# Effects Of Poultry Manure On Growth And Yield Of Improved Maize In Asaba Area of Delta State, Nigeria

Enujeke, E.C

Department of Agronomy, Delta State University, Asaba Campus, Asaba, Nigeria

**Abstract:** Field experiments were conducted in 2008 and 2009 to evaluate the effects of poultry Manure on growth and yield of improved maize (Suwan -1-SR) in Asaba area of Delta State. The experiments were carried out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replicates. Four rates of poultry manure were applied -O tha<sup>-1</sup>, 10 tha<sup>-1</sup>, 20 tha<sup>-1</sup> and 30 tha<sup>-1</sup>. Data collected from the 4<sup>th</sup> to the 8<sup>th</sup> week after sowing were plant height, number of leaves, and leaf area. At the end of the 16<sup>th</sup> week, grain weight and number of grains/cob of maize were evaluated. The results obtained indicated that plants that received 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry manure were superior at 8 weeks after sowing in 2008 and 2009, with mean height of 209.3 cm, mean number of leaves of 13.1, mean leaf area of 682.6 cm<sup>2</sup>, mean grain weight at 16 weeks after sowing of 2.14 tha<sup>-1</sup> and mean number of grains/cob of 518.4 in 2008 and 2009. Based on the findings of the study, 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry manure was recommended to farmers as most appropriate rate of application to enhance growth and yield of maize in Asaba area of Delta State.

Keywords: Rates of Poultry Manure, Maize Growth and Yield Asaba, Nigeria

I.

## Introduction

One of the members of the cereal family that has added great value to man and animals is maize (*Zea mays L*). It ranks third following wheat and rice in world production (FAO, 2002). Widely grown in the humid tropics and sub-Saharan Africa, the crop serves for food and livelihood for millions of people today. (Enujeke, 2013). It is consumed roasted, baked, fried, boiled or fermented in Nigeria (Agbato, 2003). In developed countries, maize is source of such industrial products as corn oil, syrup, corn flour, sugar, brewers' grit and alcohol (Dutt, 2005). As an energy supplement in livestock feed, maize is cherished by various species of animals, including poultry, cattle, pigs, goats, sheep and rabbits (DIPA, 2006).

The numerous uses of maize notwithstanding, yield in Africa has continuously declined to low as 1 tha-<sup>1</sup> due to such factors as rapid reduction in soil fertility and negligence of soil amendment materials. (Olakojo, 1993, Kim, 1997, DIPA, 2006, Enujeke, 2013). Sonetra (2002) suggested that subsistence farmers should apply organic manure directly to the soil as a natural means of recycling nutrients in order to improve soil fertility and yield of crops. Manures and fertilizers are the life wire of improved technology contributing about 50 to 60% increase in productivity of food grains in many parts of the world, irrespective of soil and agro-ecological zone (DIPA, 2006). Reijnties et al, (1992) and Adepetu (1997) remarked that the downward trend in food production should prompt farmers to amend the soil with different materials in order to enhance growth and yield of crops. Several organic materials such as cattle dung, poultry dropping, pig dung and refuse compost have been recommended to subsistence farmers in West Africa as soil amendments for increasing crop yield. Sobulo and Babalola (1992) reported that poultry dropping and cattle dung increased root growth of maize and the crop extracted soil water more efficiently for increased grain yield. Stefan (2003) indicated that fresh poultry dropping contain 70% water, 1.4% N, 1.1% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and 0.5% K<sub>2</sub>O while dried poultry manure contains 13% water, 3.6% N, 3.5% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and 1.6% K<sub>2</sub>O. Among the different sources of organic manure which have been used in crop production, poultry manure was found to be the most concentrated in terms of nutrient content (Lombin et al, 1992). Kostchi et al, (1989) observed that application of poultry manure improved the availability of some minerals in the soil, and especially the transfer of nutrients from rangeland to the crop plant. Izunobi (2002) reported that poultry manure, especially those produced in deep litter or battery cage house are the richest known farmyard manure supplying greater amounts of absorbable plant nutrient. Fabiye and Ogunfowora (1992) noted that poultry dropping play significant roles in enhancing yield of crops in the southern part of Nigeria. Amujoyegbe et al, (2007) reported that poultry manure increased the leaf area total chlorophyll content and grain yield of maize and sorghum. According to Brady and Weil (1999), poultry manure mineralizes faster than other animal manure such as cattle or pig dung; hence it releases its nutrients for plant uptake and utilization rapidly. Sharply and Smith (1991) reported that poultry manure contains basic nutrients required for enhancing growth and yield of crops. Application of poultry manure increases carbon content, water holding capacity, aggregation of soil, and decreases bulk density (Egerszegi, 1990). It also increases the water soluble and exchangeable potassium and magnesium which enhance crop yield (Jackson et al, 1999). Ibeawuchi et al, (2007) reported that 8 t/ha of poultry manure resulted in significantly higher grain yield, dry matter and

increased leaf area of maize. Fagimi and Odebode (2007) reported that poultry droppings applied at the rate of 10 t/ha and 20 t/ha, increased plant height, number of leaves and fruit yield of Pepper, while the incidence and severity of Pepper Veinal Mottle Virus (PVMV) was reduced. The nutrient composition of poultry droppings as reported by DIPA (2006) is 1:0-1.8% N, 0.4-0.8% P and 0.5-1.9% K.

At present, there are no recommended standards with respect to rate of poultry manure or other soil amendment material for enhancement of maize yield in the study area. The objective of this study therefore, was to identify the most appropriate rate of application of poultry manure for increased growth and yield of maize in Asaba area of Delta State, Nigeria.

## II. Materials and Methods

## Description of experimental site -

Field experiments were carried out at the Research and Teaching Farms of Anwai Campus of the Delta State University. The experimental site is located within latitude 06°14'N and longitude 06°49'E of the equator. The experiment was conducted during the 2008/2009 cropping seasons in a typical humid environment that is characterized by a bimodal rainfall pattern with peaks in July and September and an interrupted dry spell in August otherwise called (Harmattan). The annual mean rainfall is about 1,650 mm, the mean annual temperature is 37.3°C and a mean relative humidity of 73.2% (NIMET, 2011). By nature of its geomorphological settings, the study area falls within the classification of Ancient metamorphic crystalline basement complex formation which are more acid than base (Egbuchua, 2007). They are essentially gneisses and pegmatites that gave rise to coarse-textured soils that are deficient in dark ferromagnessium minerals (Egbuchua, 2007).

The topography is undulating with pockets of hills and land use is typically based on rain - fed agriculture with root, tuber, spices, pulses and vegetables prominently cultivated. The vegetation is of rainforest origin but has been drastically reduced to derived savanna due to continuous use of the land.

## **Field studies**

A land area measuring 33 x 9.8 m<sup>2</sup> was selected for the study, prepared manually by clearing and marked out using basin formation according to the experimental layout. Plot sizes of 2.6 x 2.25 m<sup>2</sup> were made and composite samples collected from the plots at 0-15cm depth in order to assess the initial physico-chemical properties of the soils.

## Laboratory studies

The composite soil samples collected from the individual plots were air-dried in a room temperature of 27°C for three days, crushed and sieved using 2mm aperture. The parameters evaluated include the particle size distribution by hydrometer method (Gee and Bauder, 1986). The pH was determined using Pye Unican model MK2 pH meter in a 1:2:5 soil/water suspension ratio. Organic carbon was determined by Walkley-Black wet oxidation method (Nelson and Sommers, 1982). Total nitrogen was determined by micro-Kjeldahl distillation technique as described by Breminer and Mulvaney (1982). Available phosphorus was determined by Bray No. 1 method (IITA, 1979). Exchangeable potassium was determined by flame photometer, while cation exchange capacity (CEC) was determined by Amnonium acetate saturation method (Roades, 1982).

The chemical analysis of the poultry manure used for the experiment was also evaluated using appropriate methods as described in the IITA manuals (1979).

## **Experimental Design**

The experiment was carried out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replicates. Rates of poultry manure in tons per hectare were 0, 10, 20, and 30.

## Seed collection and planting

Improved maize seeds (Suwan-1-SR) was purchased from Delta Agricultural Procurement Agency (DAPA) Ibusa and sown on the plots at the rate of 1 seed per hole at a depth of 2-3 cm, using 75 cm x 15 cm giving a population density of 88, 888 plants/ha.

Weeding: Weeding was done three times using hoe.

## **Data Collection**

Fourteen middle stands were used as sample population for data collection. Data collected were plant height, number of leaves, leaf area, grain weight and number of grains/cob. Plant height was measured with tape from the base of the first tassel. Leaf area was measured also with tape using non-destructive analysis method-length x breath by correction factor of 0.75 according to Duke and Dulelar as reported by Enujeke (2013); number of leaves and grains/cob were obtained by direct counting; grain weight was measured using weighing scale.

## Statistical Analysis

Data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and means were separated with Duncan Multiple Range Text (DMRT) according to Wahua, (1999)

## III. Results

## **Initial Soil Properties**

The data on the initial physico-chemical properties of the soils used for the study is presented in **Table 1.** The particle size fracture showed that the soils were sandyloam in texture and low in fertility as reflected by the low content of organic matter (15.5 gkg<sup>-1</sup>), and total nitrogen (0.87 gkg<sup>-1</sup>). Soil pH was strongly acid with a mean value of 5.3. The available phosphorus (P) and water soluble, potassium (K) with mean values of 5.35 mgkg<sup>-1</sup> and 0.17 cmolkg<sup>-1</sup> were seemingly low based on the ratings of FMANR, (1996) for the ecological zone. The low fertility status of the soils is a true reflection of most ultisols of humid environment that are strongly weathered of low activity clay mineralogy and high acidity due to intense precipitation with its associated erosion and leaching in the environment.

Table 1: Initial	phyisco-chemical	properties of the	e soils used for the study

Parameters	Values obtained	
Measured		
Particle size fractions (%)		
Sand	85.0	
Silt	9.6	
Clay	4.4	
Textural class	Sandyloam	
pH (H <sub>2</sub> O)	5.3	
Organic matter gkg <sup>-1</sup>	15.5	
Total Nitrogen (gkg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.87	
Available P (mgkg <sup>-1</sup> )	5.35	
Exchangeable K (Cmolkg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.17	
CEC (Cmolkg <sup>-1</sup> )	10.13	

## Effects of poultry manure on plant height of maize

The response of plant height of maize to poultry manure in 2008 and 2009 is shown in Table 2. There were gradual increases in plant height of maize from 4-8 weeks after sowing. In 2008, plants that received 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry manure had the highest height at 4 weeks after sowing (44.4 cm), while plants in the control which were grown without poultry manure had the lowest plant height (34.7cm). In 2009, the trend did not change. Plants that received 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry manure also had the highest plant height (44.2 cm), while plants grown without poultry manure had the lowest height at 4 weeks after sowing (34.3 cm). At 6 weeks after sowing in 2008, plants that received 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry manure also had the highest height (98.2 cm) while plants in the control plot had the lowest height (75.5 cm). In 2009, plants that received 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry manure were superior in height (101.0 cm), while plants that received 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry manure were superior in height (101.0 cm), while plants that received 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry manure were superior in height (101.0 cm), while plants that received 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry manure were superior in height (101.0 cm), while plants that received 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry manure were superior in height (101.0 cm), while plants that received 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry manure were superior in height (101.0 cm), while plants that received 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry manure were superior in height (101.0 cm), while plants that received 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry manure manure had height of 76.8 cm. During the 8<sup>th</sup> week of both 2008 and 2009, plants that received 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry manure grew tallest with mean height of 209.3 cm, while plants in the control plot had the lowest mean height of 160.2 cm.

The order of superiority in height of maize plants based on poultry manure received in tons per hectare was 30 > 20 > 10 > 0.

Table 2. Effects of poultry manure on plant height of maize in 2008 and 2009

	Weeks After Sowing								
	4			6	Plant l	height ( c	8 :m )		
	2008	2009	Mean	2008	2009	Mean	2008	2009	Mean
Rates of application (tons or kg/ha)									
0	34.7 <sub>d</sub>	$34.3_d$	34.5 <sub>d</sub>	75.5 <sub>d</sub>	76.8 <sub>d</sub>	76.2 <sub>d</sub>	$161.3_{d}$	$159.1_d$	160.2
10	35.7 <sub>c</sub>	$37.8_{c}$	36.8 <sub>c</sub>	81.8 <sub>c</sub>	83.6 <sub>c</sub>	82.7 <sub>c</sub>	169.5 <sub>c</sub>	$170.0_{c}$	169.8
20	40.7 <sub>b</sub>	41.3 <sub>b</sub>	41.0 <sub>b</sub>	90.0 <sub>b</sub>	91.7 <sub>b</sub>	90.9 <sub>b</sub>	187.2 <sub>b</sub>	185.7 <sub>b</sub>	186.5
30	44.4	44.2	44.3	98.2 <sub>a</sub>	101.0.	99.6	204.5	214.1	209.3

Means with the same letter(s) under the same column are not significant using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT).

## Effects of poultry manure on number of leaves of maize

The effects of poultry manure on number of leaves of maize in 2008 and 2009 is shown in Table 3. Number of leaves of maize consistently increased from 4-8 weeks after sowing. There were significant differences in number of leaves with respect to rates of poultry manure applied. During the 4<sup>th</sup> week, plants that received 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry manure were outstanding with mean number of leaves of 8.1 in 2008 and 2009. Plants in the control plots had the lowest mean number of leaves in both years (6.8). At 6 weeks after sowing, mean number of leaves of plants that received 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry manure was also highest (10.8), while mean number of leaves of plants in the control plots was lowest (8.8). During the 8<sup>th</sup> week of 2008 and 2009, mean number of leaves of plants that received 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry manure was highest (13.1), while plants that did not receive poultry manure had the lowest mean number of leaves (11.1). The order of superiority in number of leaves with respect to ton per hectare of poultry manure received was 30 > 20 > 10 > 0.

		Weeks After Sowing					-		
	4			6			8		
				Numl	per of leave	es/plant			
	2008	2009	Mean	2008	2009	Mean	2008	2009	Mean
Rates of application (tons or kg/ha)									
0	6.5 <sub>d</sub>	7.1 <sub>d</sub>	6.8 <sub>d</sub>	8.9 <sub>d</sub>	8.7 <sub>d</sub>	8.8 <sub>d</sub>	11.2 <sub>d</sub>	10.9 <sub>d</sub>	11.1 <sub>d</sub>
10	7.0 <sub>c</sub>	7.4 <sub>c</sub>	7.2 <sub>c</sub>	9.4 <sub>c</sub>	9.3 <sub>c</sub>	9.4 <sub>c</sub>	11.5 <sub>c</sub>	11.3 <sub>c</sub>	11.4 <sub>c</sub>
20	7.5 <sub>b</sub>	8.2 <sub>b</sub>	7.8 <sub>b</sub>	$10.5_{b}$	10.6 <sub>b</sub>	$10.5_{b}$	$12.3_{b}$	12.6 <sub>b</sub>	12.5 <sub>b</sub>
30	7.9 <sub>a</sub>	8.3 <sub>a</sub>	8.1.	10.9	10.7 <sub>a</sub>	10.8	13.1	13.2	13.1,

Table 3. Effects of poultry manure on number of leaves/plant of maize in 2008 and 2009

Means with the same letter(s) under the same column are not significant using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT).

## Effects of poultry manure on leaf area of maize

The response of leaf area of maize to rates of poultry manure in 2008 and 2009 is shown in Table 4. There were significant differences in leaf area of maize in both years of evaluation. During the 4<sup>th</sup> week, the mean of leaf area of plants that received 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> was highest (215.7 cm<sup>2</sup>), while plants that received O tha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry manure had mean leaf area of 161.5 cm<sup>2</sup>. At 6 weeks after sowing, mean leaf area of plants that received 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry manure was highest (510.3cm<sup>2</sup>). Plants in the control plot had lowest mean leaf area of 404.2 cm<sup>2</sup> in both years of evaluation.

During the 8<sup>th</sup> week, plants that received 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry manure were superior with mean leaf area (  $682.6 \text{ cm}^2$ ), while plants that did not receive manure had lowest mean leaf area ( $566.0 \text{ cm}^2$ ). The order superiority in leaf area based on rates of poultry manure received in tons per hectare was 30 > 20 > 10 > 0.

Table 4. Effects of poultry manure on leaf area of maize in 2008 and 2009

	Weeks after sowing								
	4			6 Leaf	area ( cm <sup>2</sup>	²)	8		
	2008	2009	Mean	2008	2009	Mean	2008	2009	Mean
Rates of application (tons or kg/ha)									
0	153.7 <sub>d</sub>	169.2 <sub>d</sub>	161.5 <sub>d</sub>	375.3 <sub>d</sub>	433.1 <sub>d</sub>	404.2 <sub>d</sub>	573.1 <sub>d</sub>	558.8 <sub>d</sub>	566.0 <sub>d</sub>
10	161.9 <sub>c</sub>	185.9 <sub>c</sub>	173.9 <sub>c</sub>	432.3c	457.4 <sub>c</sub>	444.4 <sub>c</sub>	587.3 <sub>c</sub>	599.2 <sub>c</sub>	593.3 <sub>c</sub>
20	$192.1_{b}$	$203.8_{b}$	$198.0_{b}$	$474.4_{b}$	478.7 <sub>b</sub>	476.6 <sub>b</sub>	625,8 <sub>b</sub>	636.4 <sub>b</sub>	631.1 <sub>b</sub>
30	213.1 <sub>a</sub>	218.3 <sub>a</sub>	215.7 <sub>a</sub>	521.3 <sub>a</sub>	499.3 <sub>a</sub>	510.3 <sub>a</sub>	683.4 <sub>a</sub>	683.4 <sub>a</sub>	682.6 <sub>a</sub>

Means with the same letter(s) under the same column are not significant using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT).

## Effects of poultry manure on grain weight of maize

The response of grain weight of maize to poultry manure is shown in Table 5. There were significant differences in grain weight of maize as affected by rates of applied manure. In 2008, plants that received 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry manure had highest grain weight (2.10 tha<sup>-1</sup>), while plants that did not receive manure had lowest grain weight (0.8 tha<sup>-1</sup>). In 2009, the trend did not change. Plants that received 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> of the manure had highest grain weight (2.19 tha<sup>-1</sup>) while plants in the control plots had lowest grain weight (1.27 tha<sup>-1</sup>). The order

of superiority in grain weight based on rates of poultry manure received in tons per hectare was 30 > 20 > 10 > 0.

	Grain weight (t	Grain weight (tha <sup>-1</sup> )				
	2008	2009	Mean			
Rates of application						
(tons or kg/ha)						
0 C C	$0.80_{d}$	1.27 <sub>d</sub>	1.03 <sub>d</sub>			
10	1.10 <sub>c</sub>	1.52 <sub>c</sub>	1.31 <sub>c</sub>			
20	1.80 <sub>b</sub>	1.98 <sub>b</sub>	1.89 <sub>b</sub>			
30	2.10	2.19	2.14			

Table 5. Effects of r	ooultry manure on	grain weight of	maize in 2008 and 2009
I WOIG OF BILLOUS OF	young manure on	Si ann meigne or	

Means with the same letter(s) under the same column are not

significantly different ( $P \le 0.05$ ) using Duncan Multiple Range test (DMRT).

## Effects of poultry manure on number of grains/cob of maize

The effects of poultry manure on number of grains/cob of maize in 2008 and 2009 is shown in Table 6. There were significant differences in number of grains/cob of maize as affected by different rates of poultry manure. In 2008, plants that received 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> of manure had highest number of grains/cob (494.8), while plants in the control plot had lowest number of grains/cob (332.6). In 2009, plant that received 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> of manure also had highest number of grains/cob (542.0), while plants that did not receive poultry manure had lowest number of grains/cob based on rates of poultry manure received in tons per hectare was 30 > 20 > 10 > 0.

## Table 6. Effects of poultry manure on number of grains/plant of maize in 2008 and 2009

	No. of	grains/cob		
	2008	2009	Mean	
Rates of application				
(tons or kg/ha)				
0	332.6 <sub>d</sub>	394.0 <sub>d</sub>	363.3 <sub>d</sub>	
10	382.6 <sub>c</sub>	423.0 <sub>c</sub>	407.3 <sub>c</sub>	
20	434.6 <sub>b</sub>	482.0 <sub>b</sub>	458.3 <sub>b</sub>	
30	494.8 <sub>a</sub>	542.0 <sub>a</sub>	518.4	

Means with the same letter(s) under the same column are not significantly different  $(P \le 0.05)$  using Duncan Multiple Range test (DMRT).

## IV. Discussion

## Effects of poultry manure on plant height of maize

Plants that received 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry maize grew taller than other plants possibly because more concentrated nutrients or minerals were made readily available and easily absorbable by the receiving plants leading to faster growth and development. This is harmony with the findings and reports Kostchi *et al*, (1989), Lombin *et al.*, (1992), Fabiye and Ogunfowora, (1992) and Izunobi, (2002). It is also similar to the findings of Fagimi and Odebode (2007) who reported increased plant height and number of leaves of pepper resulting from application of higher rate of poultry manure.

## Effects of poultry manure on number of leaves of maize

Application of 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry manure was superior to other rates applied with respect to producing higher number of leaves of maize. This could be attributed to the fact that 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> was compatible with the requirements and growing characteristics of the crop, drainage and slope of the land. This is in consonance with the findings of DIPA, (2006) and Mubondeni *et al*, (1999) which recommended that manure be applied at rates that are compatible with the nutrient requirements and growing characteristics of the crops for growth and yield enhancement.

## Effects of poultry manure on leaf area of maize

Poultry manure application rate of 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> increased leaf area higher than other application rates investigated possibly because that rate was most suitable or appropriate for increasing carbon content, water holding capacity, aggregation of soil and decrease of bulk density, all of which interplay to increase leaf area and total chlorophyll content of maize. This is consistent with the findings of Egerszegi, (1990), Sharply and Smith, (1991) and Amujoyegbe *et al*, (2007) who reported that increased application rate of poultry manure

enhanced lead area, total chlorophyll content, carbon content, water holding capacity, and decrease bulk density of soil which culminate and interplay to promote yield.

## Effects of poultry manure on grain weight of maize

Plants that received 30tha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry manure were superior to plants that received lower rates possibly because 30tha<sup>-1</sup> was not only compatible with the crop requirement in the agro-ecological area but favoured the release of such plant nutrients as water soluble and exchangeable potassium and magnesium which enhance crop yield. This is consistent with the findings of Jackson *et al*, (1999) and Ibeawuchi *et al*, (2007) which reported that higher rates of manual increases the water-soluble and exchangeable potassium and magnesium which enhances grain yield and dry matter of maize.

## Effects of poultry manure on number of grains/cob of maize

The number of grains/cob of maize obtained from plants that received 30tha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry manure was higher than the number of grains obtained from plants that received lower rates of manure possibly because more nutrients were released which mineralized rapidly for plant uptake and utilization. It could also be attributed to reduction in mosaic diseases or other soil-borne diseases which depress yield, or to increased microbial activities which favour yield increases as reported by Gopinath et al.,(2008), Olanikan(2006) and Enujeke et al.,(2013). These reports are generally in harmony with the work and recommendations of Brady and Weils, (1999), DIPA, (2006), Sharply and Smith, (1991), and Fagimi and Odebode, (2007).

## V. Conclusion and Recommendation

The study was carried out to evaluate the effects of poultry manure on growth and yield of improved maize variety (Suwan-1-SR). It was carried out in a Randomized Complete Block Design with three replicates. Five parameters were assessed to achieve the objectives of the study-plants height, number of leaves, leaf area, grain weight and number of grains/cob of maize. The results indicated positive response of maize to poultry manure. Plants that received 30 tha<sup>-1</sup> of manure were superior in the parameters assessed. Based on the findings of the study, it was recommended that farmers' apply 30tha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry manure to enhance growth and yield of maize in Asaba area of Delta State, Nigeria.

#### References

- [1]. Adepetu, J.A (1997). Soil and Nigeria food security. Inaugural Lecture series 119, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife. Nigeria p.19.
- [2]. Agbato, S.O. (2003). Principles and Practices of crop production. Odumatt press publisher, Oyo, pp. 57-62
- [3]. Amujoyegbe, B.A., Opabode, J.T and Olayinka, A (2007). Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizer on yield and chlorophyll content of maize (*Zea mays L*) and Sorghum *icolour L* Moench). African *Journal of Biotechnical* 6 (16):1869 1873.
- [4]. Brady, C. and Weils, R.R. (1999). Nature and properties of Soil Twelfth Edition, Prentice Hall, New Delhi pp 74 –114.
- [5]. Bremmer, J. M. and C. S. Mulvaney (1982): Total nitrogen In: Page A. L. Miller, R. H. and Keeney, D. R. (ed.) Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. Agron 9, Madison. W.I. p. 149-157.
- [6]. DIPA (2006). Handbook of Agriculture: facts and figures for farmers, students and all interested in farming. Directorate of Information and Publications of Agriculture. *Indian Council of Agricultural Research*, New Delhi, p. 435.
- [7]. Dutt, S. (2005). A Handbook of Agriculture. ABD Publishers, India. Pp 116-118.
- [8]. Egbuchua, C. N. (2007) Pedological characterization and fertility evaluation of some wetlands soils in Delta State. Ph.D Thesis (unpublished). Delta State University, Abraka, Nigeria.
- [9]. Egerszegi, E. (1990). Effect of sewage sludge and compost applied to the soil on some physical and chemical properties. *Journal of Environmental Quality* Vol. 15:122-127.
- [10]. Enujeke E.C. (2013). Nutrient content (% dry matter) of maize as affected by different levels of fertilizers in Asaba area of Delta State. Sustainable Agriculture Research 2(3):76-85 Canadian Center of Science and Education, Canada.
- [11]. Enujeke E.C., Ojeifo I.M, and Nnaji G.U (2013) Residual effects of organic manure and inorganic fertilizer on maize grain weight and some soil properties in Asaba area of Delta State. *International Journal of Advanced Biological Research* 3(3):433-442. Society for Science and Nature, India.
- [12]. Fabiye, L.L. and Ogunfowora O.O (1992). Economics of Production and Utilization of Organic Fertilizer in Nigeria Agriculture. Besent and failure. Ed. Federal Ministry of Science and Technology Lagos. pp138-144.
- [13]. Fagimi, A.A. and Odebode, C.A. (2007). Effect of Poultry Manure on Pepper Veinal Mottle Virus (PVMV), yield and agronomic parameters of Pepper (*Capsicum annum*) in Nigeria. *East Africa Journal of Science* 1(2), 104-111.
- [14]. FAO (2002). Fertilizer and the future. *IFA/FAO Agriculture Conference on Global food security and the role of Sustainability Fertilization*. Rome, Italy. 16<sup>th</sup>-20<sup>th</sup> March, 2003, pp 1-2.
- [15]. FMANR-Federal Ministry of Agricultural and Natural Resources (1996) Soil fertility investigation (In 5 volumes); Fertility ratings. Produced by the Federal Ministry of Agriculture, Lagos, Nigeria.
- [16]. Gee, G. W and J. W. Bauder (1986) Particle size analysis p. 404-407. In A Klute (ed) Methods of soil analysis. Part 1 (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.) Agron. Monogr. 9. ASA and SSSA. Madison W.I. USA.
- [17]. Gopinath, K. A., Supradip, S., Mina, B. L., Pamde, H., Kundu, S. and Gupta, H.S. (2008) Influence of Organic Amendments on Growth, Yield and Quality of Wheat and on Soil Properties during Transition to Organic Production. *Journal of Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystem*. Springer, Netherland, <u>http://www</u>.springerlink.com/content/a241607223w64748.
- [18]. Ibeawuchi, I.I.; Opara, F.A; Tom, C.T and Obiefuna, J.C. (2007). Graded replacement of inorganic with organic manure for sustainable maize production in Owerri Imo State, Nigeria. *Life Science Journal* 4 (2):82-87 (ISSN:1097-8135).
- [19]. IITA International institute for Tropical Agriculture (1979) Laboratory manual for soil and plant analysis. Manual series 7, IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria.

- [20]. Izunobi, N.D. (2002). Poultry Husbandry: an integrated approach for tertiary students, extension agents, policy makers and farmers. NADS Publisher Inc., Ihiala, Nigeria pp. 4-5, 192.
- [21]. Jackson, H (1999). Land disposal of broiler litter changes in soil potassium, calcium and magnesium. *Journal of Environment Quality* 4:202-206.
- [22]. Kim S.K. (1997). Achievement, challenges and future direction of hybrid maize research and product in B. Badu-Apraku, Akoroda M.O., Oedraw M. and Quin F.M(eds). Proceedings of Required Maize Workshop May 99-june 2, 1995. IITA Cotonou, Benin Republic.
- [23]. Kotschi, J.A., Waters-Bayer, A., Adelhelon, R. and Hoeste, U. (1989). Ecofarming. Tropical Agroecology, 2 Magraf verlog, Germany. P. 132.
- [24]. Lombin, L.G; Adeputu, J.A and Ayetade, K.A (1991). Complementary use of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers in arable crop production. *Proceeding of National organic fertilizer seminar held in October 20<sup>th</sup> -22<sup>nd</sup> at University of Ibadan*, Ibadan. Pp 146 -162.
- [25]. Mubondeni, T.H., Manga, I. K., Mugwira, L.M. and Chivinge, O.A. (1999) Maize response to method and rate of manure application. African Crop Science Journal 7(4):407-413.
- [26]. Nelson, D. W and I. E. Sommers (1982) Organic Carbon. In Page A.L. Miller, R. H. and Keeney, D. R. (ed) Methods of Soil analysis. Part 2 Agron, Monogr. 9 ASA and SSSA, Madison, W.I. USA.
- [27]. NIMET-Nigerian Meteorological Agency (2011) Climate information Bulletin (2011-2012) Asaba, Delta State, Nigeria.
- [28]. Olakojo, SA.; Ogundodede, B.A. and Kogbe J.O.S (1998) Evaluation of Maize (Zea mays) Top crosses in a Rainforest Location. Biose res. Comm 11(2);141-146.
- [29]. Olanikan, P.C (2006). Organic manures as Soil Amendment in Eroded Tropical Soil of South Western Nigeria. In Soil and Nutrition. Journal of Tropical Soils 5:11-18.
- [30]. Reijnties, C.; Hoverkork, B., and Water-Bayer, A (1992). An introduction to Low External-Input and Sustainable Agriculture. John Wiley and Sons. London. p. 340.
- [31]. Roades, J. D. (1982) Cation Exchange Capacity. In Page, A. L. Miller, R. H. and Keeney, D.R. (eds) Methods of soil analysis. Part 2 Agron. Monogr. ASA, SSSA, Madison, W.I. USA.
- [32]. Rose, R. and Diane, L.H. (1996) Managing organic matter in forest nurseries. In Landis, T.D., South, D.B. tech. cords. National proc. Forest and Conserval Nursery Associations. Gen. Tech. PNW-GTR. 389, Portland Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest station pp. 250-252.
- [33]. Sharpley, A.N and Smith, S.J (1991). Nitrogent and phosphorus forms in soil receiving manure. Soil Science 159:253-258.
- [34]. Sobulo, R.A and Babalola (1992). Improved organic fertilizer and soil condition. In Toward Efficiency Fertilizer use in Nigeria. Federal Ministry of Agriculture, Water Resources and Rural Development. Lagos pp. 90-110.
- [35]. Sonetra, S., Borin, K and Preston, T.R (2002). Waste water from rubber processing as fertilizer for water spinach and forage cassava. http://www.utafoundation.org/utacanbod/msc99thes/sonintro.htm.
- [36]. Stefan, T (2003). Organic Agriculture: Sustainability market policies. CAB Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development p. 95.
- [37]. Wahua, T.A.T (1999). Applied statistics for scientific studies. Afrika-Link Books, Nigeria, pp. 250 -287.