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Abstract: Waqf properties constitute a large percentage of societal wealth in several Muslim countries. Yet, many Muslim countries are facing enormous socio-economic problems such as poverty, illiteracy and lack of basic healthcare services. These socio-economic problems encourage contemporary Muslim scholars to revive the traditional methods of financing the development of waqf properties to ensure that waqf institution plays its vital role on improving the social welfare of the ummah. This paper examines the traditional waqf-based financing methods of developing waqf properties and their implications on socio-economic development. We have realized that with good waqf management and effective legislations, istibdal could be more suitable for the development of waqf properties, which in turn will finance socio-economic development. Nevertheless, all the traditional waqf-based financing methods may not be suitable in most of the low income Muslim countries, as there are widespread corruption and abuses of waqf properties. Therefore, allowing the waqf management to develop waqf assets using these traditional methods may expose waqf assets to further abuses and expropriation. The paper suggests that, putting the right waqf management teams which practices good governance; cash waqf, waqf share scheme and corporate waqf would be the most suitable methods of financing both the development of waqf properties as well as socio-economic development.
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I. Introduction

According to income-based measures of poverty, 1.2 billion people live with $1.25 or less a day (UNDP report, 2014). However, the report stresses that, using UNDP Multidimensional Poverty Index; almost 1.5 billion people in 91 developing countries are living in poverty with overlapping deprivations in health, education and living standards. The report warns that persistent vulnerability threatens human development, and unless it is systematically tackled by universal provision of basic social services, stronger policies for social protection and full employment, progress will be neither equitable nor sustainable(UNDP report, 2014).

However, to note that these socio-economic problems cannot be solved by either market system or governments alone; there is a need for non-profit sector to play vital roles in the provision of social and public goods. According to Weisbrod (1998), governments and non-profit organisations are better than market institutions at supplying public goods. But when the electorates’ demands for these goods are heterogeneous, then non-profit organizations are the most suitable in supplying them. He argues that even when demands are not heterogeneous, governments may prefer to subsidise the provision of public goods by the third sector, rather than bear the full cost of supplying them. Billis and Glennerster (1998) argue that these nonprofit organisations are effective and efficient in providing services for consumers who suffer from financial, personal, and societal or community disadvantage. Putnam (1995) stresses that third-sector organisations have a particular role to play in fostering social capital, which he defines as the features of social organization, such as trust, norms and networks that can improve the efficiency of society by facilitating co-ordinated actions. The third sector fosters social capital that in turn promotes economic growth and contributes to the healthy operation of democratic societies (Putnam, 1995).

Indeed, historically, waqf which is a nonprofit sector, has played an important role in the provision of social goods such as education, hospitals; and public goods such as roads, mosques, bridges; caring for the orphans, the poor and the needy, the widows, the handicapped and the old, etc. (Sadeq, 2002). It has been argued that the entire health, education and welfare budget during the Osman Caliphate based in Istanbul came from its charitable foundations (Cizakca, 2000). Hodgson (1974) asserts that, although establishing a waqf is an act performed by an individual for piety and religious devotion, the endowment institution and its operation is
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solidsly situated in the public sphere over which it has significantly promoted welfare of Muslim societies and communities at large. For instance, in the 18th century, in Istanbul, with estimated population of 700,000, up to 30,000 people were fed on daily basis by charitable complexes established under the waqf system (Saduman and Aysun, 2009).

Unfortunately, today, many Muslim countries are among the developing countries that are facing many socio-economic problems such as poverty, unemployment, illiteracy, lack of basic healthcare services and shelter, etc. Moreover, in many Muslim countries, waqf forms a huge reserve of land with high potential for socio-economic development. Waqf land for agriculture constituted half of the size of the land in Algeria in the middle of nineteenth century, whereas it amounted to one-third in Tunisia in 1883; and one-eighth in Egypt in 1949 (Hoexter, 1998). In a more recent development, it is recorded that in Malaysia there are over 35,000 acres of land as waqf properties (Zulkifi and Muhammad, 2008). Meanwhile in Indonesia it is recorded as of September 2002 that there are around 147,204.7 hectares of land as waqf properties (Achmad, 2002). In Singapore, there are 100 awqaf registered, with over 200 properties and assets worth over S$ 350 million (Shamsiah, 2008). According to the India Prime Minister’s High Level Committee on the Social, Economic, and Educational Status of Indian Muslim Community report, there are more than 49 million awqaf in the country, with a market value of USD 24 billion. The Sachar Committee also pointed to the low incomes actually earned from waqf, compared with their high earning potential (Obaidullah, 2012). In Egypt, the value of waqf properties reach half trillion Egyptian pounds; but the annual income received is only estimated at 1.5 billion pounds (Zahri, 2014).

Despite, waqf properties constitute a large percentage of societal wealth in several Muslim countries with high potential to address socio-economic problems, waqf institution faces many challenges among others lack of financing, inefficient management teams, and legal constraints, etc. Thus, in order to solve financing problem, contemporary Muslim scholars revive the traditional modes of financing as well as innovating new methods of financing the development of waqf properties. This paper, therefore, examines the traditional waqf-based financing methods of developing waqf properties and their implications on socio-economic development of Muslim societies. In particular these methods include: Istibdal (substitution) Hukr (long leasing with a large advance lump sum), Al-Ijaratayn (lease with dual payment), and al-Mursad loan.

II. Concept of Waqf (Islamic Trust)

Al-Khassaf (1904) defined waqf as immobilization of an owned property (habs al-‘ayn) for the purpose of worship (‘ibadah) or charity (tasadduq) with revenues generated from that property are allocated to the poor, the needy and other beneficiaries. In other words, waqf is a perpetual dedication of movable or immovable properties for religious, pious or charitable purposes (Jasni, 2013). The legitimacy of waqf is derived from the tradition of the Prophet (P.B.U.H) and sanctioned by the Qur’an injunctions to give alms (Othman, 1983). For instance, Prophet (P.B.U.H), re-emphasized benevolence dedication of one’s wealth in anticipation of perpetual rewards in the hereafter by saying: “when a person dies, all his/her deed ceases except for three things: the establishment of running/continuous charity (Sadaqah), the knowledge that benefits others and a righteous child who prays for him/her” (Al-Tirmidhi, 1967 pp.660). Ibn Majah’s report adds a few details: “a Mosque that he/she builds, a house for the wayfarer, a river/canal he/she digs or a charity (Sadaqah) given during his/her life that continues (giving its benefits) after his/her death”. Waqf can be endowed in the form of movable assets (e.g. cash, horse, etc.) and immovable assets such as agricultural lands, fields, gardens and real estate. Muslim jurists agree that once a property is created as waqf, it has three main features: irrevocability, perpetuity and inalienability (Kahf, 1998). In that respect, no one can ever become the owner to alienate waqf property and the property is thus in nature, like a “frozen asset”. It cannot be sold, disposed, mortgaged, given as gift, inherited or expose to suffer any alienation whatsoever. All these features will secure a continual benefit for the present and future generations, and keep rewarding the founder until the Day of Judgment (Kahf, 1999). In relation to financing, traditionally; various methods of financing were adopted by the trustees of waqf for maintenance and investment of waqf properties. Some of these modes include: lease of buildings, commercial properties and land which are belong to waqf institution to generate rental income, farming of agricultural land and sales of their produce, as well as replacement of waqf assets where necessary (Kahf, 1998). Muslim jurists accepted these modes because they did not violate rules governing waqf properties (Anas Zarqa, 1994). According to Kahf (1998), the classical books of figh categorized the traditional methods of financing the development of waqf properties into: Istibdal (substitution); Hukr (long leasing with a large advance lump sum); Al-Ijaratayn (lease with dual payment); and al-Mursad loan.

III. Istibdal (substitution of Waqf Properties)

Substitution of waqf properties (Istibdal) is one of the classical mode of financing the development of waqf properties, where a waqf property is exchange for another property that provides at least similar service or income without any change in the provision laid out by the founder (Kahf, 1998). Kahf defines Istibdal as the
sale of all or part of waqf land and the proceeds from the sale can be used to purchase another piece of land dedicated as waqf for similar purposes. Section 2 of the State of Selangor Enactment of Waqf (Malaysia) defines istibdal as “to substitute a waqf property with another property or with money which is of the same or higher value than it either by substituting, purchasing, selling or any other means in accordance to Shariah law” (Hisham, et.al. 2013). The concept of istibdal enables the waqf institution to gain money to finance waqf investment project through substitution of less beneficial waqf land (Zulkfli and Muhammad, 2008).

Substitution can be divided into two forms i.e. substitution of one waqf with another similar asset and the substitution of waqf land with cash value (Kahef, 1998). In practice, the Istibdal has been used in several forms such as selling part of the waqf property to develop the remain of the same property, selling bundle of waqf properties and buying new one in exchange to be used for the same purpose of the sold properties. Or, selling one of the waqf properties and buying another property for the same purpose and lastly selling a handful properties belong to several waqf and buying a new property of higher value that could generate sufficient income to finance waqf project (Tahir Sabit, 2006).

The concept of Istibdal has been practiced in Malaysia and Singapore. In Malaysia it has already been practiced by the Islamic Religious Council of states of Pulau Pinang, Federal Territory, Selangor, Perak and Melaka (Zulkfli and Muhammad, 2008). For Example, Penang state has a good number of highly-valued waqf properties; and among them is waqf al-Mushoor. The land was initially intended for the construction of religious school. However, the waqf land is strategically located at the state’s administrative center and main business hub. Therefore, the state government agreed with Pinang State Islamic Religious Council to substitute this land with other land in addition to compensation. The agreement was reached and the state government paid RM 6 million and awarded 30 acres of land in Balik Pulau district as compensation to Pinang Islamic Religious Council (Hisham, et.al. 2013). In Singapore, istibdal was used by Singapore Islamic Religious Council (MUIS) to substitute the Madrasah Al-Mu'arrif Al-Islamiyah with a new building. The Madrasah was initially located in Ipoh Lane – a prime area and the madrasah needed a major renovation due to increase in number of students and the current building could not accommodate all the enrolled students. As a result the madrasah was reallocated to another place. This was possible because the land at Ipoh lane fetches a higher price than the land that the madrasah was reallocated. Since madrasah was not a commercial entity, it make more economical sense for it not be allocated in prime area. The exercise to reallocate the madrasah to a new place gave the madrasah a new lease of life with better facilities and a new building without resorting to high capital outlay and borrowings to develop the building (Shamsiah, 2008). Indeed, all these developments in waqf lands are geared toward eradicating poverty and improving the socio-economic conditions of Muslim communities.

However, there are several issues in relation to the use of istibdal as financing instrument to develop waqf properties for socio-economic development. First issue is the disagreement among Muslims jurists. Islamic jurists have different views on the concept of istibdal. This is due to the fact that there is no direct reference from al-Qur’an and sunnah pertaining to istibdal (Jasni, 2013). Some jurists allow the implementation of Istibdal for the development of waqf properties based on certain conditions, such as waqf estate is ruined, a land is turned barren, or a mosque, for some reason, is not used by people for prayers or destroyed and there is no means to reconstruct these assets (Tahir Sabit, 2006). In that respect waqf properties or mosque land can be sold or replaced with another property for the sake of development. For those jurists who disapproved istibdal, relied on the basis that waqf is perpetual and intention of waqf property should be perpetuated and continued for the purposes of piety, religions and charities, in order to benefit its beneficiaries. To achieve this principle, no exchange was permitted on whatever grounds (Jasni, 2013). The action of altering or exchanging of the waqf property is contrary to the concept of waqf, which should exist forever, perpetual and cannot be changed. In fact, a public waqf property is no longer owned by man as the ownership is transferred to the Allah. Prophet Muhammad (P.B.U.H) forbids sell, disposition, transfer, exchange or inheritance of waqf property (Ayub, 2003). Imam Maliki himself states that istibdal application on immovable property is prohibited unless there is maslahah needs for the istibdal to be implemented or for the benefits of the ummah such as expanding the mosque compound, the cemetery, public road and etc, or the state authorities took waqf property by force for economic development (Wahbah al-Zuhaili, 1989). However, other jurists argue that if a waqf asset no longer provides benefit, then it should be exchanged with other asset in order to ensure continuity of benefit for the interest of the beneficiaries. Mardawi (1956) a Hanbali jurist has said that waqf property cannot be sold unless there are no benefits at all. The sale price after being sold should be used to purchase another property. Similarly, a horse which is unfit for battle can be sold for purchasing another one. According to Ibn Taymiyyah (2000), waqf property should be sold to gain definite benefit. Thus, an exchange of waqf is permissible as long as it guarantees benefits to recipients. In this case, more money can be added to the value of waqf property in order to improve on the social welfare of the beneficiaries (Ibn Qudamah, 1999). Nazih Hamad (1993) summarizes the views of Islamic schools of thought on istibdal. According to him, the majority of Malikis jurists disapproved istibdal but a small minority allows it; some Shafiis jurists disapproved and others allow substitution of waqf properties. The Hanbalis allow istibdal including mosque if is ruined, and it is needed;
similarly, the Hanafis allow istibdal as mode of financing for development of waqf. Indeed, these disagreements may affect the use of this mode for developing waqf properties that in turn will limit the source of fund for socio-economic development.

Secondly, use of istibdal exposes waqf assets to the risks of corruption, mismanagement and expropriation. No doubt, there are some merits in using this method especially when high valued waqf asset are sold to develop other waqf assets which can generate sufficient incomes to finance socio-economic development. Nevertheless, the method requires waqf institution to implement best management practices that ensure transparency and accountability. Thus, the mode may not be suitable in some low income Muslim countries where there are widespread abuses of waqf properties by waqf management. Waqf managements in some of these countries are not transparent and accountable; and by allowing them to substitute waqf assets, this may give them legal justification to expropriate and misused waqf assets. Umar (2013) study on waqf in Uganda reveals that the majority of Muslim respondents (66%) do not trust waqf management in the country because they believe that they are not trustworthy, accountable, transparent, reliable, competent and honest. Mat Rani (2008) reveals that according to States Islamic Religious Councils (SIRC) in Malaysia records show that, most of the state authorities only pay for compensation of waqf properties without replacing the sold waqf properties. Therefore, without proper management in place there is a risk that the money from sales of waqf lands can be misused. Last but not least, from legal perspective the law on waqf or Trust in some countries makes it difficult for the trustee to replace or sell waqf assets. This can be another major obstacle in implementing istibdal for the development of waqf assets. In general, the method may not be suitable specifically in low-income Muslim countries, where waqf management teams exhibits varying degrees of dishonesty, inefficiency, corruption and mismanagement.

IV.

Hukr (Long Lease with Large Advance Lump Sum)

This method was developed by Hanafi Jurists in the middle of the third century of hijrah in order to prevent waqf properties from being sold in case of any danger, damages or dilapidated (Kahf, 1998). Instead of selling waqf property, the trustee (Nazer) can sell a right over waqf property to the lessee for long lease at a nominal periodical rent. This right of the property is sold for a large amount of money which is paid in advance. The lessee can then develop the property using his own resources and at his own risks as long as he/she pays periodical rent to the waqf administration (Kahf, 1998).

Literally, the term hukr means monopoly or exclusivity. In this mode of financing, the lessee has exclusive right over waqf property which can be for a long period that usually goes beyond the normal natural life span of human beings or it may be permanent. It is one of the example of the financial right that can be marketed i.e. sold, inherited, given as gift, bequest in the last will etc. (Anas Zarqa, 1994). Thus, in hukr, the lessee pay a large down payment nearly equal to the total value of the leased land, and in addition he is obliged to pay annually a very small rental, say three per thousand of the land’s value. Anas Zarqa adds, in return, the lessee gets virtually perpetual use of the land. He may plant it or build on it. He can sell that right to others, or bequeath it to his heirs.

The contract become effective with a court order and it facilitates the development of waqf properties that were otherwise in danger of being left barren, unutilized and unproductive (Kahf, 1998). The proceeds from the sale of rights to use waqf property plus periodic rent can be invested in other more profitable investment, or in maintaining and developing other waqf properties. Since the sale of the exclusivity right is not considered a sale of the waqf itself, monthly rental would be considered as income to waqf institutions while the lump sum that are acquired from the sale of the rights to the lessee would be used as fund for the development of waqf properties which are vital for socio-economic development (Obaidullah, 2012a).

Although, this method allows waqf institution to overcome financial problem, it is not worth taking. The institution virtually cedes all rights of utilizing the waqf asset in future. Moreover, the annual rental income is quite insignificant and it would be like waqf institution practically relinquished waqf land (Anas Zarqa, 1994). Essentially the hukr contract has similar outcome as that of a substitution. This enables the concerned parties to get around the Shariah restriction on sale of waqf asset. A sale of right to lease is like a sale of waqf asset as it makes waqf management to lose the asset for all practical purpose (Obaidullah, 2012b).

Kahf (1998), caution that the mode itself may be neutral but its application may sometimes give positive effects or negative effects to the beneficiaries/objectives of waqf. For example, if the management uses the proceeds prudently, then the mode can increase waqf assets and future cash inflow. However, if the management misuses the fund, then that may reduce waqf asset and future revenues. Kahf added that, this mode is known in certain countries as Leasehold, in which property is given for 99 years or so against payment of a lump sum price. Tahir Sabit, et.al. (2005), agree with the use of hukr as a mode of financing the development waqf property. According to them, the rental income if it is not abuse will provide sustainable income to waqf institution. In addition, if the lump sum amount received from sales of rights is used for developing waqf properties, the future income will increase and that will have positive impact on social welfare of Muslim
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communities. They further suggest that the lease period can be reduced from 99 years to the economic life span of a development project. If the economic life span of a project is 30 years where the investor can get his capital plus reasonable profits then that limitation should be imposed on hukr too. According to Obaidullah, some jurists have opted for an extended hukr with a finite maturity (say fifty years) rather than the perpetual hukr. The extended hukr would be in operation over the maturity period, after which the asset automatically reverts back to waqf management.

Abidin (1997) a Hanifi jurist asserted that if the lessee in long lease contract refused to pay a rental based on the market rate, he will forfeit his right and he is required to remove his structure and the land will be leased to another person. Thus, it appears that the rental is adjusted to reflect the changing market value of the land. However, Sadique, (2008) stresses that in practice rentals in hukr remains unchanged for long periods despite increase in the market rates (Sadique, 2008). Aminah and Tahir Sabit (2013) echo similar views and they lament that under such arrangement, waqf property remains in possession of the lessee for centuries. Moreover, there is no provision for revision of rentals and therefore can be detrimental to the interest of waqf institutions and its beneficiaries. Indeed, Sadique warns that this method should only be used under exceptional circumstances when there are no other avenues to raise fund to for the development of waqf properties. This is because waqf institution may have little control over the properties, once this right was sold to the lessee. But Kahf (1998) has different views and according to him, the criteria for acceptability of this mode do not depend on the amount of periodical rent, how small it may be, but on the fairness of the practice and the final utilization of the lump sum generated by selling exclusivity right.

Last but not least, hukr existed in the 12th century in Cairo and Syria. However, due to lack of proper waqf management and waqf properties were subject to long term contract; this has created a monitoring problem. Thus, it has given rise to the fraudulent act of tenant to sell the property outright or to claim the whole property as private property and abolished the waqf element in these properties. In view of the humongous problem it posed to the waqf properties, in 1952 Egyptian governed enacted article 7 of law no. 180 which abolished hukr on Waqf Ahli and in 1954 passed law no. 295 which banned application of hukr on Waqf khayr (public waqf). The same contract was also abolished in Iraq and Turkey (Baer, 1997). Indeed, hukr with indefinite right to lease waqf asset give legal justification to the tenant or his next of kin to expropriate waqf properties and in that respects it creates more problem to waqf institution than solving these problems.

V. Al-Ijaratan (Lease with Dual Payment)

Al-Ijaratan is a long lease contract in which the rent is consist of two parts, one big lump sum advance for the construction of waqf property and the second part is small periodic payment, say, annually for the period of the lease. This mode is very similar to hukr except that in Ijaratan, the advanced payment must be used for the construction of the leased property itself. It is obvious that in this contract the two parties (trustee and lessee) observed that the waqf property is rented after construction in accordance with specification determined in the contract (Kahf, 1998).

Al-Ijaratan mode emerged as a result of the destruction of the most of waqf properties by fire in Constantinople in 1020 A.H. and at that time, most of the social services depend on waqf institution (Al-Zarka, 1947). To solve this problem, waqf institution had to initiate the dual method to finance the reconstruction of damaged waqf properties. Under this arrangement a prospective lessee pay an amount of money that was sufficient for the reconstruction of the damaged buildings by way of advance rental, against his securing the right to occupy the structure on a permanent basis. In addition, the lessee also pays a periodic rental to the waqf institution. The right to use the waqf property can be transferred to another person, inherited and the contract of the lease is renewed annually (Anas Zarqa, 1994). Thus, this arrangement provided an alternative means to finance reconstruction, while avoiding violation of the prohibition on the sale of waqf properties (Sadique, 2008).

However, Al-Zarka (1947) views that Ijaratan mode of financing is even worse than the long lease because it contains more sacrifice on the part of waqf institution than in Hukr, especially, since this mode requires that the immediate cash payment should be used for the reconstruction of that particular waqf property. While in the hukr the lump sum from the sale of the right to lease can be used for any other investment outside the rented waqf property. Tahir Sabit, et.al (2005) argues that under ijaratan, the waqf beneficiaries may not substantially benefit from either the rental income or the advanced amount. This is due to the fact that the annual rental is fixed and small and the lump sum obtained from selling the lease right is spent on the reconstruction of the waqf premises that will be occupied and benefits the lessee. Considering the life span of the constructed building and the amount paid by the lease-holder this mode of finance does not look attractive. Additionally, the rental income may not be sufficient to construct other waqf properties or finance socio-economic development. Furthermore, there is a fear that the premise may be rented below the market price, as the rental is fixed before the construction. Nevertheless, if the rental is fixed after the construction, waqf institution may receive reasonable rental income as it will be rented at the current market rate. Moreover, the lessee acquired the right of
long term lease which can be transferred and bequeathed. This deprived the waqf institution from developing the land for other investment projects that may generate high income for socio-economic development as the right of the lessee is attached the waqf land (Sadique, 2008).

VI. Al-Mursad (loan)

This is a loan given to the waqf management by a lender to develop a waqf asset. After the legal approval is obtained, the asset is given on lease to the lender for a period deemed long enough to assure the lender of the definite possibility of the repayment of the loan (Obaidullah, 2012a). In other words, the lessee is being requested to finance the construction of waqf property and the amount spent remains a debt on the waqf institution which can be settled through the periodic rentals. The construction remained in the ownership of the waqf institution and the lessee is given the right to occupy the property permanently (Kahf, 1998). According to Obaidullah (2012a), long lease may be tempting and this can encourage the financier to advance a substantial amount of money as loan. By holding the asset as lease, the financier also has in possession valuable collateral. Once the loan is repaid, the lease comes to an end.

In that aspect, Hanafi scholars have ruled that if the waqf management raised any funds or generate income from the developed waqf property, the priority would be first towards meeting the Murassad loan and hence, the rental rate should be set based on prevalent market rates. However, when the rental had risen as a result of the development of the property through the Murassad arrangement; the lessee will not be required to pay the excess rental (Hassan, 1989).

The murassad as in case of hukr is transferable to a third party through sale and can be bequeathed. Indeed, jurists generally, disapproved on the extraordinary long lease period, which may not be in the best interest of the waqf institution. In a long lease, neither the lessee takes proper care of the property nor does the waqf institution. The waqf property suffers due to this dual apathy. Hence, murassad loan should be avoided as far as possible, as it tends to favours the lender more at the expense of the waqf institution (Obaidullah, 2012a). Kahf (1998) views that settling the loan is considered to be of more importance than supporting the beneficiaries of the waqf. This defeats the purposes as the assets will not contribute to socio-economic development.

VII. Conclusion

The practice of giving charity for improving social welfare of others is greatly encouraged in Islam. Over centuries, waqf as a social institution has played a significant role on improving the social welfare of the Muslim societies and communities at large. It also opens a door for wealthier Muslims to be generous and utilize their wealth in a way to seek Allah’s pleasure and perpetual rewards. Despite years of neglect, of recent, many attempts have been made to revive waqf institutions. Contemporary scholars encourage the use of both the traditional and new methods of financing to develop waqf properties for socio-economic development. Some of the traditional methods such as istibdal and hukr to some extent are successfully implemented in Malaysia and Singapore in developing waqf properties as these countries have effective waqf management and proper legislations. Nevertheless, these traditional methods of financing may not be suitable in developing waqf properties in most of the low income Muslim countries. Waqf management in these countries are inefficient, corrupt and dishonest and lack managerial skills. There is lack of good governance and waqf management in most cases are not transparent and accountable to waqf stakeholders. Moreover, by encouraging the use of these traditional methods for developing waqf properties, it will give them legal justification to further expropriate and abuse waqf assets in the name of development. Nevertheless, with efficient management teams that practices good governance and effective legislations; cash waqf, waqf shares scheme and corporate waqf can be easy, cheaper and convenient means to raise fund for socio-economic development. Aminah and Tahir Sabit (2011) opine that cash waqf is the best capital of Islamic trusts, as it can be used for many purposes such as developing waqf assets, use for social and welfare activities such as helping the poor and the needy. It can also be invested in any Shari ah compliant businesses that can generate high returns for socio-economic development. Zulkifli and Muhammad (2008) suggest use of waqf share scheme as easy way to raise fund, where Islamic Religious Council sells waqf shares to individual or organization with the minimum value. Then the purchased waqf shares will be dedicated in perpetuity for the purpose of improving the social welfare of the people.