Analysis of Factors that Gives the Influence on Profitability of Tuna Capture Fisheries Fishermen Household in Maluku Province

Steven Siala
Economy Faculty, University of Pattimura, Ambon Indonesia

Abstract: This study aims to analyze the activity of tuna catching investigated based on profitability level. Variables analyzed were investment, experience and operational cost on operational frequency, catching productivity and operational revenue as well as profitability. Data were analyzed by using Structural Equation Model (SEM) formulation to determine the effect between exogen and endogen variable. The research population was all households of tuna capture fisheries fishermen in Maluku Province. Sample collection technique was by using Area Sampling Method combined with Purposive Sampling Method. Sample collected was 270 respondents from Ambon city, Maluku Tengah Regency, Baru Regency, and West Seram Regency. The results of study were: The first, there was the significant effect among investment, experience and operational cost on operational frequency, productivity, operational revenue and household profitability of tuna fishermen in Maluku Province. The second, household of tuna fishermen still has the potential to be developed by investment addition that can increase profitability. The third, operational cost addition directly increased operational revenue and profitability.
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I. Introduction

Maluku Province is consisted of 93.5% ocean containing marine resource potency which interests to investor for exploring of this potency. Based on fishing production level in Indonesia, Maluku Province has been in top position with the fishing of 481.848 ton, followed by North Sumatera and East Java with fishing of 326.336 and 322.292 ton, respectively [1]. Maluku Province is placed in 3 fisheries management area namely; Banda, Arafura and Seram Ocean to Tomini Bay commutatively and contains fish resource potency reach 1.640 ton/year with the use of 42% [1]. This fact showed that East Indonesia Waters area still has the bigger potential than fishing volume achievement [2]. Therefore, there is the opportunity to develop fisheries in East Indonesia Region [3,4].

Over-exploited fishing in West Indonesia Region caused East Indonesia Region to be fishing ground by ships which do fishing in this Region including Moluccas Province. This condition caused capture fishery companies particularly household industry of capture fishery in Maluku Province must have faced with the bigger ship fleet which has the modern fishing equipments. Due to this situation, company profitability became the most important factor to depend the continuity of capture fishery effort.

The activity success of fishing is often determined based on the deference of importance with various efficiency namely: businessmen efficiency and consumer efficiency. Businessmen efficiency is the achievement of profit to businessmen by comparison to the cost spending. Therefore, profitability as output-pulse bit of the economic activity in fishery subsector must be compared to the operational cost of fishing in the sea. Meanwhile, consumer efficiency is determined based on consumer satisfaction as an output compared to individual efforts to give the satisfaction [5].

In recent year, the use of fishery resource particularly capture fishery has been dominated by populace fishery industry which commonly has characteristics: small industry scale, simple technology application, catching operation limited around coastal, and low relative productivity [6,7].

The low fishermen productivity was commonly caused by the low skill and knowledge. It was also due to the use of simple catching equipment and ship being effectivity and efficiency of catching equipment as well as the use of unoptimal other production factors. These factors very influence on fishermen income and finally give the effect on their prosperity level.

Hilborn and Walters [1992] reported that the activity of fishermen economy to respond government wisdom and non-wisdom is always linked to environment dynamics and fishing fleet dynamics in the effort of simultaneous fish resource exploitation. Fishery system has a very complex interaction among fish stock dynamics, fishing fleet and production factors such as fund and workers of fishermen household in exploitation of fish stock [8].

To understand economics dynamics and fishery management, it is need study by system approach which considers fish resource stock and fishing fleet dynamics to guarantee the availability of work field and the increasing of fishermen income. Finally, these guarantees will determine the achievement of company.
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profitability. If the activity of fishing is not purposed on the achievement of company profitability, the fishing effort continuity will face to unclear effort activity[9]

II. Literature Study

Profitability well known as Net Profit Margin in capture fishery companies is the ability reflection of every rupiah of catching value in the particular period to result in profit after being subtracted with interest and tax. Return On Assets Ratio (ROA) is used to determine company profitability [10].

Profitability of tuna capture fishery company based on fishery production concept is very depended on capital expenditures and revenue expenditures. Capital expenditures are investment on the main equipment of tonda fishing activity. Meanwhile, revenue expenditures are the activity expense to go to sea[11].

Activity of capture fishery company operational must be able to reach profitable level in order to operational cost management as the main cost of company operational activity must be well managed to prevent a waste of cost in operational activity and reach wanted operational efficiency level [12,13].

Based on the explanation above, it can be formed a conception design reflecting the relationship and effect among variables as followed:

Figure. 1. Structural Relationship of X1, X2,X3, Y, Y2, Y3 and Y4 Variables

Keterangan:
X1 = investment,
X1.1 = The main equipment of tonda fishing,
X1.1.1 = Casco,
X1.1.2 = Outboard engine,
X1.1.3 = Fishing equipment,
X1.1.4 = the other equipments,
X1.2 = tonda fishing assistant equipment,
X1.2.1 = Cool boxes,
X1.2.2 = Spear,
X1.2.3 = Ganco,
X1.2.4 = Oar,
X1.2.5 = The other assistant equipments,
Y4.1 = Net Profit Margin,
Y4.2 = Return On Asset

Direct effect estimation process and indirect effect of analyzed variables estimated to give the effect on profitability of tuna capture fishery fishermen household in Moluccas Province was started by formulation of analysis variable function as followed:
1. \[ Y_1 = f(X_1, X_2, X_3) \] (3.1)
2. \[ Y_2 = f(X_1, X_2, X_3, Y_1) \] (3.2)
3. \[ Y_3 = f(X_1, X_2, X_3, Y_1, Y_2) \] (3.4)
4. \[ Y_4 = f(X_1, X_2, X_3, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) \] (3.4)

This study aims to determine and analyze: (1) investment effect, experience and cost to go to sea,(2) investment effect, experience and operational cost on production, (3) Effect of operational frequency on production, (4) investment effect, experience and operational cost on operation revenue, (5) Effect of
operational frequency and production on operational revenue, and (6) Effect of operational frequency, production and operational revenue on profitability.

III. Methods

3.1 Research Kind
This study was explanatory research with household of capture fishery company doing tuna fishing in fisheries management region around Banda and Seram Ocean to Tomini Bay as the analysis unit.

3.2 Data Kind
Data used was primary and secondary data. Primary data collection was carried out by using questionnaire and interview. Mean while, secondary data was collected from Fisheries Service and other download data supporting this research.

3.3 Data Collection
Data collection method used was Probability Sampling Method. Based on this method, it was carried out data collection by combination of Area Sampling Method and Purposive Sampling method [14].

3.4 Data Analysis
Data analysis method used was Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) to determine the relationship among causal variables in structural equation [15]. These variable were Investment (Capital expenditures) (X₁), Operational Cost (X₂), Operational Frequency (Y₁), Productivity or effective catchment level (Y₂), Company operational revenue (Y₃), Profitability of tunacapture fishery fishermen household (Y₄).

Weekly effective catch level was determined by equation as followed:

$$\text{Productivity /trip (kg/trip)} = \frac{\text{Production number}}{\text{Fishing trip number}}$$

Profitability indicator of tuna capture fishery fishermen household is:

a. Net Profit Margin atau NPM (Y₄.1) is determined by equation as followed:

$$\text{NPM} = \frac{\text{Net profit}}{\text{Selling}} \times 100 \%$$

b. Return On Assets atau ROA (Y₄.2) is determined by equation as followed:

$$\text{ROA} = \frac{\text{Net profit}}{\text{Assets}}$$

IV. Results

4.1 Description of Tuna Capture Fishery Fishermen Household in Maluku Province

4.1.1. Tuna Capture Fishery Fishermen Household Profile
Tuna capture fishery fishermen household is autonomous indvidue industry form managed by husband and wife as the owner assisted by children and closed family in which its industry activity is fishing. Commonly, these industries use their own money to supply fishing operational tool. Every fishermen household industry must register to its state facility fito establish fisheries fishermen household industry proved by Decision Letter of Fisheries and Marine Service.

Since the beginning of 2010, tuna capture fishery fishermen household in Maluku Province has become a part of Rural Mina Business Program which is be operational program of Marine and Fishery Society.

4.1.2. Description of Tuna Capture Fishery Fishermen Household Operational Activity
Operational activity of tunacapture fishery fishermen household was done by two people consisted of business owner and one family member. The operational activity was done in 2 fishing ground area namely; around Buru Island Waters at East season and moved to Banda Ocean at West season. Tools used were ship/casco, outboard engine having a capacity of 15 PK or 25 PK, fishing tool, and the other operational assistant tools.
Operational time per trip of every region was different each other. Fishermen in area Ambon city, West Seram Regency and Central Maluku Regency Regions need 12 hours operational time (from 05.00 to 17.00) whilst, Banda Subdistrict and Buru Regency need 7 (09.00-17.00) and 5 hours (07.30-14.00), respectively. This operational time difference was caused by each Regency/City geographical location. Compared to Buru Regency and BandaSubdistrict, Ambon City, East Seram Regency and Central Maluku had a far distance from home-base to fishing ground.

Based on the skill belonged to fishermen of tuna capture fishery fisherman household, almost all respondent had never attended workshop of fishing and business management. It was due to this kind of workshop had never been done by technical service.

4.1.3 Respondent Character

Respondents of tuna capture fishery fishermen household were dominated by respondents with the age 30-40 years (49.3.%), 41-50 years (36.7 %), and above 50 years (19%). It showed that productive age is dominant age of respondents. It has the relationship to faced challenge when they do fishing in which they need a strong stamina.

Based on education background, almost a half of respondents (45.2 %) or 122 respondents only graduation of elementary school. There were only 17 respondents (26.3 %) and 28.5 % respondents graduate of junior and senior high school, respectively. It means respondents dominated by respondent education level of elementary school.

4.2 Research Variable Descriptive Analysis

4.2.1 Investment

Capital Expenditures; \( X_i \) is cost spend to supply tools of fishing operational consisted of:

a. Ship as the main operational tool of tuna capture fishery fishermen household was made of fiber-glass with 2 different size each namely; (1) 7 m length, 1 wide and 70 cm height; (2) 9 m length, 1.5 m wide and 1 m height.

b. 198 ships were supplied in 2001 to 2004 whilst, 72 ships were bought in 2005 to 2009. It means the big operational activity of tuna capture fishery fishermen household started from 2001 to 2006 proved by the increasing of tuna capture fishery fishermen household supported by ship number increasing.

Cost need for ship supplying was range Rp. 2000000,- to Rp. 5000000,-. Ship used for fishing operational had the small relative size. Due to this small size, ship flexibility in doing fishing activity was very high. Outboard engine used has a capacity of 15 PK and 25 PK. In 2001, the price of outboard engine with the capacity of 15 PK was Rp. 9,500,000, and continued to increase until Rp. 15,000,000 in 2009. Meanwhile, the price of outboard engine with the capacity of 25 PK was Rp. 17,000,000 in 2001 and continued to increase 22 acil Rp. 23,000,000,- in 2008.

c. Capture tools were consisted of nylon and fishing tackle. A unit of these tools had the price of Rp. 200000,- 22 acil Rp. 350000,- with nylon and fishing tackle reserve per unit which had the price of Rp. 45000,- 22 acil Rp. 900000,-. Cost average need to supply these capture tools and their reserve was Rp. 304908,- and Rp. 67225,- respectively.

d. Two units of cool boxes, spear, ganco and oar 1 unit each and other tools such as various size of rope, knife and kite. The most cool boxes used by tuna capture fishery fishermen household were cool boxes with the size of 1.1.5 m length. For cool boxes were need the cost average of Rp. 248257,-. The whole cost average used for tuna capture fishery operational activity was Rp. 19,460,880,-

4.2.2 Fishing Operational Experience

Fishing operational experience is variable chosen as a proxy for fishing operational skill. From the whole sample, fishing operational experience of tuna capture fishery fishermen household is divided by 2 groups namely; the age of 0-5 years and more than 6 years. From 270 samples, 207 tuna capture fishery fishermen house hold had fishing experience more than 6 years whilst, 63 of them had fishing experience less than 6 years. Overall, tuna capture fishery fishermen house hold experience average was 7 years. It indicated that tuna capture fishery fishermen household had more experience in fishing. Therefore, they could be estimated to have more skill in fishing and managed fish pasca capture in time of \( \geq 1 \) hour.

4.2.3 Operational Cost

Fishing operational cost is cost need to operate fishing activity in the sea consisted of variable cost such as fuel, oil, ice, ration, and other costs.

The whole fishing operational cost is variable cost element and cost of the whole sample was Rp. 40535,-. Based on the high cost variable, fuel and oil cost were cost element dominating the high variable cost of 71.25 % and the rest of 28.75 % for other elements.
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Permanent cost counted for one fishing operational trip is the cost spent for operateship and outboard engine as well as tuna fishing activity. Cost average spent for shrinkage of ship and outboard engine was Rp. 47,034 per/week whilst, their treatment cost was Rp. 125468,- Fee average for 2 fishermen of tuna capture fishery fishermen household was Rp. 105, 026,-/trip From these expenditure samples, It could be concluded that in general, the cost spent for fishing operational activity was Rp. 277. 528,-/trip.

4.2.4. Fishing Operational Frequence

From this study, there was obtained that fishing operational frequence of tuna capture fishery fishermen household range from 3 to 6 times a week. Overall, fishing operational activity average of respondents was 5 times a week. If it was occurred the low fishing operational frequence, it was due to the lack of fund for fuel, oil, ice as the main need.

4.2.5. Produktivit or Effective Catch Level

Produktivit or effective catch level is reflection of tuna capture fishery fishermen household ability in getting of fish catch in one period. Ambon city produced 69 kg fish in a week whilst, Fish production of Central Moluccas, West Seram and Buru Regency were 56, 56 and 63 kg fish a week, respectively. From their fish production determined by effective catch level, Ambon city had the highest fish production followed by Buru and Central Moluccas and West Seram Regency.

4.2.6. Fishing operational revenue

Fishing operational revenue is income counted based on the comparison of fish catch number per week to the sale price of tuna.

Fishing operational revenue average of samples collected was Rp. 2201154,- in which tuna capture fishery fishermen household located in Ambon was obtained to give the highest operational revenue (Rp. 3655000,-). It was due to Ambon more productive than the other cities besides the fish sale price was Rp. 42500,-to Rp. 45000,- higher than the fish sale price in Banda Neira subdistrict (Rp. 13500,- to Rp. 20000,-).

Related to the operational revenue at impassable dot of Rp. 341362.62,- the operational revenue of tuna capture fishery fishermen household per trip was higher. This value was much higher than the main cash back dot.

4.2.7. Profitability of Capture Fishery Household Business

Profitability analysis of capture fishery household in Moluccas Province is purposed on the ability for resulting profit by comparing net profit with production assets use.

Commonly, net profit margin of tuna capture fishery fishermen household respondents is 47.80 % of 50.00-59.99 % level, 46.7 % of 40.00-49.99 % level and 5.60 % respondents of 30.00-39.99 % level. The high net profit margin proved that the good ability of tuna capture fishery fishermen household to yield the high profit with net profit margin of >40.00 % which means this business is profitable.

The high Return On Assets (ROA) indicated that the very high ability average of tuna capture fishery fishermen households to yield profit by using their assets was more than 30.00% - 99.99 %. At the ROA value > 40.00 %, the profit number resulted was higher than ROA level target (30.00 % - 99.99 %). It showed that tuna capture fishery fishermen household business has the potential to be developed.

4.3 Investment Functional, Fishing Operational Experience, Operational Cost, Operational Frequency, Productivity, Operational Revenue, and Profitability Relationship

Analisis Structural Equaion Model Results by using data collected from this study were summarized in Table below.

Table 1. Analisis Structural Equaion Model Results by using data collected from this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Estimation</th>
<th>S.E.</th>
<th>C.R.</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Lable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y1 ← X1</td>
<td>.109</td>
<td>.020</td>
<td>5.405</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1 ← X2</td>
<td>.381</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>62.165</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1 ← X3</td>
<td>.019</td>
<td>.011</td>
<td>3.584</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y2 ← X1</td>
<td>1.526</td>
<td>.403</td>
<td>3.788</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y2 ← X1</td>
<td>1.526</td>
<td>.403</td>
<td>3.788</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y2 ← X2</td>
<td>5.228</td>
<td>.551</td>
<td>9.485</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y2 ← X3</td>
<td>.020</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>3.367</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y2 ← Y1</td>
<td>12.627</td>
<td>1.424</td>
<td>8.866</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y3 ← X1</td>
<td>1087743.924</td>
<td>88230.688</td>
<td>12.328</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Terdapat pengaruh positif dan signifikan
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Suasana: Primary Data reanalyzed

4.3.1. Direct Effect

a. There is the positive and significant effect of fishing operational investment, experience, cost, and frequency

The first, direct effect analysis result of investment variable (X1) on operational frequency variable (Y1) determined through effect coefficient 0.109 with significant level of 0.000 < 0.05 and its ratio critical value of 5.405. These values give the information that there is positive and significant direct effect between investment variable (X1) with operational frequency variable (Y1). It means if there is investment variable change (X1) of 1 unit, it will be followed by operational frequency variable change (Y1) of 0.11 with the similar purpose and the other factors value is constant.

The second, coefficient of operational experience variable effect (X2) on operational frequency variable (Y1) is 0.381 with significance level of 0.000 <0.05 and critical ratio of 62.165. These values showed that there is positive and significant direct effect between operational experience variable (X1) and operational frequency (Y1) namely; if individual experience of 1 member of tuna capture fishery fishermen household increases in 1 measurement unit, it will increase operational frequency of 0.31 times with other factors perception of constant. The more individual experience of 1 member of tuna capture fishery fishermen household, means the more opportunity of tuna capture fishery fishermen household to increase operational frequency.

The third, effect coefficient between operational cost (X3) and operational frequency (Y1) is 0.19 with critical ratio value of 3.584 and probability error value of 0.000 <0.05. These values give the information that operational cost (X3) gives a positive and significant direct effect on operational frequency (Y1). It means that if there is operational cost increasing (X3) of Rp 1, it will be able to increase operational frequency (Y1) of 0.19 times with the assumption that the other factors have a constant value.

Based on these data above, it can be concluded that there is the positive and significant effect among investment, operational experience and operational cost on operational frequency in tuna capture fishery fishermen household in Maluku Province.

b. There is the positive and significant effect among investment, operational experience, operational cost, and productivity

The first, coefficient effect of investment variable (X1) on productivity (Y2) is 1.526 with critical ratio value of 3.788 and significance level of 0.000 < 0.05. These values indicated that there is the positive and significant effect between investment variable investasi (X1) and productivity (Y2). If there is 1 unit investment increasing (X1), it will be able to increase productivity (Y2) of1.526 kg per trip with the assumption that the other factors have a constant value.

The second, Analysis result exhibits that the effect coefficient of operational experience (X2) on Productivity (Y2) is 5.228 with critical ratio value of 9.485 and probability error 0.00 < 0.05. It means that there is the positive and significant effect between fishing operational experience variable (X2) and productivity (Y2). If there is 1 unit fishing operational experience increasing it will increase the productivity of 5.228 kg with the assumption that the other factors have a constant value.

The third, effect coefficient between operational cost (X3) and productivity (Y2) is 0.020 with critical ratio value of 3.367 and probability error value or significance level of 0.000 < 0.05. These values give the information that there is the positive and significant effect between operational cost (X3) and productivity (Y2). If there is 1 unit...
fishing operational experience increasing it will increase the productivity of 0.02 kg with the assumption that the other factors have a constant value.

Those data explained that there is the positive and significant effect among investment, operational experience and operational cost on productivity of tuna capture fishery fishermen household.

c. There is the positive and significant effect between operational frequency and productivity

The coefficient effect of operational frequency variable (Y1) on productivity (Y2) is 12.627 with critical ratio value of 8.866 and significance level of 0.000 < 0.05. These values indicated that there is the positive and significant effect between fishing operational frequency (Y1) and productivity (Y2). If there is 1 unit operational frequency increasing (Y1), it will be able to increase productivity (Y2) of 12.63 % with the assumption that the other factors have a constant value.

d. There is the positive and significant effect among investment, operational experience, operational cost, operational revenue

The first, based on result count, it is found that the effect coefisient of investment (X1) on operational revenue (Y3) is Rp 1.087.743.924 with critical ratio value of 12328 and significance level of 0.000 < 0.05. It means that there is the positive and significant effect between the two variables. If there is Rp 1,- investment (X1) increasing it will result the operational revenue increasing (Y3) of Rp 1.087.743.924. In turn, operational revenue will decrease with the similar value if there is investment decreasing.

The second, effect estimation coefisient of operational experience (X2) on operational revenue (Y3) is 943240. This coefisient value indicated that if there is operational experience change (X2), it will result in effect on operational revenue change of Rp 943. 240,- with the assumption that the other factors have a constant value. This coefficient values obtained showed probability error value or significance level of 0.000 < 0.05. It showed the presence of positive and significant effect of operational experience (X2) on operational revenue (Y3) and indicated that if there is operational experience change, it also will give an effect on operational revenue.

The third, effect coefisient of operational cost (X3) on operational revenue (Y3) is 2.647. It means if there is Rp 1,- operational revenue increasing it will increase operational revenue of Rp 2.647,- with the assumption that the other factors have a constant value. It indicated that operational cost (X3) give a positive and significant effect on operational revenue (Y3) with significance level 0.000 < 0.05.

From those explanations, hypothesis about the existence of positive and significant effect of investment, operational experience and operational cost on operational revenue is proved.

e. There is positive and significant effect of operational frequency and productivity on operational revenue

The first, effect coefisient of operational frequency and productivity variables is 12.627 with critical ratio value of 8.866 and significance level of 0.000 < 0.05. It showed that operational frequency (Y1) resulted in a positive and significant effect on productivity variables (Y2) in which if there is 1 unit operational frequency increasing, it was able to increase 12.63 % productivity and in turn, with the assumption that the other factors have a constant value.

The second, effect coefisient of operational frequency (Y1) on operational revenue (Y3) is 114400.607 with significance level of 0.862 > 0.05. It means that there is no positive and significant effect of operational frequency (Y1) on operational revenue (Y3) with the assumption of the other factors value equal constant.

The third, effect coefisient of productivity (Y2) on operational revenue (Y3) is 14070.555 with probability error of 0.018 < 0.05. It indicated that productivity (Y2) has positive and significant effect on operational revenue (Y3). If there is the occurrence of productivity change (Y2), operational revenue also changes with the similar change purpose.

All data above showed that there is positive and significant effect of operational frequency (Y1) on productivity (Y2), productivity (Y2) on operational revenue (Y3). Meanwhile, operational frequency (Y1) on operational revenue (Y3) does not show any significance effect eventhough, its effect purpose is positive.

f. There is positive and significant effect of operational frequency and operational revenue on profitability.
The first, effect coefficient of operational frequency ($Y_1$) on profitability ($Y_4$) is 0.581 with significance level of 0.389 > 0.05 and critical ratio value as reflection of calculated t value of 0.861. These values indicated there is no any significant effect of operational frequency ($Y_1$) on profitability ($Y_4$).

The second, effect coefficient value of productivity ($Y_2$) on profitability ($Y_4$) is 0.23 with significance level of 0.451 > 0.05 and critical ratio value of 0.278. These values give the information that productivity ($Y_2$) does not give any significant effect on profitability ($Y_4$) with the assumption that the other factors have a constant value.

The third, effect coefficient value of operational revenue ($Y_3$) on profitability ($Y_4$) is 0.20 with significance level of 0.003 < 0.05 and critical ratio value of 2.978. It means that there is positive and significant effect of operational revenue ($Y_3$) on profitability ($Y_4$). It also indicated that if there is Rp. 1,- operational revenue change, it will increase 0.2 % profitability and in turn with the assumption that the other factors have a constant value.

4.3.2. Indirect Effect

There is indirect effect of investment ($X_1$) on productivity ($Y_2$) through operational frequency ($Y_1$) with the effect coefficient value of 1.373. This coefficient value is lower than the similar coefficient of direct effect (12.627). These values indicated that direct investment effect on productivity is higher than its effect which must be through operational frequency.

Indirect effect of investment ($X_1$) on operational revenue ($Y_3$) is also detected by negative coefficient value of 177662.016. Indirect coefficient value gives the different movement to direct coefficient value for the similar variables. This difference is detected by the presence of positive direct coefficient value of 1087743.924. Seen to direct effect, it can be said that if there is investment increasing, it causes operational revenue increasing. In contrast, indirect effect showed that there is operational revenue decreasing if investment increases. Indirect effect is also indicated by investment ($X_1$) on profitability ($Y_4$) with coefficient value of 0.048. The effect of two similar variables directly is higher (0.581). It means that investment variable ($X_1$) gives more influence than its indirect effect.

Operational experience variable ($X_3$) has an indirect effect productivity ($Y_2$) because it must be through operational frequency variable ($Y_1$). Indirect effect coefficient value is 4.816 and lower than direct effect coefficient value of 5.228. It proved that direct effect gives more influence than indirect effect of operational experience on productivity. Operational experience variable ($X_3$) also indirectly influence on operational revenue variable ($Y_3$) through operational experience variable ($Y_1$) with effect coefficient value of – 97686.551. Measured to direct effect, operational experience variable ($X_3$) has the higher influence and positive (94240). Operational experience variable ($X_3$) gives indirect effect on profitability ($Y_4$) with indirect effect coefficient value of 0.390. Indirectly, these two variables have no influence each other and their effect are only obtained if they are indirectly measured.

Operational cost variable ($X_3$) has indirect effect on operational revenue ($Y_3$) through operational frequency variable ($Y_1$) and productivity ($Y_2$). Nevertheless, indirect effect has different purpose with direct effect. It can be seen at indirect effect coefficient value of – 0.074 and its direct effect coefficient value of 2.674. Indirect and direct effect gives the similar influence on operational experience variable.

4.4. Analysis and Effect Implication of Investment, Operational Experience and Operational Cost on Operational Frequency, Productivity, Operational Revenue, and Profitability of Tuna Capture Fishery Fishermen Household in Moluccas Province

4.4.1. Investment Effect, Operational Experience and Operational Cost on Operational Frequency

Investment results in a positive and significant effect on operational frequency and gives the illustration that investment increasing is able to increase operational frequency. It means that if tuna capture fishery fishermen household increase investment of fishing fleet, it will be able to increase operational frequency of tuna capture fishery fishermen household. Result of this study indicated that fleet addition program by either government or tuna capture fishery fishermen household individually gives an effect on operational frequency increasing.

Positive and significant effect of operational experience on operational frequency results in a positive influence on operational frequency.

If tuna capture fishery fishermen household has no experience, processing of fish from catched at hook to fish management process will take a long time causing home back time from fishing operational will also take a long time. It automatically influences operational frequency.

Operational cost gives positive and significant effect on operational frequency. It means that besides operational experience, operational cost also influence on operational frequency of tuna capture fishery
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fishermen household in its operational activity. Operational cost is dominated by fuel cost which is the big cost in operational activity of tuna capture fishery fishermen household. Therefore, the increasing of operational cost will also increase operational ability and finally increase operational frequency.

This study also exhibits that weather is not inhibitor factor. The inhibition factor to fishing operational activity of tuna capture fishery fishermen household is the lack of cost for supplying of the minimum need such as fuel, oil and ice. If fishing operational cost need is fulfilled, tuna capture fishery fishermen household can operate 6 times a week.

Implication obtained contradicst to hypothesis explanation that fishing operational activity of tuna capture fishery fishermen household still can be increase if there is investment increasing, continuity of cost supplying and fishing operational experience.

4.4.2. Effect of Investment, Operational Frequency and Operational Cost on Productivity

Investment gives a positive effect on productivity meaning that fleet addition will result in investment increasing causing the ability of fleet to do fishing activity increases, and finally increase productivity. Investment of tuna fishing fleet still has opportunity to be developed because fleet development will increase production of tuna capture fishery fishermen household.

Positive effect of operational cost on productivity supported the field situation that if there is the increasing of operational cost, it will increase the ability for fishing operational with a longer distance which can result in the more catch. If there is operational cost addition to increase the ability of reach and fishing operational time. The increasing ability of reach and fishing operational time influences on the increasing of fishing number per trip or per week.

The result of this study showed that tuna capture fishery in Moluccas Province is still under-exploited indicated by the operational cost presence which gives a positive effect on productivity. This fact is unusual in fishing operational activities in the other regions in which the operational cost presence results in a negative effect on productivity.

Automaticly, government policy 27acilit on implementation of Rural Mina Business (RMBP) Program is very precise. The development of RMBP is done by expansion of tuna capture fishery fishermen and coordinated through Collective Business Group (CBG) to get development aid facility.

Implication of this study result on the second hypothesis testing is that productivity can be increased through the development of investment which can increase operational frequency as indicator of effective productivity level or effective cath level.

4.4.3. Effect of Operational Frequency on Productivity

Positive and significant coefficient of operational frequency on productivity shows that operational frequency gives a positive effect on productivity. It give an information that the more of operational frequency the more of cath number per week. This situation will influence productivity of tuna capture fishery fishermen household. It also explains that 1 fisherman will results in net fish catch range 40-60 kg/trip which are ready for being sold to collector.

Implication of hypothesis testing is that productivity or effective catch level can be increased if operational frequency can also be increased.

4.4.4. Pengaruh Investasi, Pengalaman Melaut Dan Biaya Melaut Terhadap Pendapatan Operasi.

Positive and significant effect of investment on operational revenue exhibits that investment give a positive effect on operational revenue. It indicates that there is opportunity to develop tuna capture fishery in Moluccas province through the increasing of fleet.

Implementation policy of RMBP as tuna capture fishery fishermen household development form has the similar purpose to the achievement of this study result. Therefore, this study supports the implementation RMBP organized by Regency Government Service of Moluccas Province. The development of tuna capture fishery fishermen household need to focus on the implementation of aid distribution to under-exploited Regency/City Region. It can answer the effort to prosper the life of tuna capture fishery fishermen household like its activity condition in Regency/City each.

The field study shows that a big part of tuna capture fishery fishermen household samples had operational experience of 5-10 years and only 37 tuna capture fishery fishermen household had operational experience of <5 years. It clearly indicates that the more experience the more opportunity gets the higher catch which finally increases operational revenue.

Based on the cost composition, the cost of fuel is the highest cost component of operational cost components. It gives information that operational cost is dominated by fuel cost. The operational cost very influence operational revenue of tuna capture fishery fishermen household. The various price of fuel is depended on location price each.
The movement condition of operational cost having the similar purpose to operational revenue can not be separated with under-exploited area determining as the area of this study. It is similar to the theoryconcept of Waridin, (2005) using Schaeffer model with some modifications that every operational cost change of under-exploited area is still lower than its fish catch/ catch value[16]. As well known that operational cost change reflects Maksimum Sustainability Effort (MSE) and fish catch/ catch value reflects Maksimum Sustainability Yield (MSY) atau MSE < MSY. Therefore, it can be said that every operational cost change will results in the higher revenue change.

Operational revenue of tuna capture fishery fishermen household will be very influenced by investment, operational frequency and operational cost.

4.4.5 Effect of Operational Frequency and Productivity on Operational Revenue

Effect of operational frequency on operational revenue is positive and significant. This effect shows that if there is productivity increasing, it will influence on operational revenue increasing as much as its estimation coefficient. The high productivity reflects the high catch average of tuna capture fishery household per certain period (per week). If catch average per trip per week is high, it will automatically increase operational revenue.

Based on Maksimum Sustainability Yield (MSY) which is still under-exploited in Fisheries Management Region (FMR) of around Seram and Banda Ocean, the increasing of exploitation through fleet development still might be done. The development using fleet concept with operational of tuna capture fishery fishermen household which has cluster base can give the opportunity for the occurrence of tuna capture fishery fishermen household operational revenue increasing.

Implication of hypothesis result testing is that the more productive of tuna capture fishery fishermen household the more increasing of operational revenue is.

4.4.6 Effect of Operational Frequence, Productivity and Operational Cost on Profitability

Effect of operational frequency on profitability based on calculation result is not significant even though it has a positive effect purpose. The change of operational frequency gives indefinite effect on profitability of tuna capture fishery fishermen household.

It supported the fact that operational frequency directly influences on profitability but operational frequency is only a mediator element relating investment and operational experience to profitability. The change of operational frequency has opportunity to increase productivity finally, increase operational revenue.

Productivity variable shows a positive but insignificant effect on profitability. It gives the information that if there is the increasing of productivity, it will influence profitability but it must be through operational revenue.

Positive and significant influence of operational revenue variable on profitability variable exhibits that if there is 1 unit of operational revenue increasing, it will influence profitability of 2 %. This effect is total influence of all variables either moderation variable such as operational frequency and profitability or exogenous variables such as investment, operational experience and operational cost.

Overall, this study analysis shows that investment, operational experience and operational cost were able to directly influence on profitability and also can give an effect on profitability through moderation variables such as operational frequency and provitability.

Implication of this study is investment, fishing operational frequency and operational cost can be used to influence direct profitability and the similar variables able to influence profitability through operational frequency variable and productivity of tuna capture fishery fishermen household and operational revenue.

V. Conclusion And Suggestion

5.1 Conclusion

Based on analysis result and analysis result discussion about tuna capture fishery fishermen household profitability in Moluccas Province, it can be concluded as followed:

1. Investment, operational experience and operational cost have direct, positive and significant effect on operational frequency and productivity. It indicated that the change of investment, operational experience and operational cost will be followed by the change of operational frequency and productivity.
2. Fishing operational frequency results in direct, positive and significant effect on profitability. It indicated that if operational frequency is increased, it will increase productivity and in turn, if there is operational frequency decreasing, it will automatically decrease productivity.
3. Investment, operational experience and operational cost directly, positively and significantly influence operational revenue. It means that if there is investment increasing and operational cost increasing, it will also increase operational revenue of tuna capture fishery fishermen household in Moluccas Propince.
4. Fishing operational frequency through productivity give a positive and significant effect on operational revenue. Operational revenue has direct, positive and significant effect on profitability of tuna capture fishery fishermen household. Mean while, operational frequency results in profitability through productivity, finally increase operational followed by profitability increasing.

5.1 Suggestion

To develop tuna capture fishery fishermen household, it is suggested as followed:

1. Profitability of tuna capture fishery fishermen household can be increase by the increasing of fishing operational frequency, productivity and operational revenue supported by investment development, operational experience increasing and operational cost.

2. To increase function of fisheries sector in under-exploited fishery management region which the big potential to be developed, Regency Government Service is hoping to makespecial policy about the supplying of fuel facility and icein home-base) and credit facility to fullfill cost need and fleet rehabilitation.

3. To increase the profitability, businessmen need to get knowledge about financial management particularly calculation and determination of fishing operational cost consisted of variable cost and permanent cost.
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