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Abstract: Knowledge is an important organizational source that provides the sustainable competitive advantage in a competitive and dynamic economy. Knowledge sharing has become an essence for knowledge management. It is as an activity to disseminate the information, to collaborate with others to solve problems, develop innovative ideas, or implement policies or procedures. However, previous studies have shown that individuals are reluctant to share their knowledge as they consider that they will lose their status in the organization if they share knowledge with others. Therefore, in the execution of knowledge management activities, knowledge sharing is recognized as the most reluctant and difficult factor. Based on the literature review we developed a conceptual framework that identifies key organizational factors which significantly influence the explicit and tacit knowledge sharing. This study categorized the organizational factors into three parts as most repeatedly used factors, less researched factors and least researched factors and examines their relationships with knowledge sharing. Thus, the purpose of this study is to examine the relationship between key organizational factors and knowledge sharing. The paper concludes with a discussion of emerging issues, new research directions with some suggestions for future research.
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I. Introduction

Knowledge is a critical organizational resource that provides a sustainable competitive advantage in a competitive and dynamic economy [1], [2], [3], [4], [5]. It is as an activity to disseminate the information, to collaborate with others to solve problems, develop innovative ideas, or implement policies or procedures. Effective managing and sharing of knowledge has the power to improve individual’s lives and society [6]. Despite the fact, individuals do not share their knowledge and reluctant to share it because they consider it important for themselves as it can help them to remain valuable in the organization [7]. Many researchers and practitioners have also found that the existence of technology alone is not sufficient in encouraging knowledge sharing behavior among employees, human dimensions must be considered. Thus we have emphasis on organizational factors that have cited as significant influences on employees knowledge sharing behavior in any organization. This paper discusses the relationship between knowledge sharing and organizational factors in three ways. 1. we review and integrate the literature from different fields examining how organizational factors influences knowledge sharing via explicit and tacit knowledge. 2. we identify the critical factors and categorized them into 3 parts as most researched area, less researched area and least researched area influencing knowledge sharing among employees within organizations. 3). Beside reviewing, some new factors are also included in this study.

II. Literature Review

Knowledge is considered as the economic resource for an organization. It is a process in which people interact and intentionally make knowledge available to each other and get something done better, more quickly or more efficiently. Knowledge that is possessed by an individual is more valuable when it is shared with others thus becoming a part of collective memory of an organization [8]. As a result, KS will affect organization’s long-run performance and competitiveness. Although knowledge sharing is crucial but still individuals do not share their knowledge because they consider it important for themselves [9] as it can help them to remain valuable in the organization [7]. Knowledge shared is either tacit or explicit knowledge [10]. Tacit knowledge resides in the mind of human being that acquired by interacting with others. It is unspoken and hidden [11] and deeply rooted in action, procedures, routines, commitment, ideals, values, and emotions [12], [13]. On the other hand, explicit knowledge is systematic knowledge often in written form such as books, documents and reports. Explicit knowledge can easily be codified, stored, and transferred across time and space independent of individuals [14]. Never the less, due to varied individual behavior, KS cannot happen easily.
2.1 Organizational Factors

Knowledge has been extensively recognized as the central foundation for generating an organization’s defensible economical benefit. It reveals that organizational factors have direct relationship with knowledge sharing behavior of the employees. Few organizational factors are as follows:

2.1.1. Culture: Organizational culture has a strong influence on knowledge-sharing behavior [15]. In the organizational environment, organizational culture represents the unspoken norms and shared values, beliefs, daily practices that shape the patterns and qualities of interactions between employees at different hierarchical levels [16]. It is the most frequently-cited factor supporting KS [17], [18], and [16]. A KS culture is also a key element in preventing the loss of human capital because it allows employees to learn and transfer their skills, knowledge, and experience to others in the organization. However, organizational culture has many dimensions and it may influence knowledge sharing positively or negatively [19], depending on the culture type. It also plays an important role on individuals’ decisions to share tacit knowledge.

2.1.2. Organizational structure: A flexible organizational structure encourages knowledge sharing while a rigid structure often has the unintended consequence of inhibiting such practices [20]. Researchers have shown that knowledge sharing may be facilitated by having a less centralized organizational structure [21].

2.1.3. Rewards and incentives: Researchers [22] have posited that reward is one of the most effective method of encouraging employees to share their knowledge to other employees [23], [21]. Organizational rewards and incentives such as promotion, bonus, and higher salary have been shown to be positively related to the frequency of knowledge contribution [5]. However, some studies found a positive impact of rewards on KS [24], [25], while others found negative influences [26], [27], [28], [29].

2.1.4. Social networking/environment: Social environment refers to the social relationships in which individuals are embedded [30]. It is important to study social relationships in organizational contexts because employees might exert pressure on co-workers’ behavior, and employees might also be influenced by pressure from other employees [15]. An individual is more likely to be influenced by another when the information provided is viewed as credible. On the other hand, tacit knowledge sharing is a genuine human interaction; hence, the ways in which employees perceive their social environment will influence their decision to engage in tacit knowledge-sharing behavior [15].

2.1.5. Top management support: Top management support specific to KS is a better predictor of employee KS behavior [32]. It affects both the level and quality of knowledge sharing [31], [5]. Managers are increasingly required to stimulate subordinates to voluntarily share their knowledge and experience, and convert the shared knowledge into organizational assets.

2.1.6. Leadership: Leadership is a relevant factor influencing knowledge sharing [33]. Reference [34] discussed the role of leadership in encouraging and nurturing the knowledge sharing behavior. This study also highlighted that empowering leadership not only leads to knowledge sharing, but also positively influences efficacy, consequently leading to better team performance. Therefore, leadership is a factor that plays an important role in affecting individuals’ cognitive state and helping in sharing knowledge with others or contributing to KM system [8].

2.1.7. Work process: It is difficult to capture knowledge as people are reluctant or refused to contribute their knowledge or are incapable to deliver their knowledge. Researchers suggested the best way to make people capable to share, which is to contribute knowledge as part of their work process [6].

2.1.8. Values: Values are seen as an important driver in sharing knowledge [8] that ultimately affects knowledge sharing behavior. It is the process of espousal and enactment by the organization and through the internalization by the individual, that values such as dialogue can affect knowledge sharing behavior [35]. Additionally, values are seen as an important driver in the use of information technology in sharing knowledge [36], [8].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Organisational Factors Influencing Knowledge Sharing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organisational Factors</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure/Structural diversity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rewards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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2.1.9. Openness and Fairness: Study [37] viewed openness as the partner’s willingness to communicate and interact, but on the side, only be willing to share selectively and on specific subjects that do not negatively affect their own interests [29]. From the organizational perspective, if employees cannot freely or are not allowed to share information, knowledge, ideas, or views about their work without a superior’s permission, they will exhibit a passive manner in KS. However employees who are high in openness are more engaged in KS activities [38]. Reference [39], who also support this view, argue that openness influences the transfer of knowledge between partners. In addition, openness is a strong predictor of KS because openness to experience is a reflection of a person’s curiosity and originality, which in turn are predictors of seeking other people’s views and insights [38], [40]. Researchers [41] argued that when people feel their organizational environment is fair, they display a high level of KS behavioral intention based on their perceptions of trust and commitment [29].

2.1.10. Climate: Organizational climate is an important determinant of intention to share knowledge [15]. A climate encourages new ideas and focused on learning from failure was positively related to effective knowledge sharing [42], [5]. Previous studies also emphasized that equal climate encourages knowledge sharing [43], [44], [45], [46].

2.1.11. Fellow workers support: The interaction that exists between the workers and other employees can greatly impact knowledge sharing among them [47]. If employees realize that their co-worker are their partners, then will help one another in their task as well as their view to knowledge sharing will become favorable [48].

2.1.12. Organizational commitment: Organizational commitment has a positive impact on knowledge sharing. Study [7] suggested that when people are committed to their organization then they will think for the overall benefit of the organization and that is possible by increasing the overall productivity of employees which can be achieved through knowledge sharing.

2.1.13. Organizational environment: Employee’s beliefs about the extent to which their organization has fairly fulfilled its obligations to them would affect their KS behaviors in informal interactions within the organization [49]. When people feel their organizational environment is fair, they display a high level of KS behavioral [41]. Previous Research has shown that employees are more committed to the organization, have more trust and are more satisfied when perceived as being fair [50], [51], [29]. Therefore, if organizational practices are perceived to be equitable and non arbitrary or capricious [26], employees are more likely to share their knowledge and expertise with others.

III. Conceptual Framework

The purpose of this study is to develop a suitable model and examines the possible relationships between organizational factors and knowledge sharing. This review reflects an influence of organizational factors on knowledge sharing via explicit and tacit knowledge sharing. The conceptual framework shown in Fig.
I shows the three emphasis areas of organizational factors and examines the relationships between each area of emphasis with knowledge sharing. In this framework, knowledge sharing (explicit and tacit knowledge sharing) is the dependent variables and organizational factors are taken as independent variables. The independent variables as shown in the dark shaded boxes with solid lines have been examined in most of the literature while the factors shown in the light shaded boxes with dotted lines are the less researched area and white boxes with close dotted lines are the least research areas which needs further research attention.

![Fig1: Framework of organizational factors on knowledge sharing](image)

IV. Future Directions of Knowledge Sharing Research

Organizational factors influences knowledge sharing directly but the dearth of research is found in context of tacit and explicit knowledge sharing. Moreover, future studies should distinguish between sharing of tacit and explicit knowledge as they are quite different in nature. This paper categorized the organizational factors into three parts as most repeatedly used factors, less researched factors and least researched factors. Thus, this study suggests that these critical factors need to be investigated further and can be examined empirically.

V. Conclusion

In the knowledge-based era, Survival of any organization heavily depends on knowledge sharing, therefore, how to motivate employees to share their knowledge are the core and most difficult activity of KM. For this purpose this paper conceptually linked some of the organizational factors to knowledge sharing. This review also provides a conceptual framework, and identifies new factors for future research. This review highlights that although there is a growing literature on knowledge sharing, however, it is suggested that future research can focus on knowledge-sharing-related studies in multidisciplinary fields to present the factors affecting knowledge contribution more comprehensively.
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