Effect of Various Levels of Fertilizers on Growth and Yield of Finger Millet
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Abstract: A field investigation was conducted to study the effect of different levels of fertilizers on growth and yield of finger millet. The RDF through briquettes (60:30:00 Kg NPK ha\textsuperscript{-1}) shows significantly higher results regarding different growth attributes like plant height, number of functional leaves plant\textsuperscript{-1}, number of tillers hill\textsuperscript{-1}, leaf area plant\textsuperscript{-1} and dry matter production plant\textsuperscript{-1}. The treatment T\textsubscript{2} also recorded significantly higher yield attributing characters like number of fingers earhead\textsuperscript{1} and 1000 grain weight. However, treatment T\textsubscript{3} was at par with application of 75% RDF through briquettes. The higher yield attributing characters resulted into significant increase in grain yield (25.20 qtl ha\textsuperscript{-1}) and straw yield (32.72 qtl ha\textsuperscript{-1}) with the application of RDF through briquettes in treatment T\textsubscript{2}. However, treatment T\textsubscript{3} was at par with the application of 75% RDF through briquettes (45:22:5:00 Kg NPK ha\textsuperscript{-1}) in respect of grain yield (24.40 qtl ha\textsuperscript{-1}) and straw yield (31.60 qtl ha\textsuperscript{-1}). On the basis of this results it could be concluded that, application of RDF through briquettes (60:30:00 Kg NPK ha\textsuperscript{-1}) is an optimum dose and advisable for obtaining the maximum grain and straw yield for transplanted finger millet.
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I. Introduction

Finger millet is an important rainfed crop, due to its resilience and ability to withstand adverse weather conditions when grown in soils having poor water holding capacity. In monsoon season the applied fertilizers are drained or leached out especially in heavy rainfall areas. The application of fertilizers through briquettes will be helpful for increasing fertilizer use efficiency and use of applied fertilizers (Nigade and More, 2013).

The integrated use of UB-DAP has the potential to increase finger millet production of small resource-poor finger millet farmers with less fertilizers and in sustainable manner in rainfed transplanted finger millet ecoregions. The information regarding the effect of various levels of fertilizers and deep placement of N & P briquettes on growth and yield attributes of finger millet is negligible and hence present investigation was undertaken.

II. Materials And Methods

This field experiment was conducted at Zonal Agricultural Research station, Shenda park farm, Kolhapur during Kharif 2012. Geographically it comes under the sub mountain zone with average annual rainfall of 1050 mm being received in about 64 rainy days. The soil of experimental plot was sandy clay loam in texture, low in available phosphorus and moderately high in available nitrogen and potash. It was neutral in reaction. The field experiment was laid out in randomized block design (Panse and Sukhatme, 1967) comprising six treatments viz., T\textsubscript{1} RDF through fertilizer (60:30:00 Kg NPK ha\textsuperscript{-1}), T\textsubscript{2} RDF through briquettes (60:30:00 (60:30:00 Kg NPK ha\textsuperscript{-1}), T\textsubscript{3} 75 \% Recommeded dose of fertilizers through briquettes (45:22:5:00 Kg NPK ha\textsuperscript{-1}), T\textsubscript{4} 50 \% RDF through briquettes (30:15:00 Kg NPK ha\textsuperscript{-1}), farmers practice (application of 125 kg Urea ha\textsuperscript{-1}) and T\textsubscript{5} Absolute control. The variety GPU 28 of finger millet was used in this investigation.

Seeds were treated with Thiram @ 3 gm kg\textsuperscript{-1} of seeds before sowing. Seed treatment with biofertilizer (Azospirillum @ 0.25 gm kg\textsuperscript{-1} of seeds) was common to all treatments except treatment T\textsubscript{3} and T\textsubscript{5}. Fertilizer application was done as per the treatments. Basal dose of fertilizers was applied at the time of transplanting. Half of the nitrogen was applied as top dressing at 32 DAT. Urea (46 percent Nitrogen), DAP (18 percent Nitrogen and 46 percent Phosphorus) and UB-DAP briquettes (17 percent Nitrogen and 34 percent Phosphorus) were used as fertilizer source. Seeds were sown on nursery beds of 1.5 m X 8 m size in lines 7.5 cm apart with seed rate of 4 kg ha\textsuperscript{-1}. 32 days old seedlings were used for transplanting. Transplanting was done with a spacing of 20-40 X 7.5 cm. Growth observation viz., plant height (cm), number of tillers hill\textsuperscript{-1}, number of leaves plant\textsuperscript{-1}, dry matter production plant\textsuperscript{-1} and leaf area plant\textsuperscript{-1} were recorded at periodical interval. Harvesting of experimental crop was carried out at 90 percent physiological maturity stage. The post harvest observations viz., number of fingers earhead\textsuperscript{1}, grain yield (qtl ha\textsuperscript{-1}), straw yield (qtl ha\textsuperscript{-1}) and test weight (1000 grain weight) were also recorded.
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III. Results And Discussion

A. Growth studies

1. Plant height:
   RDF through briquettes (60:30:00 kg NPK ha\(^{-1}\)) in treatment T\(_2\) was significantly higher over 50 % RDF through briquettes (30:15:00 kg NPK ha\(^{-1}\)) and farmers practice (125 kg Urea ha\(^{-1}\)). At par with RDF through fertilizers in treatment T\(_1\) and 75 % RDF through briquettes in treatment T\(_3\).

2. Number of functional leaves plant\(^{-1}\):
   At all stages of crop growth, RDF through briquettes recorded significantly more number of functional leaves plant\(^{-1}\) than rest of the treatments, followed by RDF through fertilizers (60:30:00 kg NPK ha\(^{-1}\)). At crop growth stages of 30, 45, 60 and 75 DAT, RDF through briquettes and RDF through fertilizers (60:30:00 kg NPK ha\(^{-1}\)) treatments were found at par. On the other hand, the treatment control showed minimum number of functional leaves over all the treatments under study. Similar results reported by Gavade (2010) and Nigade and More (2013).

3. Number of tillers:
   The treatment T\(_2\) was significantly higher over 50 % RDF through briquettes (30:15:00 Kg NPK ha\(^{-1}\)) and farmers practice (125 Kg Urea ha\(^{-1}\)), however at par with RDF through fertilizers (60:30:00 Kg NPK ha\(^{-1}\)) and 75 % RDF through briquettes (45:22.5:00 Kg NPK ha\(^{-1}\)).

4. Leaf area plant\(^{-1}\):
   At 45 and 60 DAT the application of RDF through briquettes (60:30:00 kg NPK ha\(^{-1}\)) recorded significantly superior over rest of the treatments except application of RDF through fertilizers, while at 75 DAT the application of RDF through briquettes (60:30:00 Kg NPK ha\(^{-1}\)), RDF through fertilizers (60:30:00 kg NPK ha\(^{-1}\)) and 75 % RDF through briquettes (45:22.5:00 Kg NPK ha\(^{-1}\)) were found at par. The higher leaf area has resulted into higher photosynthetic activity, the synthesis of higher amount of photo synthate by finger millet at all stages of crop. Similar results were observed by Deshmukh (2007) and Gavade (2010).

5. Dry matter production plant\(^{-1}\):
   At 30, 45 and 60 DAT the application of RDF through briquettes (60:30:00 Kg NPK ha\(^{-1}\)) was found significantly superior over 50 % RDF through briquettes, farmers practice (125 Kg Urea ha\(^{-1}\)) and control treatments. Further it was at par with the application of RDF through fertilizers (60:30:00 Kg NPK ha\(^{-1}\)) and 75 % RDF through briquettes (45:22.5:00 Kg NPK ha\(^{-1}\)). At 75 DAT the application of RDF through briquettes (60:30:00 Kg NPK ha\(^{-1}\)) was significantly superior over rest of the treatments. The results are in conformity with the results noted earlier by Roy et al. (2002), Mendhe et al. (2006) and Gavade (2010).

B. Yield studies

1. Number of fingers earhead\(^{-1}\):
   RDF through briquettes (60:30:00 kg NPK ha\(^{-1}\)) was significantly higher over 50 % RDF through briquettes (30:15:00 kg NPK ha\(^{-1}\)) and farmers practice at par with RDF through fertilizers (60:30:00 kg NPK ha\(^{-1}\)) and 75 % RDF through briquettes (45:22.5:00 kg NPK ha\(^{-1}\)).

2. 1000 grain weight:
   The application of RDF through briquettes in treatment T\(_2\) was significantly superior over the RDF through fertilizers, 50 % RDF through briquettes (30:15:00 kg NPK ha\(^{-1}\)), farmers practice (125 kg Urea ha\(^{-1}\)) and control treatments except the application of 75 % RDF through briquettes (45:22.5:00 kg NPK ha\(^{-1}\)). These results are in conformity with the results obtained by Gautam and Kaushik (1982), Reddy et al. (1985), Roy et al. (2002), Nigade and More (2013).

C. Yield

1. Grain yield
   The application of RDF through briquettes was found significantly superior over RDF through fertilizers, 50 % RDF through briquettes, farmers practice and control treatments, however it was at par with the application of 75 % RDF through briquettes. Higher grain yield observed due to the briquette application might be due to reduction in leaching losses of nutrients and increase in the availability of nutrients. Similar results were also reported by Talshilkar et al. (1992), Dhane et al. (2002), Pillai (2004), Bulbule et al. (2005), Darade (2009) in Rice and Gawade (2010) in finger millet.
2. Straw yield

The treatment RDF through briquettes was found significantly superior over RDF through fertilizers (60:30:00 kg NPK ha\(^{-1}\)), 50% RDF through briquettes, farmers practice and control treatments. However it was at par with the application of 75% RDF through briquettes. The treatment control recorded significantly the lowest straw yield as compared with other treatments. The higher straw yield might be due to steady and slow availability of nitrogen at early as well as later growth phase and availability of phosphorus in root zone. This might be due to same trend was also recorded in growth observations. These observations are in the line with the observations made by Dafterdar and Sawant (1995), Talashilkar et al. (2000), Mendhe et al. (2006) and Darade et al. (2009) in case of rice and Gavade (2010) in case of finger millet.

D. Benefit cost ratio

The treatment RDF through briquettes recorded significantly higher B:C ratio (1.61) over rest of the treatments viz., RDF through fertilizers (1.45), 50% RDF through briquettes (1.21), farmers practice (1.19) and control(1.10) except 75% RDF through briquettes (1.59). Similar results were recorded by Maitra et al. (2000), Ramamoorthy et al. (2009) and Gavade (2010).

IV. Conclusion

On the basis of present investigation, it is concluded that, the application of RDF through briquettes (60:30:00 kg NPK ha\(^{-1}\)) is an efficient and advisable for obtaining the maximum grain yield. The gross and net monetary returns as well as benefit cost ratio is also higher with application of RDF through briquettes (60:30:00 kg NPK ha\(^{-1}\)).
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