# **Economics of Adaptation to Climate Change among Crop Farmers in Adamawa State, Nigeria**

Mohammed, D<sup>1</sup>\*., Kwaghe, P. V<sup>2</sup>., Bukar, U<sup>3</sup>. and Umar, J<sup>4</sup>.

Centre for Arid Zone Studies, University of Maiduguri, Nigeria Email:

Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Maiduguri Email:

Department of Agriculture, Ramat Polytechnic Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria

Lake Chad Research Institute, Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria

Abstract: This study examined the economics of adaptation to climate change among crop farmers in Adamawa state, Nigeria. Multi-stage sampling technique was used in selecting nine communities from three local government areas of zone one of Adamawa Agricultural Development Programme (AADP). Data were collected from 250 selected respondents through the use of questionnaire. Results of the study revealed that 83.83% of the respondents were aware of climate change through extension agents (44.19%), friends and neighbours (34.83%) and contact farmers (34.83%). Major adaptation strategies practiced by respondents in the study area were mixed/multiple cropping (34.71%), early planting (16.27%) and use of crop variety tolerant to new climate regime (15.62%). The result of the study also revealed that 77.35% of the respondents practiced multiple adaptation strategies of two, three and four. Costs/ha of crop enterprises based on one, two, three and four adaptation practices were N32,607, N34,400. N30,250 and N32,550 while their corresponding gross margin/ha were N37,283, N43,800, N50,950 and N52,650 respectively. The result depict that the higher the extent of adaptation practiced by respondents, the more the profit of crop enterprises. Mixed/multiple cropping had the highest returns/ha (N43,450) among the adaptation strategies practiced solely. This was followed by the use of crop variety tolerant to new climate regime (¥38,800) and the use of cover crop/mulching (¥36,100). It is recommended that farmers should be encouraged to practice multiple adaptation strategies as they yield more return per hectare.

Keywords: Economics, Adaptation. Crop farmers, Adamawa State, Nigeria

#### I. Introduction

Climate change constitutes a very serious threat to sustainable agricultural production and food security in many parts of the world (Adebayo *et al.* 2012). Studies such as Mendelsohn *et al.* (2000); Paavola (2006); Ozor and Cynthia (2010) indicated that temperature is rising and rainfall frequency and intensity is fluctuating. In Nigeria, analysis of long- term meteorological data (temperature, rainfall, dust haze) show discernable evidence of climate change (NIMET, 2008 cited in WEP, 2011). Anuforom (2010); Odjugo (2010) observed that within 105 years, temperatures increased by 1.2° C and 2° C in the coastal cities of Niger Delta and northern extreme of Nigeria respectively. In Adamawa State, analysis of climate data (temperature and precipitation) over 25 years (1980-2005) reveals that temperature had increased by 0.3° C and rainfall fluctuated over the years (Adebayo, 2010; Sawa and Adebayo, 2010; Audu, 2013). This leads to warmer seasons; increased frequency and intensity of weather extreme events such as drought, decline in rainfall amount by about 15-20%, increased incidence of dry spell (Adebayo, 1998; Anuforom, 2010).

Climate change impacts on agriculture include biological effect on crop yield, the resulting impact on prices, production, consumption and the impact on per capital calorie consumption and malnutrition. Climate change is increasing production risks in many farming systems and reducing the ability of farmers and rural communities to manage these risks on their own. Without appropriate responses climate change is likely to constrain economic development and poverty reduction efforts and exacerbate already pressing difficulties. Countries with economies rooted in climate sensitive sectors like agriculture, fisheries and forestry are expected to be hardest hit. Nigeria has been recognized as country in Sub Saharan Africa which is very vulnerable to climate change (IPCC, 2007; BNRCC, 2008). This is due to the fact that majority of the countries' population engage in agriculture as their primary occupation and agriculture in the country is mainly rain fed. Food production will be adversely affected by the variability in timing and amount of rainfall and heat stress and the consequence is an increase in food shortages and many farmers could lose their sources of livelihood due to climate change. Benhin (2006); Mano and Nhemachena (2006); Seo and Mendelsohn (2006) observed that climate attributes (temperature and precipitation) affect net farm revenue and such impacts can be significantly reduced through adaptation.

Adaptation is widely recognized as a vital component of any policy response to climate change. Studies show that without adaptation, climate change is generally detrimental to the agricultural sector, but with

adaptation vulnerability can largely be reduced (Easterling *et al.* 1993; Smith and Skinner, 2002) and then identify useful adaptation options and implement them (Maddison, 2006). Many adaptation strategies have been suggested in the literature and farmers have being practicing them. Little knowledge exists however, on the economic aspect of these adaptation strategies practiced by farmers particularly in the study area. This study therefore, was designed to fill in this gab in knowledge. The study is intended to answer the following research questions:

- i. Are farmers aware of climate change in the study area?
- ii. What are the adaptation strategies to climate change practiced by respondents in the study area?
- iii. To what intensity do farmers use adaptation strategies to climate change in the study area?
- iv. What are the costs and returns of crop enterprises based on adaptation strategies?

## II. Methodology Of The Study

The study was carried out in zone one of Adamawa State Agricultural Development Programme (ADADP). The area is located in the North-eastern part of the State between latitudes 10° 21′ and 13° 30′ North of the equator and longitude 13° 10′ and 13° 40′ East of the Greenwich meridian (Microsoft Student, 2009). The study area had population of about 681,353 (NPC, 2006), with 2012 projected population estimate of 823,094 based on 3.2% population growth rate. The area comprises five local government areas, namely, Madagali, Michika, Mubi North, Mubi South and Maiha. Major crops grown in the area include Maize, Sorghum, Groundnut, Cowpea and Rice (Sajo and Kadams, 1999). The Vegetation of the area is characterized of Sudan Savannah towards extreme North and Northern Guinea Savannah for the remaining part of the area (Adebayo, 1999). The area has two seasons; dry and wet seasons, the month of May to November constitute the wet season, while the dry season commences in November and ends in April. The annual rainfall range is 800mm in the extreme north to 1000mm in the remaining part of the area. Maximum temperatures can reach up to 40°C in April and minimum temperature can be as low as 18°C between December and January (Adebayo, 1999). Due to low rainfall and frequent dry spells in the study area, farmers suffer reduced crop yield, shortage of water and biomass for animals (Adebayo *et al.* 2012).

Multi-stage random sampling technique was used in selecting respondents for the study. In the first stage, three local government areas were randomly selected. The second stage involved random selection of three communities from each of the three local government areas selected giving a total of nine (9) communities used for the study. Lastly, fifteen percent (15%) of the registered farmers from the sampled communities were selected from a sampling frame that was obtained from ADADP, giving a total of 250 selected respondents for the study. Data were collected with the use of questionnaire. Descriptive statistics (frequency distribution, mean and percentages) and budgetary technique (gross margin) were used to analyse the data obtained. The empirical model of gross margin was expressed thus:

GM<sub>i</sub> = 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_i Q_i - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} C_j X_j$$
 -----(2)

Where:

GM<sub>i</sub>= Gross Margin of enterprise i (N/ha)

 $P_i$ = Unit price of product i (N)

 $Q_i$  = Quantity of product i produced (Kg)

 $C_i$  = Unit cost of variable input j (N)

 $X_i = Quantity of variable input i used (Kg)$ 

 $\sum$  = Summation

GM was used under the assumption that the fixed cost of production was negligible.

#### **III.** Results And Discussion

## **Awareness of Climate Change**

Result on awareness of climate change by respondents indicates that greater part (83.83%) of the respondents is aware of the menace, while 16.17% are not (Table 1). This implies that majority of the respondents noticed in one way or the other changes in the climatic variables which affect their agricultural activities. This finding concurred with the earlier studies by Idrisa *et al.* (2012); Adebayo *et al.* (2012) who observed that about 82% and 96% of farmers in the Sahel Savanna of Borno State and Adamawa State, Nigeria are aware of climate change respectively. Farmer's awareness of changes in climatic factors (temperature, precipitation, humidity) over time is important for adaptation decision making. It helps farmers reduce risk and uncertainty associated with the climate.

Table 1 Awareness of Climate Change by Respondents (n=235)

	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Aware	197	83.83
Not Aware	38	16.17

Source: Field Survey, 2012

## **Adaptation Strategies Practiced by Respondents**

The result on adaptation strategies used by respondents reveals that 81.22% of the respondents practiced multiple cropping, 38.07% practiced early planting while 47.70% of the respondents used cover crop/mulching as an adaptation strategy. The study also reveals that 36.55% of the respondents used crop varieties tolerant to existing climate regime, 7.11% practiced irrigation supplementation, 12.69% practiced the use of intensive application of chemical/fertilizer and 11.68% practiced planting deeper than usual as adaptation strategy (Table 2).

*Table 2 Distribution of Respondents based on Adaptation Strategies Practiced (n= 197)* 

Adaptation Strategy Practiced	Frequency*	Percentage (%)	
Multiple cropping	160	81.22	
Early Planting	75	38.07	
Use of Cover crop/Mulching	92	46.70	
Use of crop variety tolerant to			
climate regime	72	36.55	
Irrigation supplementation	14	07.11	
Intensive use of Chemical/fertilizer	25	12.69	
Planting Deeper than usual	23	11.68	

Source: Field Survey, 2012

In Nigeria, the practice of multiple cropping could have been intensified as a result of climate change. This is because different crops have different level of resilience to weather situations; hence planting many crops in the field could ensure that farmers get some output in the face of extreme climate events. Multiple cropping is also a measure of diversification by the farmers. The main trust of early planting as an adaptation strategy was to ensure that critical growth stage of crop did not coincide with very harsh conditions in the season. The frequent occurrence of dry spell is devastating to crop production; hence farmers plant early enough in order to avoid such coinciding with the most sensitive growth stage of crop. Also the excessive application of chemical and fertilizer were used to manage crops in order to avoid dry spell coinciding with sensitive growth stage of crops.

The use of cover cropping/mulching was used by respondents as a soil conservation measures. These techniques were aimed at preventing excessive moisture loss, increased soil aeration and enhance soil moisture holding capacity. It is a common knowledge that increased temperature and reduced rainfall are among the most common manifestations of climate change. The use of cover cops/mulching therefore, help farmers to conserve the little moisture they get in the face of climate change. The major trust of using crop variety tolerant to new climate regime is to use crop variety that thrives well in the face of climate change. Given the high frequency of mid-season dry spells and shortening of the rainy season in the study area, farmers used short season and drought resistant crop varieties. Instead of using local crop variety, respondents opted for hybrid that takes short period to mature and yield more than traditional varieties.

### **Intensity of Use of Adaptation Strategies**

Intensity of use of adaptation strategies was measured by the number of adaptation strategy (ies) practiced by respondents. Result reveals that majority (49.24%) of respondents practiced three adaptation measures on their farms, 25.89% practiced two strategies and 21.83% practiced only one adaptation strategy. A dismal (3.05%) of the respondents practiced four adaptation strategies on their farms at a go (Table 3).

Table 3 Distribution of Respondents based on Intensity of use of Adaptation Strategies (n= 197)

Intensity of Use	Frequency	Percentage (%)
1	43	21.83
2	51	25.89
3	97	49.24
4	06	03.05

Source: Field Survey, 2012

It can be deduced from the above result that overwhelming majority (77.35%) of the respondents practiced more than one adaptation strategies on the same farm with the aim of reducing the effect of extreme climatic conditions. This result corroborated the previous findings of Eileen (2009); Oyerinde and Osanyande

<sup>\*</sup>Frequency based on multiple responses

(2010) who observed that farmers practiced adaptation strategies in complementary way rather than taking them as an independent strategy.

Assessment of respondents based on practice of one adaptation strategy reveals that 41.86% of respondents used multiple cropping, 34.88% practiced crop variety that are tolerant to new climate regime, 18.60% practiced the use of cover crop/mulching and only 4.65% practiced early planting as sole adaptation strategy. The higher percentage of respondents that practiced multiple cropping solely could be attributed to the fact that it was regarded as a tradition. It was however, intensified as a result of climate change.

Table 4 Distribution of Respondents based on practice of one Adaptation Strategy (n=43)

Adaptation Strategy	Frequency	Percentage (%)	
Multiple cropping	18	41.86	
Early Planting	2	4.65	
Use of cover crop/mulching	8	18.60	
Use of crop variety tolerant			
to new climate regime	15	34.88	

Source: Field Survey, 2012

## Cost and Return of Crop Enterprises based on Intensity of Use of Adaptation Strategies

Table 5 reveals that respondents who practiced only one adaptation strategy had average gross margin of N37,283.00/ha while those who practiced two adaptation strategies had gross margin of N43,800.00/ha. Respondents that practice three adaptation strategies had average gross margin of N50, 950.00/ha and those practiced four adaptation strategies had gross margin of N52,650.00/ha. From the foregoing, it can be deduced that the higher the intensity of adaptation practices by respondents, the higher the gross margin of crop enterprise. This could be attributed to the fact that practicing more than one adaptation strategy could enable farmers cope many climatic variables. This led to the higher output, hence higher gross margin per hectare. Almost all the respondents of the study practiced multiple cropping perhaps, the reason of the high gross margin for respondents that practiced two or more adaptation strategies on their farms.

Table 5 Costs and Returns of Climate Change Adaptation Strategies based on Intensity of practice
Intensity/Cost per ha

	1( <del>N</del> /ha)	2( <del>N</del> /ha)	3(N/ha)	4( <del>N</del> /ha)	
Gross Revenue (GR)	69890	7	8200	81200	85200
Variable Costs					
Seed	1935(5.	93) 275	50(7.99)	2500(8.26)	3100 (9.52)
Fertilizer	6969(21	.37) 825	50(23.98)	7250(23.97)	7950 (24.42)
Chemical	3530(10.	83) 650	00(18.89)	5500(18.18)	4800(14.75)
Labour	20173(6	2.48) 169	00(49.13)	15000(49.59)	16700(51.30)
TVC	32607	34	400	30250	32550
GM (GR- TVC)	37283	43	800	50950	52650

Source: Field Survey, 2012

Figures in parenthesis are the percentage contribution of the variable inputs to TVC

Result on the gross margin of crop enterprise (s) based on the use of one adaptation strategies reveals that multiple cropping had the highest gross margin (N43,450/ha) among the four adaptation strategies that were practiced solely. This was followed by the use of crop variety tolerant to new climate regime (N38,800/ha) and use of cover crop/mulching (N36,100/ha). The gross margin that accrued from the use of early planting was N30,750/ha (Table 4.9). Impliedly, multiple cropping and use of crop variety tolerant to new climate regime had the highest gross margin per hectare respectively as revealed by the study. The result of this study was in line with that of Enete *et al.* (2011) who observed that multiple/intercropping had the highest Profitability Index (PI) i.e return per unit investment among the adaptation strategies considered. John (2009) also reported that mixed cropping had the highest gross margin among the drought coping strategies practiced by crop farmers in Borno State, Nigeria.

Looking at the cost components of the adaptation strategies revealed that labour account for 68.03% of the total cost of crop enterprise in multiple cropping, followed by use of cover crop/mulching (63.27%) and use of crop variety tolerant to new climate regime (58.63%). It is obvious that much labour is required in mixed cropping especially in planting, harvesting and processing. The cost of seed was higher (24.43%) of the total cost of crop enterprise in the use of crop varieties tolerant to new climate regime, because respondents used hybrid seeds that are costly.

Table 6 Costs and Returns of crop enterprises based on practice of one Adaptation Strategy

Adaptation Strategy/Cost per hectare

N	IC( <del>N</del> /ha)	EP(N/ha) UCCM(N/ha)		UNCV(N/ha)	
Gross Revenue (GR	80200	59850	6850	00	69500
Variable cost					
Seed	1750 (4.76)	2000(6.8	7) 1600	(4.94)	2500 (8.14)
Fertilizer	6500(17.69)	6000 (20.	62) 7000 (	(21.60)	7500 (24.43)
Chemical	3500 (9.53)	4600 (15.	81) 3300 (	10.19)	2700 (8.79)
Labour	25000 (68.03)	16500 (50	5.70) 20500	(63.27)	18000 (58.63)
TVC	36750	29100	324	100	30700
GM	43450	30750	361	00	38800

Source: Field Survey, 2012

Figures in parenthesis are the percentage contribution of the variable inputs to TVC

MMC= Multiple Cropping EP= Early Planting UCCM = Use of Cover Crop/Mulching UNCV= Use of Crop Variety Tolerant to new Climate Regime TVC = Total Variable Cost GR= Gross Revenue GM= Gross Margin

#### IV. Conclusion and Recommendations

The study analysed the economics of adaptation to climate change in Adamawa State, Nigeria. The study concludes that majority of the respondents is aware of climate change. Major adaptation strategies practiced by respondent in the study area were multiple cropping, cover crop/mulching, early planting and the use of new crop variety tolerant to new climate regime. The study revealed that greater part (77.35%) of respondents practiced multiple adaptation strategies of 2, 3 and 4. The higher the intensity of adaptation strategies practiced by respondents, the more the profit of crop enterprises. Multiple cropping had the highest returns/ha among the adaptation strategies practiced solely. This was followed by the use of crop variety tolerant to climate regime and the use of cover crop/mulching.

Based on the findings of the study, the following recommendations are proffered:

- i. Farmers should be encouraged to practice multiple adaptation strategies because the resulting crop enterprises yield high profit per hectare, and
- ii. Farmers should also be encouraged to go into irrigation agriculture with support from governments and non-governmental organisations (NGOs).

#### References

- [1]. Adebayo, A. A. (1998). The Incidence of Dry Spells during the Growing Season in Yola. In: J. E. Ukpong (ed). Geography and the Nigerian Environment. Nigerian Geographical Association. Pp. 258-264
- [2]. Adebayo, A. A. (1999). Climate II. In: Adebayo, A. A. and Tukur, A. L. (eds.), Adamawa State in Maps. Paraclate Publishers, Yola. Pp. 23-26
- [3]. Adebayo, A. A. (2010). Climate: Resource and Resistance to Agriculture. Eight Inaugural Lecture of Federal University of Technology, Yola. May, 9<sup>th</sup>
- [4]. Adebayo, A. A., Onu, J. I., Adebayo, E. F. and Anyanwu, S. O. (2012). Farmers Awareness, Vulnerability and Adaptation to Climate Change in Adamawa State, Nigeria. *British J. of Arts and Social Sc.*. 9(2):104-115
- [5]. Anuforom, A. C. (2010). Demonstration and Assessment of Climate Change in Nigeria and Development of Adaptation Strategies in the key Socio-economic sectors: Meteorological Approach. A Paper presented at the National Stakeholders Workshop on Developing National Adaptation Strategies and Plan of Action for Nigeria, held on 22nd, March. NIMET
- [6]. Audu, E. B. (2013). Gas Flaring: A Catalyst to Global Warming in Nigeria. Intl. J. of Sc. and Techn. 3(1):6-10
- [7]. Benhin, J. K. A. (2006). Climate Change and South African Agriculture: Impacts and Adaptations. CEEPA Discussion Paper No. 21 CEEPA, University of Pretoria, South Africa.
- [8]. BNRCC (2008). Building Nigeria's Response to Climate change. Backgrounder. www.nigeriaclimatechange.org
- [9]. Easterling, W. E., Crosson, P. R., Rosenberg, M. S., Katz, L. A. & Lemon, K. M. (1993). Agricultural Impacts of and Responses to Climate Change in the Missouro-lowa Nebraska Region. *Climate Change* 24 (1-2):23-62
- [10]. Eileen, C. B. (2009). Impact of Climate Change and Local Adaptation Strategies of Various Socio-economic groups in Isabela, Northern Philippines. Cilmate Issues Nov, 22 Session 2 RN15.
- [11]. Idrisa, Y. L., Ogunbameru, B. O., Ibrahim, A. A. & Bawa, D. B. (2012). Analysis of Awareness and Adaptation to Climate Change among Farmers in the Sehel Savannah Agro-ecological zone of Borno State, Nigeria. British J. of Env. and Climate Change. 2(2):216-226
- [12]. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) (2007). Climate Change, 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Exit Epa Disclaimer Contribution of working group II for the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- [13]. Maddison, D. (2006). The Perception of and Adaptation to Climate Change in Africa. CEEPA Discussion Paper No. 10. Centre for Environmental Economics and Policy in Africa, University of Pretoria.
- [14]. Mano, R. & Nhemachena, C. (2006). Assessment of the Economic Impact of Climate Change on Agriculture in Zimbabwe: A Ricardian Approach. CEEPA Discussion Paper No. 11. Centre for Environmental Economics and Policy in Africa, University of Pretoria, South Africa.
- [15]. Mendelsohn, R., Dinar, A. & Arne, D. (2000). Climate Impact on African Agriculture. Climate change, (45), 583-600.
- [16]. [23] Microsoft Student (2009). Microsoft Student Encarta Premium World Atlas Retrieved 30<sup>th</sup> Dec. 2010
- [17]. NPC (2006). Nigerian Population Commission, Abuja. Population of Nigeria by State and Sex, 1991 and 2006
- [18]. Odjugo, P. A. O. (2010). Regional Evidence of Climate Change in Nigeria. Journal of Geog. and Regional Planning 3(6):142-150
- [19]. Oyerinde, O. V. & Osanyande, O. V. (2010). Farmers Adaptation Strategies and Perception to Climate Change; A Case Study of Communities Around Idare Forest Resources, Ondo state, Nigeria. In: Climate Change and Forest Resources Management: the way

- forward. Proceeding of the 2nd Biennial National Conference of the Forest and Forest Product Society, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria. Pp. 233-237
- [20]. Ozor, N. & Cynthia, N. (2010). Difficulties in Adapting to Climate Change by Farmers in Enugu State, Nigeria. *J. of Agric. Extension.14*(2): 106-122
- [21]. Paavola J. (2006). "Livelihoods, Vulnerability and Adaptation to Climate Change in the Morogoro Region of Tanzania", Centre for Social and Economic Research on the Global Environment (CSERGE), University of East Anglia, UK Working Paper Pp. 8-12
- [22]. Sajo, A. A. & Kadams, A. M. (1999). Food and Cash Crops. In; Adebayo, A. A. and A. L. Tukur (eds.). Adamawa State in Maps. Paraclete publishers, Yola. Pp. 37-40
- [23]. Sawa, B. A. & Adebayo, A. A. (2010). Spatial and Temporal Variation in the Onset, Cessation of Length of Rainy season in the Sudano-Sahelian Region of Northern Nigeria. Paper presented at the NURS/NAHS Conference, 2010 held at University of Lagos.
- [24]. Seo, N. & Mendelshn, R. (2006). Climate Change Impacts on Animal Husbandry in Africa: A Ricardian Analysis. CEEPA Discussion Paper No. 9. Centre for Environmental Economics and Policy in Africa. University of Pretoria, South Africa.
- [25]. Smith, B. & Skinner, M. (2002). Adaptation Options in Agriculture to Climate Change: A Topology. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change. 7: 85-114
- [26]. WEP (2011). Assessment of Gender Knowledge and Awareness, Vulnerability and Adaptation Strategies to the Impacts of Climate Change in Northern Nigeria. A Research conducted by Women Environmental Programme (WEP) with the support from CIDA and BNRCC