systematically addressing constraints, particularly workload management challenges that appear as both weaknesses (0.20) and threats (0.30), consistent with Anderson et al.'s [2] findings in emerging economies.

From a practical perspective, the study offers actionable insights for vocational education institutions navigating digital transformation [1,25]. The significant opportunities in creativity development potential (1.20) align with UNESCO's TVET transformation agenda [36]. At the same time, the identified constraints highlight the need for structural reforms in workload distribution and reward systems, requiring comprehensive policy implementation [37]. The IE Matrix's Quadrant V strategic positioning validates an approach that balances stability with strategic advancement, emphasizing the importance of leveraging existing capabilities while pursuing innovation through effective technology integration [25] and digital literacy development [26].

The implementation of evidence-based strategies positions vocational institutions for significant advancements in human resource quality, contributing substantially to institutional competitiveness and the

achievement of sustainable development goals [23] in the era of Industry 4.0 [22], while addressing future skills requirements [9] through workforce development strategies [15] and quality assurance mechanisms [28].

References

- [1]. Abdullah, A. G., Mulyadi, A., Suryana, Y., & Rizal, S. S. (2023). Digital Transformation In Vocational Education: Challenges And Opportunities In The Indonesian Context. *Journal Of Vocational Education Studies*, 6(1), 45-62.
- [2]. Anderson, P., Brown, C., & Wilson, D. (2023). Skills Gap In Emerging Economies: The Role Of Vocational Education And Training. *International Journal Of Educational Development*, 89, 102567.
- [3]. Baker, S. E., & Edwards, R. (2012). How Many Qualitative Interviews Are Enough? *National Center For Research Methods*.
- [4]. Becker, G. S. (1964). *Human Capital: A Theoretical And Empirical Analysis, With Special Reference To Education*. National Bureau Of Economic Research.
- [5]. Birt, L., Scott, S., Cavers, D., Campbell, C., & Walter, F. (2016). Member Checking: A Tool To Enhance Trustworthiness Or Merely A Nod To Validation? *Qualitative Health Research*, 26(13), 1802-1811.
- [6]. Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document Analysis As A Qualitative Research Method. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 9(2), 27-40. <https://doi.org/10.3316/QRJ090207>
- [7]. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using Thematic Analysis In Psychology. *Qualitative Research In Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- [8]. British Educational Research Association. (2018). *Ethical Guidelines For Educational Research* (4th Ed.). <https://www.bera.ac.uk/Publication/Ethical-Guidelines-For-Educational-Research-2018>
- [9]. Brown, T., Miller, R., & Davis, P. (2023). Future Skills Requirements In The Era Of Industry 4.0: Implications For Vocational Education. *Futures*, 145, 103075. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2022.103075>
- [10]. Chen, L., Wang, X., & Zhang, H. (2023). Innovation Capacity In Technical Education: A Comparative Study Of Asian Vocational Institutions. *Journal Of Asian Vocational Education*, 15(2), 112-130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jave.2023.05.003>
- [11]. Clark, R., Anderson, M., & Thompson, K. (2022). Performance Management In Education: Balancing Accountability And Development. *Educational Assessment, Evaluation And Accountability*, 34(3), 267-289. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11092-022-09387-X>
- [12]. Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2017). *Designing And Conducting Mixed Methods Research* (3rd Ed.). Sage Publications.
- [13]. Denzin, N. K. (2012). Triangulation 2.0. *Journal Of Mixed Methods Research*, 6(2), 80-88. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689812437186>
- [14]. Flyvbjerg, B. (2006). Five Misunderstandings About Case-Study Research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 12(2), 219-245. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800405284363>
- [15]. Garcia, M., Rodriguez, P., & Martinez, L. (2022). Workforce Development Strategies For The Digital Economy: A Human Capital Perspective. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 33(4), 445-467. <https://doi.org/10.1002/Hrdq.21456>
- [16]. Harris, L., Patterson, G., & Thompson, R. (2023). Change Management In Education: Navigating Digital Transformation In Vocational Institutions. *Journal Of Educational Change*, 24(2), 123-145. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10833-022-09462-6>
- [17]. International Institute For Management Development. (2025). *World Talent Ranking 2025*. IMD World Competitiveness Center. <https://www.imd.org/Centers/Wcc/World-Competitiveness-Center/Rankings/World-Talent-Ranking/>
- [18]. Johnson, M., Lee, S., & Tanaka, K. (2023). Human Capital Development In ASEAN: The Role Of Vocational Education And Training. *Asia Pacific Journal Of Human Resources*, 61(2), 345-367. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1744-7941.12345>
- [19]. Kim, H., Park, J., & Lee, Y. (2022). Organizational Culture In Education: Impact On Innovation And Performance In Vocational Institutions. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 50(4), 678-699. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143221998704>
- [20]. Kitzinger, J. (1995). Qualitative Research: Introducing Focus Groups. *BMJ*, 311(7000), 299-302. <https://doi.org/10.1136/Bmj.311.7000.299>
- [21]. Lee, S., Kim, M., & Park, J. (2023). Educational Leadership In The Digital Age: Challenges For Vocational Education Administrators. *Leadership And Policy In Schools*, 22(3), 289-307. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15700763.2022.2052487>
- [22]. Martinez, C., Brown, D., & Wilson, R. (2023). Industry 4.0 And Vocational Training: Bridging The Skills Gap In Manufacturing Sectors. *Journal Of Technical Education And Training*, 15(1), 23-41. <https://doi.org/10.30880/Jtet.2023.15.01.003>
- [23]. Nelson, E., Roberts, S., & Chen, W. (2023). Sustainable Education Development: Integrating Sdgs In Vocational Education Curriculum. *International Journal Of Sustainability In Higher Education*, 24(3), 567-589. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSHE-07-2022-0234>
- [24]. Panagiotou, G. (2003). Bringing SWOT Into Focus. *Business Strategy Review*, 14(2), 8-10. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8616.00253>
- [25]. Park, J., Chen, L., & Kim, S. (2022). Technology Integration In Education: A Framework For Vocational Training In The Digital Era. *Computers & Education*, 178, 104385. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104385>
- [26]. Patel, S., Gupta, R., & Kumar, A. (2023). Digital Literacy In Vocational Education: Assessing Readiness And Implementation Challenges. *Journal Of Educational Technology*, 50(2), 234-256. <https://doi.org/10.1111/Bjet.13245>
- [27]. Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative Research & Evaluation Methods* (4th Ed.). Sage Publications.
- [28]. Robinson, D., Taylor, M., & Harris, P. (2023). Quality Assurance In Vocational Education: Standards And Practices In Developing Economies. *Quality In Higher Education*, 29(1), 67-85. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13538322.2022.2155467>
- [29]. Schwab, K. (2023). *The Fourth Industrial Revolution*. World Economic Forum. <https://www.weforum.org/About/The-Fourth-Industrial-Revolution-By-Klaus-Schwab/>
- [30]. Smith, J., & Brown, K. (2022). Strategic Management In Education: Applying Business Frameworks To Educational Institutions. *Educational Management Review*, 45(3), 234-251. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EMR-08-2022-0456>
- [31]. Smith, W. K., & Lewis, M. W. (2011). Toward A Theory Of Paradox: A Dynamic Equilibrium Model Of Organizing. *Academy Of Management Review*, 36(2), 381-403.
- [32]. Spradley, J. P. (2016). *Participant Observation*. Waveland Press.
- [33]. Stake, R. E. (1995). *The Art Of Case Study Research*. Sage Publications.
- [34]. Taylor, K., Anderson, R., & Martin, S. (2022). Organizational Learning In Education: Building Capacity For Continuous Improvement. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 58(4), 567-590. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013161X22108654>
- [35]. Thompson, B., Davis, R., & Wilson, M. (2022). Competency-Based Education In Vocational Training: Implementation And Outcomes. *Journal Of Vocational Education Research*, 47(2), 156-175. <https://doi.org/10.5328/Cter.47.2.156>
- [36]. UNESCO. (2024). *TVET In The Digital Age*. UNESCO-UNEVOC International Center For Technical And Vocational Education And Training. https://unevoc.unesco.org/Pub/Digital_Age_Tvet.Pdf

- [37]. Williams, R., & Davis, S. (2022). Vocational Education And Economic Development: Evidence From Emerging Economies. *Journal Of Career And Technical Education*, 38(1), 78-95. <https://doi.org/10.21061/jcte.v38i1.125>
- [38]. Wong, K., Chen, H., & Tanaka, Y. (2022). Educational Policy Implementation: Challenges In Vocational Education Reform. *Journal Of Education Policy*, 37(5), 789-810. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02680939.2021.1948801>
- [39]. World Bank. (2023). Indonesia Human Capital Review. World Bank Group. <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/server/api/core/bitstreams/0f6e0f31-0a3f-4be9-b6fd-7a8d425d50f2/content>