Evaluation of semen for breeding soundness of four different breeds of bull used for artificial insemination
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Abstract: The investigation was aimed to evaluate the bulls for breeding soundness by assessing their fresh semen. The study was performed in District AI Center, Mymensingh and AI center, BAU, Mymensingh. Four different bulls of cross breed (Holstein-Friesian × Zebu, Sahiwal × Zebu, Sindhi × Zebu and Red Chittagong Bull) were examined physically with a special emphasis to the palpation of the scrotum and testicles and rectal palpation of the pelvic genitalia were carried out once every two weeks. The sexual behavior particularly the libido was observed at service. A total of 107 ejaculates were collected and evaluated with respect to ejaculate volume, mass activity, sperm motility, concentration of sperm, pH, percentages of live and dead spermatzoa from 1 May to 31 October, 2013. The bulls used in this study were regarded as clinically normal. During the study, mean value of semen ejaculate volume, sperm motility, mass activity, sperm concentration, percentage of live and dead sperm and pH were 5.81±0.16ml, 66.64±0.50%, 3.59±0.05, 1115.97±16.08 million/mm³, 77.62 ± 0.63 %, 15% to 45%, 6.48±0.01 respectively. The mean fertility rate (65.37±0.31%) of the bulls was also recorded. The mean values of semen ejaculate volume, sperm motility, mass activity, sperm concentration, percentage of live sperm and dead sperm, pH and fertility varied significantly (p<0.01) among breeds. Significantly (P<0.01) the highest volume per ejaculation, sperm concentration, mass activity were found in Holstein cross and lowest in Red Chittagong breeds of bulls. Significantly (P<0.05) the highest live spermatozoa percentage, pH, fertility rate were found in Holstein – Friesian × Zebu and lowest in Red Chittagong bulls of bulls. It was concluded that the Holstein – Friesian × Zebu crossbred bull produced better quality semen in comparison to other breed. So, it can be suggested that, Holstein – Friesian × Zebu crossbred bull could be suitable as breeding bull to be used for AI.
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I. Introduction

The livestock population in Bangladesh has been estimated to be about 49 millions of which the population of cattle is about 29 millions (Directorate of Livestock Services, 2012-13). The cattle supply milk and meat for human consumption, hides and other raw materials for industry, manure and draught power for crop production and fuel for domestic use. Reproductive technologies and innovative breeding in livestock production are as fundamental for global food security [1]. Artificial insemination (AI) has been used as a tool for sound breeding programme. Selection of sound bull is one of the most important decisions of sound breeding programme. Quality evaluation of semen is one of the most important steps to detect the breeding soundness of bulls which determines bulls’ health, physical and reproductive soundness. A bull requires the following criteria to be fertile: physical soundness, good libido, and good quality semen [2]. Sub-fertility in bull’s delays pregnancy, prolongs calving interval, reduces calf weaning weight and increases culling rate of females. In Bangladesh, cross breeding for upgradation of cattle was started in 1935 with a view to improve the local cattle stock [3], with no systematic sexual health control programme. Because of low uptake of AI in smallholdings, production per animal has been remained very low. To overcome this, a programme should be undertaken for improvement of cattle in Bangladesh, especially indigenous cattle. If pregnancy does not result from the minimum number of services, it constitutes an economic loss to the farmer. AI must be combined with a health control programme, which must take care of sub-fertility problems. AI continues to be the most effective weapon in the control of venereal and other diseases. Single bull in AI centre can produce 100000 doses of semen per year. A single collection of semen may yield over a thousand doses, which may be widely distributed over a long period. Extremely low reproductive rates in tropical location are often blamed on female cattle, although it may be assumed that climate and poor health may also influence the fertility of bulls, especially semen quality and libido [4]. In Bangladesh, comprehensive work has not been done with regard to quality of semen and fertility of
cattle by AI. The investigation was aimed to evaluate fresh semen to be used for insemination in a routine AI programme. The study was undertaken to compare the quality of semen among four different breeds of bull and to evaluate bulls for breeding soundness.

II. Materials And Methods

2.1 Study area and period
The present study was conducted at Bangladesh Agricultural University AI Center and district AI center, Mymensingh from 1 May to 31 October, 2013.

2.2 Experimental bulls
Experimental bulls were Holstein Friesian × Zebu (Bull No. 862), Sahiwal × Zebu (Bull No. 79), Sindhi × Zebu (Bull No. 122), Red Chittagong (Bull No. 178). The age of the bulls were determined by the date of birth from the record book maintained by AI center and ranged from 36 to 90 months. Detailed information on each bull such as age, body weight, health status, vaccination was obtained from the record books of the bull station.

2.3 Examination of bulls
Before introduction to the collection schedule, the bulls were examined physically with a special emphasis to the palpation of the scrotum and testicles and rectal palpation of the pelvic genitalia were carried out once every two weeks. The sexual behaviour of the bulls was observed, including libido, erection, mounting and grasping of the dummy, protrusion of penis and thrust and ejaculation. The scrotum was inspected with respect to size, shape and freedom from skin disease.

2.4 Physical examination
The examination was based on internal and external component.
- **Internal** - A transrectal examination was used to evaluate the health of secondary sex organs - urethra, prostate, seminal vesicles, ampullae and vas deferens.
- **External** - Palpation of the testicles and epididymis and examination of the sheath and penis were done to detect abnormalities that could affect breeding performance.

2.5 Clinical Examination of Bulls
The bulls were thoroughly examined especially with regard to the locomotion body condition score, rectal temperature, breathing rate and pulse rate. The nutrition condition of the bulls was scored into 1-5 scale [5]. The bulls used to be weighed routinely once in a month. The scrotum of bulls was inspected with respect to size, symmetry and any visible skin diseases. The consistency of testes was assessed on the basis of firmness and resilience into 1-5 scale.

2.6 Semen collection
The semen was collected thrice week by means of AV (artificial vaginal method) [6]. The liner of the AV was filled with water at 42-48 degrees Celsius, and the inner surface was lubricated with something like K-Y jelly. The internal temperature of AV was checked to ensure that it was not above 50°C. The AV was held upright to flow the ejaculate into graduated tube which was attached with the latex extension cone. An insulating cone was placed over the end from which the collection tube protrudes to avoid subjecting the semen to temperature shock. About 107 ejaculates were collected from 4 different breeds of bull.

2.7 Volume and macroscopic examination
The volume, colour and opacity of the semen and presence of any foreign substances were checked according to [7]. The density of the ejaculate reflects sperm concentration, which was expressed with “D”. The sperm concentration was determined by a haemocytometric method [8] after dilution 1:200 with buffered formal saline. The samples of semen with concentration above 1000 million/mm³ were considered as good. A drop of undiluted semen was placed on a warmed (37°C) slide without cover slip and examined with low power objective (100) as described by [9]. The mass activity was graded as 1-5 scale (1+ = No mass activity , 2+= Slow wave motion, 3+ = Rapid wave motion with formation of eddies at the end of waves, 4+ = Eddies). Motility was evaluated in a small drop of semen under cover slip with higher magnification (400). Sperm moving forward were included in the motility count while sperm moving in circles or backward or showing pendulating movement were excluded (Herman et al., 1994). Sperm moving forward were included in the motility count while sperm moving in circles or backward or showing pendulating movement were excluded (Herman et al., 1994). The sperm cell concentration was estimated by haematocytometer after dilution 1:200 with buffered formal - saline. The pH was measured using indicator paper strips. The percent of live sperm cells was determined from a Live - dead count of 500 spermatozoa on semen sample with eosin nigrosin stain.
2.8 Statistical analyses

All values relating to semen evaluation parameter were expressed as mean ± standard error mean (SEM). The findings in all cases were subjected to statistical analysis. Paired “T” test was performed to obtain the difference in volume per ejaculate, mass activity, motility, sperm concentration, live sperm percentage, dead sperm percentage and fertility rate among breeds.

III. Results And Discussion

The general health conditions of bulls were found to be good. The confirmation of the locomotory organs of all bulls was found to be normal. Sexual behaviour of the bulls like libido, erection and protrusion of the penis, mounting and grasping of the dummy, seeking movement, thrust and ejaculation were found to be satisfactory. The bulls were all free from venereal diseases. The health of the bulls was good, as suggested by the Theriogenology Society in the United States of America (Kastelic and Thundathil, 2008). Sexual behaviour of all the bulls was satisfactory. The scrotal sac was normal and no skin lesion was detected. Observation of mating performance and behaviour and semen evaluation are traditionally used to assess bulls for suitability for breeding (Parkinson, 2004). Britol et al. (2004) found that scrotal circumference was a good predictor of sexual maturity in Bos indicus bulls. Chacon et al. (2002) observed that scrotal circumference is positively related to body condition in Brahman bulls in tropics and commented that nutrition may be a major factor affecting seasonal variations in male reproductive parameters, especially testicular size. Santos et al. (1998) found that those bull fed high levels of concentrates had higher body weight and scrotal circumference than those fed low levels, but there was no difference in semen turbidity, motility and sperm concentration. As scrotal circumference increases, age at puberty decreases and productivity is improved in daughters.

Semen evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Mean ± SEM (ml)</th>
<th>Minimum value</th>
<th>Maximum value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>5.81± 0.16</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>8.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motility (%)</td>
<td>66.64± 0.50</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass activity</td>
<td>3.59± 0.05</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration (million/mm³)</td>
<td>1115.97± 16.08</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.48± 0.01</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live spermatozoa (%)</td>
<td>77.62± 0.63</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead spermatozoa (%)</td>
<td>22.38± 0.63</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertility rate (%)</td>
<td>65.48± 0.31</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During study, the mean semen volume was 5.81± 0.16 ml in four different breeds of bulls and ranged from 2.00ml to 8.50ml. The mean value of semen motility was found 66.64± 0.50% in four different breeds of bulls and the motility was ranged from 55% to 75%. The mass activity of semen was 3.59± 0.05 in four different breeds of bulls and the mass activity was ranged from 2 to 4. The mean semen concentration of four different breeds of bulls was observed 1115.97± 16.08 million/mm³ and which ranged from 800 to 1775 million/mm³. The mean value of pH of semen was 6.48± 0.01 in four different breeds of bulls and ranged from 6.00 to 6.70. The mean value of live and dead spermatozoa were noted to be 77.62 ± 0.63% and 22.38± 0.63% which ranged from 55 to 85% and 15 to 45% respectively in four different breeds of bulls. The mean fertility rate was found 65.48 ± 0.31% in four different breeds of bulls which ranged from 45 to 80%.

Figure 1. Graphical presentation of ejaculate volume of semen of different breeds of bull.

Values are mean ± SEM

a,b indicate significant difference at 5% level (P <0.05)

** indicate highly significant value at 1% level (P<0.01)
The highest (7.19±0.19ml) mean value of volume of semen was found in Holstein-Friesian x Zebu bulls and lowest (4.41±0.21ml) in Red Chittagong bulls. The semen volume in other two breeds, Sindhi x Zebu and Shahiwal x Zebu was 6.91±0.12 ml and 4.46±0.18 ml respectively. There was no significant (P>0.05) difference between Shahiwal x Zebu and Red Chittagong breeds and between Sindhi x Zebu and Holstein-Friesian x Zebu breeds of bull. But semen volume of Shahiwal x Zebu and Red Chittagong breeds of bulls varied significantly (p<0.01) with that of Holstein-Friesian x Zebu breeds and Sindhi x Zebu breeds of bulls.

Considering the breed effect, it was found that the volume of semen varied among the breeds in this study. Reference [10] found significantly (p<0.05) highest (7.6 ml) volume of semen was in Holstein-Friesian x Zebu crosses and lowest (4.0 ml) in Sahiwal x Zebu. Reference [11] found that the mean volume of the ejaculate in adult dairy and buffalo bull was 5.4 to 6.5 ml and 1.5 to 3.7 ml (range: 0.5 to 6.0 ml) respectively. Reference [12] reported a significant (P<0.01) breed difference in volume of semen.

Figure 2. Graphical presentation of Motility of semen of different breeds of bull

Values are mean ± SEM
a,b indicate significant difference at 5% level (P<0.05)
** indicate highly significant value at 1% level (P<0.01)

As regards to the motility of semen, the highest (69.40±0.67%) value of motility of semen was found in Shahiwal x Zebu breeds of bulls and lowest (62.12±0.97%) was found in Red Chittagong breeds of bulls. The value of motility of semen in other two breeds was 68.54±0.56% and 66.72±0.99% for Sindhi x Zebu and Holstein-Friesian x Zebu breeds of bull respectively. There were no significant (P>0.05) differences among Sindhi x Zebu, Shahiwal x Zebu and Holstein-Friesian x Zebu breeds of bulls. But the motility(%) of Red Chittagong breeds of bull differed significantly (p<0.01) from other three breeds of bull (Figure-12).

References [10], [13], [14], [15] reported that the percentage of motile sperm was 66.2% - 76.0% in the Holstein-Friesian x Zebu bulls and 56.6% - 60.1% in Jersey x Zebu bulls. Reference [16] reported that average motility of bovine fresh semen as 63.3% and the range was 50-80%. This variation could be caused by the age of animals, climate and management.

Figure 3. Graphical presentation of Mass activity of semen of different breeds of bull

Values are mean ± SEM
a,b,c indicate significant difference at 5% level (P<0.05)
** indicate highly significant value at 1% level (P<0.01)
The mean value of mass activity is presented in figure-13. It showed that, the highest (3.94±0.25) value of mass activity of semen was found in Holstein-Friesian x Zebu breeds of bulls and lowest (3.12±0.04) in Red Chittagong breeds of bulls. The value of mass activity of semen in other two breeds was 3.58±0.10 and 3.64±0.09 for Sindhi x Zebu and Shahiwal x Zebu breeds of bull respectively. There was no significant (P>0.05) difference between Shindhi x Zebu and Shahiwal x Zebu breeds of bulls. The value of mass activity of semen of Shindhi x Zebu and Shahiwal x Zebu breeds of bull differed significantly (p<0.01) from Holstein-Friesian x Zebu and Chittagong breeds of bulls. The value of mass activity of semen of Red Chittagong breeds of bulls significantly (p<0.01) differed from Holstein-Friesian x Zebu breeds of bull.

Reference [17] found higher semen mass activity (2.10±0.06) in Murrah bulls as compared to crossbred bulls (1.99±0.07) and reported that the mean mass activity score and percent individual sperm motility were significantly higher (p<0.05) in Gir bulls (3.33 ± 0.11 and 7.50 ± 0.89) than Jaffarabady buffalo semen (2.80 ±0.06 and 66.75 ±1.04).

Figure 4. Graphical presentation of concentration of semen of different breeds of bull

Values are mean ± SEM

a,b,c indicate significant difference at 5% level (P<0.05)

** indicate highly significant value at 1% level (P<0.01)

The highest (1257.34±30.46 million/mm³) value of concentration of semen was found in Holstein-Friesian x Zebu breeds of bulls and the lowest (1020.96± 20.83 million/mm³) was found in Red Chittagong breeds of bulls. The value of concentration of semen in other two breeds was 1031.21±15.34 million/mm3 and 1115.20±30.43 million/mm³ for Sindhi x Zebu and Shahiwal x Zebu breeds of bulls respectively. There was no significant (P>0.05) difference between Shindhi x Zebu and Shahiwal x Zebu breeds of bull. The value of concentration of semen of Shindhi x Zebu and Red Chittagong breeds of bull differed significantly (p<0.01) from Holstein-Friesian x Zebu breeds and Shahiwal x Zebu breeds of bulls. And the value of concentration of semen of Shahiwal x Zebu breeds of bull significantly (p<0.01) differed from Holstein-Friesian x Zebu breeds of bulls.

Reference [18] closely support the findings of present study. Reference [19] reported higher sperm concentration in December and the highest concentration (1000 million/mm³) was obtained in Holstein Friesian cross-breeds of bull. According to Reference [20] the concentration of bull sperm ranges from 800-2000 million/mm³. Season had no effect on buffalo bull sperm concentration but it increased significantly with the age of the animals [18].

Figure 5. Graphical presentation of pH of semen of different breeds of bull

Values are mean ± SEM

a,b indicate significant difference at 5% level (P<0.05)
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** indicate highly significant value at 1% level (P<0.01)

Graphical presentation of pH value of semen of different breeds of bull showed that, there was no significant differences among Shahiwal x Zebu, Red Chittagong and Holstein-Friesian x Zebu breeds of bull. But Shindhi x Zebu breeds of bull were significantly (p<0.05) differed from Shahiwal x Zebu, Red Chittagong and Holstein-Friesian x Zebu breeds of bull (Figure-15). The result was found in accordance with references [10], [13] found that average pH about 6.1 - 6.5. The highest pH was found in summer. Lowering of pH with lactic acid was demonstrated to immobilize bull sperm [22], [23]. The pH of seminal plasma ranges from 6.7 to 7.4, which is common in the domestic species [24] and has the potential to neutralize vaginal acid. The pH value did not differ significantly between breeds and season.

Figure 6. Graphical presentation of live spermatozoa percent of different breeds of bull

Values are mean ± SEM
a,b indicate significant difference at 5% level (P<0.05)
** indicate highly significant value at 1% level (P<0.01)

The highest (81.25±0.64 %) value of live spermatozoa was found in Holstein-Friesian x Zebu breeds of bulls and the lowest (69.62±1.29%) was found in Red Chittagong breeds of bulls. The value of live spermatozoa in other two breeds was 79.17±0.65 % and 79.80±0.89% for Sindhi x Zebu and Shahiwal x Zebu breeds of bull respectively. There were no significant ( P>0.05) differences among Shindhi x Zebu, Shahiwal x Zebu and Holstein-Friesian x Zebu breeds of bulls. But The value of live spermatozoa (%) of Red Chittagong breeds of bulls significantly (p<0.01) differed from Holstein-Friesian x Zebu, Shindhi x Zebu and Shahiwal x Zebu breeds of bull. The findings of present study collaborate with the results of references [25], [26] who observed that, the average live sperm percentage for Holstein bull 83.5% and with a range from 70%–90%. The difference between breeds/crosses in live sperm percentage shows that performance of Red Chittagong bull was consistently lower than any other bull.

Figure 7. Graphical presentation of percentage of fertility of different breeds of bull

Values are mean ± SEM
a,b indicate significant difference at 5% level (P<0.05)
** indicate highly significant value at 1% level (P<0.01)

The fertility rate of different breeds of bull is depicted in figure-18. It showed that, the highest (67.00±0.15%) value of fertility was found in Holstein-Friesian x Zebu and the lowest (64.50±0.24%) was
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found in Sindhi x Zebu breeds of bulls. The value of fertility in other two breeds was 65.00±1.11% and 65.00±0.55% for and Shahiwal x Zebu Red Chittagong breeds of bull respectively. There were no significant (P<0.05) differences among Shindhi x Zebu, Shahiwal x Zebu and Red Chittagong breeds of bull. But the value of fertility (%) of Holstein-Friesian x Zebu breeds of bulls significantly (P<0.05) differed from Shindhi x Zebu, Shahiwal x Zebu and Red Chittagong breeds of bulls.

IV. Conclusion

The results of the present investigations concludes a breeding soundness evaluation helps in identification of problems of bulls in a bull station. Mean value of semen ejaculate volume, sperm motility, mass activity, sperm concentration, percentage of live sperm and dead sperm, pH, Fertility were 5.81±0.16ml, 66.64±0.50%, 3.59±0.05, 1115.97±16.08 million/mm3, 77.62±0.63%, 15% to 45%, 6.48±0.01, 65.37±0.31% respectively and this parameter varied from 2.00ml to 8.50ml, 55% to 75%, 2 to 4, 800 million/mm3 to 1775 million/mm3, 55% to 85%, 6.00 to 6.70 and 45% to 80% for semen ejaculate volume, sperm motility, mass activity, sperm concentration, percentage of live sperm, pH and Fertility respectively in the examined cattle species. Significantly (P<0.01) highest volume per ejaculate, sperm concentration, percentage of live sperm, pH and Fertility were found in Holstein cross and lowest in Red Chittagong bull. And significantly (P<0.05) highest live sperm, pH, Fertility rate were found in Holstein cross and lowest in Red Chittagong bull. Holstein-Friesian x Zebu produced better quality of semen than other. So, Holstein cross bulls ranked top and superior among the bulls under investigation.

References