IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science (IOSR-JAVS)
e-ISSN: 2319-2380, p-ISSN: 2319-2372. Volume 9, Issue 5 Ver. II (May. 2016), PP 06-10
www.iosrjournals.org

Avian Mycoplasmosis: A Review

NneomaOkwara
Department of Veterinary Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine, Michael Okpara University of agriculture, Umuahia, Abia State, Nigeria

Abstract: *Avian mycoplasmosis is an important disease of poultry of great economic importance. It is caused by four (4) pathogenic mycoplasma species namely Mycoplasma gallisepticum, Mycoplasma synoviae, Mycoplasma meleagridis and Mycoplasma iowae; although other Mycoplasma species have also been incriminated in the disease. The disease causes cough, rales, ocular and nasal discharges, decreased feed intake, decreased feed conversion, decreased egg production and hatchability. Avian mycoplasmosis can lead to a significant reduction in egg production of between 10-20% in infected layer and broiler breeder flocks. It also causes infectious sinusitis in turkeys. It can be prevented and controlled by the acquisition of birds free from mycoplasma, maintenance of replacements from mycoplasma free sourcesin a single-age, all in all out management system, proper hygiene and biosecurity measures. More interest in the research of this disease should be encouraged especially in Nigeria as there is presently very little literature available on avian mycoplasma research in Nigeria.*

I. Introduction

Avian mycoplasmosis is a disease which is worldwide in occurrence and is extremely important to both the broiler grower and the table-egg producer [1, 2]. It is caused by mycoplasma organisms of the Class Mollicutes. These organisms are different from other bacteria; they are of very small sizes [3] and do not have a cell wall [4, 5]. These characteristics account for the "fried egg" type of colonial morphology exhibited by mycoplasmas, their complete resistance to antibiotics that affect cell wall synthesis and their complex nutritional requirements [3]. Avian mycoplasmas are also host specific (for instance, *Mycoplasmameleagridis* infects turkeys only) [3].

Avian mycoplasmosis which is an important disease condition in birds is caused by four (4) commonly recognized pathogens: *Mycoplasmagallisepticum*(MG), *Mycoplasma synoviae*(MS), *Mycoplasma meleagridis*(MM)and *Mycoplasma iowae* (MI)[6,7, 8,9, 10]. Other mycoplasmas have also been incriminated in mycoplasma infections in birds [11] but are not pathogenic, so this review will focus mostly on MG and MS.
This present review focused on clinical signs, transmission, economic significance, methods of diagnosis, treatment, prevention and control and researches done in Nigeria and it is aimed at increasing the research interest in avian mycoplasma in the country.

II. Aetiologies/ Species Affected

Avian mycoplasmosis is caused by Mycoplasma which belongs to the Class Mollicutes, Order Mycoplasmatales and Family Mycoplasmataceae[2]. Other members of the Family include Acholeplasma, Anaeroplasma, Asteroloplasma, Spiroplasma and Ureaplasma. These are differentiated based on differences in morphology, genome size and some nutritional requirements [12, 5]. The disease is caused by four (4) commonly recognized pathogens: MG, MS, MM and MI [9, 10]. Several strains of these mycoplasmas exist and they vary in their pathogenicity for different species of birds.

Of all these, MG has been reported to be the most economically significant mycoplasma pathogen of gallinaceous and certain non gallinaceous avian species and causes chronic respiratory disease (CRD) in chickens and infectious sinusitis in turkeys[4]. MG and MS are pathogenic for chickens and turkeys; MI is pathogenic primarily for turkeys while MM infects turkeys only [1]. MG has been reported to have been isolated from infected falcons, parrots, pheasants, geese, quails, patridges, ducks and geese [13,14, 15].

Other species that have been incriminated in avian mycoplasmosis are *Mycoplasma anseris*(affects geese), *M. columbianum*(affects pigeons); *M. gallinarum, M. gallinaceum, M. lipofaciens*and *M.pullorum*which affect chickens [11]. Others are *M. gallopavonis, M. iners, M. columbinasale. M. glycophilum, M. cloacale, Ureaplasmalaidlawii.*These are not pathogenic; therefore they are not of major concern to the poultry industry [16].

III. Pathogenicity

Mycoplasmas make use of some pathogenicity mechanisms to survive within the host organism, induce disease and evade the host immune system. Some of these mechanisms include adherence to host target cells,

mediation of apopotosis, damage to host cell due to intimate membrane contact [17, 18, 19]. Latency is also common to mycoplasmas. During this period, the mycoplasma may not be recognized by the host immune system due to its intracellular location [19].Mycoplasma therefore induces disease after the host is affected by other disease causing agents or after an episode of host weakness.

IV. Ages Of Birds Affected

All ages of chickens and turkeys are susceptible to avian mycoplasmosis although young birds are more prone to infection than the older ones [20]; it seems that some resistance develops with age [21].

V. Transmission

Mycoplasmas are transmitted laterally by contact [3], infectious aerosols coughed and sneezed by infected birds [3, 22], through contaminated feed, water, contact personnel and communicant animals mainly birds [22] and vertically through the eggs [3, 2]. Veneral transmission is particularly important in the case of MM [11]. MS infection can also be through the conjunctiva and upper respiratory tract [23]. It has beenreported by [24] that *M. gallinarum* and *M. gallinaceum* have been isolated from the oviduct of chickens. This suggests that egg transmission of this species is possible.According to [2], infected birds carry MG for life and can remain asymptomatic until they are stressed.

VI. Economic Significance

MG has been ascribed to be the most economically important of the pathogenic mycoplasma species affecting poultry due to the significant losses occurring from decrease in egg production, decrease in egg quality, poor hatchability(high rate of embryonic mortality and culling of day old birds), poor feed efficiency, an increase in mortality and carcass condemnations and medication costs[25, 26, 1]. Economic losses in the poultry industry caused by this infection have been noted to be significant [27]; the infection has been reported by [28] to reduce egg production in layers and broiler breeder chickens by 10-20%.

In 1984 in the USA, MG infected chickens were found to lay 15.7 eggs less than healthy ones; this contributed to a loss of 127 million eggs corresponding to an annual loss of 125 million dollars [25]. Also, losses over a 6 month period in 1999 in a North Carolina company were conservatively estimated to be between 500,000 and 750,000 dollars [29].

VII. Clinical Signs

The incubation period of avian mycoplasmosis varies ranging between 6-21 days for experimentally infected poultry and is variable under natural infection. Infected birds may be asymptomatic for days or months until stressed [2]. Presence of concurrent infection with New castle disease virus, infectious bronchitis virus, *Esherichia coli* or other pathogens make avian mycoplasmosis more severe [2]. The syndromes caused by avian mycoplasmosis are chronic respiratory disease (CRD) an upper respiratory disease primarily seen in chickens and infectious sinusitis of turkeys caused by MG; infectious synovitis caused by MS and air sacculitis caused by MG, MS and MM [26] .

Chickens with MG exhibit coughing, sneezing, rales, ocular and nasal discharges, decrease in feed consumption, decrease in egg production, increased mortality and poor hatchability. In turkeys, there is swelling of the infra orbital sinus(es), conjunctivitis accompanied by frothy exudates. This is common in turkeys but also occurs occasionally in chickens. However, respiratory disease often occurs in young birds particularly turkeys [2].

MS infection manifests as a milder form of respiratory involvement; lameness, pale comb and head, swollen hock and foot pad can be observed. Although most of the symptoms of MM are mild or inapparent, impaired hatchability and embryo pipping, increased embryo mortality and poor weight gain can be seen [30].

VIII. Post Mortem Lesions

Gross post mortem lesions

On post mortem examination, lesions may be found throughout the upper and lower respiratory tracts. Catarrhal exudates may be present in the nasal passages, infra orbital sinuses, trachea and bronchi [23]. Mild sinusitis, tracheitis and air sacculitis are observed in uncomplicated cases of mycoplasmosis in chickens. Thickening and turbidity of the air sacs, Exudative accumulations, fibrinopurulent pericarditis and perihepatitis may be seen in cases where the chicken is concurrently infected with *E. coli* [2].

In turkeys severe mucopurulent sinusitis may be found with variable severe tracheitis and air sacculitis [13]. Interstitial pneumonia and Salpingitis are often seen in chickens and turkeys [18, 30]; other findings may include conjunctivitis, corneal opacities and peri ocular edema [31].

The severity of these lesions is variable depending on the virulence and pathogenicity of the infecting strain, concurrent respiratory pathogens and stress factors [32].

Histopathology

Observed histopathological variations in avian mycoplasmosis include mononuclear cell infiltration andmucosal glandular hyperplasia in the sinus and trachea [33]. In the lungs, interstitial pneumonia and lymphoid follicular reactions may be seen [26, 34, 1].

IX. Diagnosis

Mycoplasma species are difficult to grow from clinical specimens. This is due to their fastidious nature, intimate dependence on their host species and slow growth on artificial media [35, 36].

This infection can be diagnosed by clinical signs and isolation and identification of the organism by culturing on mycoplasma media [37]; mycoplasma colonies are tiny, circular, smooth and translucent having a "fried egg" appearance with a central dense mass [2, 38]. Mycoplasmosis can also be diagnosed by post mortem lesions (gross and microscopic), serological tests such as sero agglutination reaction and hemagglutination inhibition test (HI) [26];polymerase chain reaction (PCR) [26, 2], Enzyme linked immune sorbitant assay (ELISA), indirect immunofluorescence, immune peroxidase staining or growthinhibition test [2] are also diagnostic for avian mycoplasmosis.

In live poultry, swab samples for diagnosis are taken from the choanal cleft, cloaca and phallus [2]. At post mortem, samples for diagnosis can be obtained from affected organs such as trachea, air sacs and lungs. Others are synovial, ocular and infra orbital sinus exudates and pipped embryos [18, 26, 1].Swabs of the yolk sac endothelium are also used to isolate egg transmitted mycoplasmas form pippedembryos [39]. Swabs can be taken from the phallus, oviduct and semen for the isolation of MM from mature turkeys [11]. Tissue or swab samples should be transported in mycoplasma broth and sent to the laboratory as soon as possible after collection [2].

It was reported by Salami [33] that sinus and trachea (upper respiratory tract) are more reliable tissue sites for mycoplasma isolation rather than the lower respiratory tract in clinically mild infections butboth upper and lower respiratory tracts sites can be used in severe clinical poultry mycoplasmosis.

X. Differential Diagnosis

Differential diagnosis in poultry includes respiratory diseases such as infectious bronchitis, mild Newcastle disease and avian influenza [18]. Other pathogens to be considered include *Hemophilusparagallinarum*, and *Pasteurellamultocida*, while avian pneumovirus, *Pasteurellamultocida* and Chlamydia are also to be considered in turkeys. Mixed infections with *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* and other organisms can occur [2].

XI. Treatment

It has been reported by [40] that the treatment of mycoplasma infected breeders with anti microbials decreases the rate of clinical manifestations and consequently also decreases the risk of transovarian transmission. It was stated by [41] that although this procedure is recommended for laying hens, it doesn't eliminate MG,MS OR even MM from the flock.

Many antimicrobial agents such as oxytetracycline, amino glycosides, lincosamides, fluoroquinolones, tylosin and tiamulin have been shown to possess different degrees of in vitro activity against various veterinary mycoplasmas[42, 43, 44].An impressive effect of tylosin on Mycoplasma infected chickens has been recently reported by [44]. However, increasing resistance of mycoplasma against tetracyclines[43], macrolides [42, 43, 45] and quinolones [46, 47] has been reported in animal and human species.

Mycoplasmas have higher mutation rates than conventional bacteria which mean that they can rapidly develop resistance to other drugs including the oxytetracyclines and tylosin as has been reported in Europe [48, 49]. The massive use of antimycoplasma drugs resulted in development of antimycoplasma drug resistant MS and MG strains [50, 51, 52, 45].However, the carrier status of infected flocks is not eliminated by treatment. It only suppresses the excretion of the micro organism in respiratory exudates and eggs [53, 54, 55, 56].

XII. Control And Prevention Strategies

The prevention of mycoplasmosis in poultry includes the acquisition of birds free from MG, MS,MM or MI and constant monitoring of breeder flocks. These flocks free of MG should be sustained by maintaining replacements from mycoplasma-free sources in a single-age, all in all out management system [57]. Control of avian mycoplasmosis consists of good biosecurity and proper hygiene. Although medication can be very useful in preventing clinical signs and lesions as well as economic losses, it cannot eliminate infection from a flock, it is not a satisfactory long term solution [2, 57]. Control by medication is necessary to complimentbiosecurity measures to minimize economic losses, lateral and vertical transmissions [58].

It has been reported by [59, 57] that vaccination against MG and MS can be a useful long term solution in situations where maintaining flocks free of infection is not feasible especially in multi-age commercial egg

productionsites. Vaccines generally prevent egg production losses and reduce respiratory disease impact in commercial layers and can also help in the eradication or reduction of egg transmission in breeder flocks [2].
Infections can be eliminated from a farm by depopulation of the flock, followed by thorough cleaning and disinfection of the premises [2]. Most commonly used disinfectants are thought to be effective for MG. Recommended disinfectants for buildings and equipment include phenolic or cresylic acid disinfectants, hypochlorite, and 0.1% glutaraldehyde. Mycoplasmas are typically fragile and only survive in the environment for a few days therefore, birds can be re-introduced after two weeks [2].

References

[1]. Ley D.H. and Yoder Jr. H.W. (1997). *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* infection. In: Calnek B.W., Barnes H., Beard C.W., Mcdougald L.R., Saif Y.M. (eds). Disease of poultry 10th Edition. Ames, Iowa, USA. Ames: Iowa State University Press; Pages 194-207.
[2]. OIE, (2007). Avian mycoplasmosis (*Mycoplasma gallisepticum*). ©2007. available at http;//www.oie.int/eng/norms/mmanual/A_00104.htm
[3]. Kleven S.H. (1998); Mycoplasmas in the aetiology of multifactorial respiratory diseases. Poultry Science Volume 77: Pages 1146-1149.
[4]. Osman, K.M., Aly M.M., Amin Z.M.S. and Hassan B.S. (2009); *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*: an emerging challenge to the poultry industry in Egypt. Rev. Sci. Tech. Office International Epizootics, Volume 28 (3). Pages 1015-1023.
[5]. Khan J., Farzand R. and Ghumro, P.B. (2010). Antibiotic sensitivity of human genital mycoplasmas. African Journal of Microbiology Research Volume 4(9), Pages 704-707.
[6]. Bradbury, J.M. (2001). Avian mycoplasmosis. In: poultry diseases. 5th ed. edited by Jordan *et al*., W.B. Saunders Company, Iowa, Pages 178-193.
[7]. Thu N.V., Thuy L.T. and Phuong P.T. (2003). Investigation of avian mycoplasma infection in Vietnam by molecular tools.
[8]. Bradbury J.M. (2005). Gordon Memorial Lecture. Poultry mycoplasmas: sophisticated pathogens in simple guise. British Poultry Science, 46 (2): Pages 125-136.
[9]. Hossain K.M.M., Ali M.Y. and Haque M.I. (2007). Seroprevalence of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* infection in chicken in the greater Rajshahi District of Bangladesh. Bangladesh Journal of Veterinary Medicine Volume 5 (1 &2): Pages 09-14.
[10]. Buim M. R., Mettifogo E., Timenetsky J., Kleven S. and Ferreira A. J. P. (2009). Epidemiological survey on *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* and *Mycoplasmasynoviae* by multiplex PCR in commercial poultry. Pesq. Vet. Bras. 29(7): Pages 552-556.
[11]. Whithear K.G. (1976). Avian mycoplasmosis. Bacteriology. First published as: Avian mycoplasmosis; by Eggleton D., Lewis P.F. and Hall W.T.K. by the Australian Bureau of Animal Health.
[12]. Weisburg W.G., Tully J.G., Rose D.L., Petzel J.P., Oyaizu H., Yang D., Mandelco L., Sechrest J., Lawrence T.G., Van Etten J., Maniloff L. and Woese C.R. (1989). A pylogenetic analysis of the mycoplasmas: basis for their classification. Journal of Bacteriology. Volume 171: Pages 6455-67.
[13]. Cookson K.C., Shivaprasad H.L. (1994). *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* infection in chukka patridges, pheasants and peafowl. Avian Diseases, 38:914-921.
[14]. Garner G., Saville P., Fcdiaevsky A. (2006). Manual for the recognition of exotic diseases of livestock. A reference guide for animal health staff (online). FAO. 2004. Mycoplasmosis (M. gallisepticum). Available at http: /www.spc.int/rahs/Manual/Manuate.html.
[15]. Poveda J.B., Carranza I., Miranda A., Hermoso M., Comenech I. (1990). An epizootiological study of avian mycoplasmas in Southern Spain: Avian Pathology, 19: 627-633.
[16]. Nascimento E.R. (2000). Mycoplasmoses. In: Macari M., Berchieri Jr. A, (editors). Doenças das aves. Campinas: FACTA. Pages 217-24.
[17]. Razin S. and Tully J.G. (1995). Molecular and diagnostic procedures in mycoplasmology: molecular characterization. San Diego (CA): Academic Press; Volume 1.
[18]. Yamamoto R. (1991). *Mycoplasma meleagridis* infection. In: Calnek B.W., Burnes H.J., Beard C.W., Yoder Jr. H.W. editors. Diseases of poultry. Ames, Iowa, USA. Ames. Iowa State University Press. PageS 212-23.
[19]. Razin S., Yogev D. and Naot Y. (1998). Molecular biology and pathogenicity of mycoplasmas. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews. Volume 62: Pages 1094-156.
[20]. Nunoya T., Yagihashi T., Tajima M. and Nagasawa Y. (1995). Occurance of keratoconjunctivitis apparently caused by *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* in layer chickens. Veterinary Pathology, Volume 32: Pages 11-18.
[21]. Yoder H.W. (1972a). *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*. In: Diseases of poultry, 6th Edition. Edited by Hofstad M.S., Calnek B.W., Helmboldt C.F., Reid W.M. and Yoder H.W., Ames, Iowa: State University Press. Pages 287-307.
[22]. Nascimento E.R., Pereira V.L.A., Nascimento M.G.F. and Barreto M.L. (2005). Avian mycoplasmosis update. Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science. Volume 7/No.1/pages 01-09.
[23]. McMullin P. (2004). A pocket guide to poultry health and disease.
[24]. Wang Y., Whithear K.G. and Ghiocas E. (1990). Isolation of *Mycoplasma gallinarum* and *Mycoplasma gallinaceum* from the reproductive tract of hens. Australian Veterinary Journal 67, 990.
[25]. Mohammed H.O., Carpenter T.E. and Yamamoto R. (1987). Economic impact of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* and *Mycoplasma synoviae* in commercial layer flocks. Volume 31: Pages 477-82.
[26]. Yoder Jr. H.W. (1991b). *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* infection. In: Calnek B.W., Burnes H.J., Beard C.W., Yoder Jr. H.W. (editors). Diseases of poultry. Ames, Iowa, USA. Ames: Iowa State University Press. Pages 198-212.
[27]. Ahmad A., Rabbani M., Yaqoop T., Ahmad A., Shabbir M.Z. and Akhtar F. (2008). Status of IgG antibodies against *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* in non-vaccinated commercial poultry breeder flocks. Journal Animal Plant Science Volume 18 Pages 2-3.
[28]. Bradbury, J.M. (2001). Avian mycoplasmosis. In: poultry diseases.
[29]. Rhorer A.R., (2002). USDA, APHIS, National Poultry Improvement Plan Conyers, GA. Personal Communication. 5th ed. edited by Jordan *et al*., W.B. Saunders Company, Iowa, Pages 178-193.
[30]. Charlton B. R. , Bermudez A. J., Boudianne M., Eckroade R. J., Jeffrey J. S., Newman L. J., Sander J. E. and Wakenell P. S. (1996). In: Charlton B.R., editor. Avian disease manual. Kennett square, Pennsylvania, USA: American Association of Avian Pathologists; Pages 115-25.
[31]. Pattison M., McMullin P.E., Bradbury J.M., Alexander D.J. (2008). Poultry Diseases. Saunders Elsevier, London, 6th Edition. Pages 294-316.

[32]. Salami-Shinaba J.O. (2009). Current advances in avian mycoplasmal infections. Vom Journal of Veterinary Science Volume 6. Pages 1-14.

[33]. Salami J.O. (1994). Studies on chicken mycoplasmosis in Kaduna and Kano States of Nigeria. Ph.D thesis, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria.

[34]. Ficken M. (1996). Respiratory System. In: Ridell C., editor. Avian histopathology. Kennet Square, Pennslyvannia, USA: American Association of Avian Pathologists; 1996. P. 89-109.

[35]. Jordan F.T.W. and Amin M. (1975). The isolation of mycoplasma from avian species. Proceedings of Conference on taxonomy and physiology of animal mycoplasmas.

[36]. Yoder H.W. (1984). *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* infection. In. Diseases of poultry 8th edition. Hofstad M.S., Burnes H.J., Calnek B.W., Reid M.W., Yoder H.W. (eds). Ames, Iowa, USA. State University Press. Pages 190-202.

[37]. Bencina, D. (2002). Haemagglutinins of pathogenic avian mycoplasmas. Avian Pathology, Volume 31: Pages 535-547.

[38]. Adesiyun A.A. and Abdu P.A. (1985). Serological survey of *Mycoplasmagallisepticum* antibody in four domestic poultry species around Zaria, Nigeria. Bulletin of Animal Health and Production in Africa, Volume 3: Pages 171-172.

[39]. Kleven S.H., and Yoder, H.W. (1989). Mycoplasmosis. A laboratory manual for the isoaltion and identification of avian pathogens. (Editors H.G. Purchase, L.H. Arp, C.H. Domermuth and J.E. Pearson). Pages 57 – 62. 3rd Edition (Kendall/Hunt Publishing: Dubuque).

[40]. Oritz A., Froyman R. and Kleven S. H. (1995). Evaluation of enrofloxacin against egg transmission of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*. Avian Diseases 1995; Volume 39: Pages 830-6.

[41]. Stipkovits L. and Kempf I. (1996). Mycoplasmoses in poultry. Revue Scientifiqueet Technique, Office International des Epizooties; Volume 15(4): Pages 1495-525.

[42]. Bradbury J. M., Yavuri C.A. and Giles, C.J. (1994). *In vitro* evaluation of various antimicrobial against *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* and *Mycoplasmasynoviae* by the micro-broth method and comparison with a commercially prepared system. Avian Pathology Volume 23: Pages 105-115.

[43]. Hannan, P.T.C., Windsor G.D., de Jong A., Schmeer N. and Stegemann M., (1997a). Comparative susceptibilities of various animal-pathogenic Mycoplasmas to fluoroquinolones. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother., Volume 41: Pages 2037-2040.

[44]. Kalu N., Chah K.F., Anene B.M. and Ezema W. (2015). Respiratory mycoplasma infection in chickens in Nsukka Agro-Ecologica Zone, Enugu State, Nigeria. J Vet Adv 2015, 5(7): 1036-1045.

[45]. Gautier-Bouchardon A.V., Reinhardt A.K., Kobisch M. and Kempf I. (2002). *In vitro* development of resistance to enrofloxacin, tylosin, tiamulin and oxytetracycline in *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*, *Mycoplasma iowae*and *Mycoplasma synoviae*. Veerinary Microbiology, Volume 88; Pages 47-58.

[46]. Bebear , C.M., Renaudin J., Charron A., Renaudin H., de Barbeyrac B., Schaeverbeke T. and Bebear, C. (1999). Mutations in the gyrA, par C and par E genes associated with fluoroquinolones resistance in clinical isolates of *Mycoplasma hominis.*Antimicrob. Agents Chemoter. Volume 43: Pages 954-956.

[47]. Wu C.C., Shryock T.R., Lin T.L. and Faderan M. (2000). Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Mycoplasma hyorhinis*. Veterinary Microbiology, Volume 76: Pages 25-30.

[48]. Ahling R.D., Baker E.S., Nicholas R.A.J., Peek M.L., Simon A. J., (2000). Comparison of in vitro activity of danofloxacin, florfenicol, oxytetracycline, spectinomycin and tilmicosin against recent field isolates of *Mycoplasma bovis*. Vet Rec. Volume 146: Pages 745-747.

[49]. Thomas A., Dizier I., Trolin A., Mainil J. and Linden A. (2003). Comparison of sampling procedures for isolating pulmonary Mycoplasmas in cattle. Veterinary Research Communication.Volume 26: Pages 333-339.

[50]. Tanner A.C. and Wu C. (1992). Adaptation of the sensititre broth micro dilution technique to antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*. Avian Disease, Volume 36: Pages 714-717.

[51]. Jordan F.T.W. and Horrocks B.K., (1996). The minimum inhibitory concentration of tilmicosin and tylosin for *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* and *Mycoplasma synoviae* and a comparison of their efficacy in the control of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* in broiler chicks. Avian Diseases, Volume 40; Pages326-334.

[52]. Stipkovits L.T. (2000). Current questions of the control of *Mycoplasma synoviae* infection. Magyar AllatorvosokLapja, Volume 122: Pages 165-167.

[53]. Edward D.G .andKanarek, A. D. (1960). Organisms of the pleuropneumonia group of avian origin, their classification into species. Annals of the New York Academy of Science, 79:696-702.

[54]. Glisson I.R., Cheng I. N., Brown, I. and Stewart R. G.(1989). The effect of oxytetracycline on the severity of air sacculitis in chickens infected with *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*. Avian Diseases, 33: 750-752.

[55]. Kempf I., Ollivier C., Protais I., Guittet M., Cacou P. M., L'Bospitalier, R. andBennejean, G. (1989). Experimental infection with *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*in chicks, turkeys, laying hens and chick embryos. Bulletin deAcademieVeterinaire de France, 62: 51-62.

[56]. Timms L. M., Marshall, R. N. andBreslin, M. F. (1989). Evaluation of the efficacy of chlortetracycline for the control of chronic respiratory disease caused by *Escherichia coli* and *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*. Research in Veterina Science, 47: 377-382.

[57]. Kleven S. H. (2008). Control of avian mycoplasma infections in commercial poultry. Avian Diseases: September 2008, Volume 52, No. 3, Pages 367-374.

[58]. Behbahan N. G. G., Asasi K., Afsharifar A. R., and Pourbakhsh S. A. (2008). Susceptibilities of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* and *Mycoplasma synoviae* isolate to antimicrobial agents *in vitro*. International Journal of Poultry Science Volume 7 (11): Pages 1058-1064, 2008. ISSN 1682-8356.

[59]. Levisohn S. and S. H. Kleven (2000). Avian mycoplasmosis (*Mycoplasmagallisepticum*). Rev. Science Tech. Volume 19: Pages 425-442.