Study of Cohesive and Harmonic Properties Ofnd₄cl– Nd₄br Mixed Crystal

Alvin Daud

Department of Physics , Lucknow Christian College , Lucknow , INDIA

Abstract:

We have proposed a three–body Interaction potential for the study of cohesive, harmonic and anharmonic elastic properties of ND_4Cl – $ND_4Brmixed$ crystal. Present interaction potential shall consist of the long–range coulombs vdWdipole–dipole and dipole– quadrupole interactions and overlap repulsive potential of Born Mayer. This model potential has succeeded in predicting the Cohesive energy, and theSecond order elastic constants of the mixed deuterated ammonium halides.

Date of Submission: 25-09-2020 Date of Acceptance: 08-10-2020

I. Introduction

The materials with large concentration of substitutional impurity are called mixed crystals. They are an important example of randomly disordered matter, whose investigations have received much less attention by the physicist then did pure crystalline materials as is evident from the vast amount of work devoted in the study of static, dynamic, elastic and dielectric properties[1-20] of ionic crystals. This is so because the interaction mechanism in pure crystals is quite well known and also a wealth of experimental data exist on them. Their interaction system [21] mostly consists of the long–range Coulomb, three – body interaction (TBI), van–der Waal's (vdW) and short range overlap repulsion. Such interionic potential has been successfully used to describe the lattice, static, harmonic and an harmonic properties of perfect diatomic ionic crystals [22-25]. Such a potential has also been used to study the various properties of mixed crystals [26-28]. However the role played by these interactions has not been investigated in describing the properties of the mixed deuterated ammonium halides.

The first study of their static properties was carried out by Reitz et. al. using the Born Mayer potential [29] extended to in-cooperate the van-derwall (vdW) dipole-dipole (d-d) interactions. Later on, this potential was further modified to include the vdW dipole- quadrupole (d-q) interaction effect and used to describe the lattice static properties of several fluoride compounds by M.P. Tosi[30]. In these studies, the use has been made of the vdW coefficients, evaluated from the perturbation method which is not so accurate as the Slater and Kirkwood (SKV) method [31]. Alsothese potentials are essentially two body interactions, which failed to predict the Cauchy violation, exhibited by the second and higher order elastic constants of various crystal.

The Cauchy violation arise from the three body interaction (TBI), which have significant influence on lattice , static , dynamic and dielectric properties of Ionic crystals and semiconductors of rock salt [32] caesiumchloride [33] and Fluorite[34] structure. These third body interaction (TBI) arise from the electron shell deformation caused due to the overlap integrals[32-34]. Such formation also occur in halides compounds and give rise to long [35] and short [range [36] , three body interaction (TBI) in them. The influence of long range three body interaction (TBI) has been investigated on their cohesive [27] and harmonic and a harmonic elastic constant [37], while the same the short–range three– body interaction (TBI) has been done only for elastic properties [27-28]. However the influence of these third body interaction has not been investigated, so far in mixed halide crystals (NH₄ Cl $_x$ – Br $_{1-x}$, ND₄Cl_x– Br $_{1-x}$ etc.), which are important subject as they provide a useful testing ground for theories to represent the interaction mechanism and describes various properties (elastic , dielectric and anharmonic) of their host crystals.

The mixed crystal according to virtual crystal approximation (VCA) are regarded as an array of "average ions "whose masses, force constants and effective charges are assumed to scale linearly with the concentration.

The interaction potential employed for the present investigation consists of the long – range coulomb forces and three body interaction (TBI), the short range vdW attraction and overlap repulsion. The required vdW coefficients for the host and mixed halides crystals have been obtained by us using the SKV approach.[31] and considering the polarizability of the mixed crystals to vary linearly [27-28] with the concentration. The range parameters are different for different types of overlap repulsions. This interaction potential has only three model parameters and has been used to predict the cohesive energy, Second order elastic (SOE) constants of the host

and mixed ND_4Cl-Br_{1-x} crystals. The details of the present inter ionic potential are given in Section 2and discussed in Section 3.

II. Theory

In order to describe interactions between ammonium, deuterated ammonium and halides ion in the mixed crystals, we have assumed that

(a) The symmetry of the mixed system remains the same as that of the host crystals.

(b) The change in the force constants is limited to only short–range interactions ions.

(c) Atoms are held together with harmonic elastic forces and there is no internal strain within the crystals.

(d) The three –body interactions (TBI) have only localised effects.

III. A. Interionic Potential

In the view of these assumptions, the potential energy of the host and mixed crystals with halides structure and interionic separation (r) is written as.

with $\alpha_m (=1.7629$) as the Madelung constant $\mbox{ and } r_{lm}$ is the separation between l and m ions. and

$$\Phi_{\rm v}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\Sigma}{lm} - \frac{c_{\rm lm}}{r_{\rm lm}^6} + \sum_{\rm v} - \frac{d_{\rm lm}}{r_{\rm lm}^8}$$
(3)

With C_{lm} and $d_{lm}as$ the vdW coefficients due todipole–dipole and dipole– quadrupole interactions. These coefficients are calculated from the Slater Kirkwood variational approach [31]. However, the expression for C_{lm} and d_{lm} obtained by Slater and Kirkwood [31] and London et.al. [43] have been slightly modified by us to take account of the doping effect. These expression are written as

$$c_{lm} = \frac{3en}{2\sqrt{m}} \frac{\alpha_l \,\alpha_m}{\left[(\alpha_l/N_l)^{1/2} + (\alpha_m/N_m)^{1/2}\right]}$$
(4)
$$d_{lm} = \frac{27\hbar^2}{8m} \frac{\left[(\alpha_l/N_l)^{1/2} + (\alpha_m/N_m)^{1/2}\right]^2}{\left(\frac{\alpha_m}{N_m}\right) + \frac{20}{3} + (\alpha_l d_m/N_l N_m)^{1/2} + \left(\frac{\alpha_m}{N_m}\right)}$$
(5)

Where α_l and N_l are the polarizability and number of outermost electron of the cation, while α_m is given by

$$\alpha_{\rm m} = \beta \, \alpha_1 + (1 - \beta) \, \alpha_2 \tag{6}$$

with α_1 and α_2 as the polarizability of the two type of anions (i.e.Cl⁻andBr⁻respectively). Also

$$N_{m} = \beta N_{1} + (1 - \beta) N_{2}$$
(7)

is the sum of outermost electrons in the two type of anions mentioned $above.\beta$ is the concentration dependent parameters which assume value from zero to unity.

The third term of equation (1) represent three body interaction (TBI)energy, expressed as

$$\Phi_T(r) = \sum_{lm}^{\Sigma} \frac{z_l z_m e^2}{r_{lm}} f(r_{lm})$$
(8)

is contributed by three–body interactions(TBI), which arise from the charge transfer effect between the adjacent ions. The function f(r) is a TBIparameter dependent of the overlap integrals.

The last term of equation (1) represent the short -range overlap repulsive energy expressed as.

$$\Phi_{\rm R}(\mathbf{r}) = 8 \,\beta_{\rm lm} \,\mathrm{b} \mathrm{e}^{(-\mathrm{r}_{\rm lm}\,/\rho)} \tag{9}$$

Here β_{lm} are defined as Pauling coefficients defined as

$$\beta_{lm} = 1 + \frac{z_l}{n_l} + \frac{z_m}{n_m}$$
(10)

With Z_l and Z_m are the valency and N_l and N_m are the numbers of the outermost electrons of l and m ions.

It is seen that there are only three unknown parameters in the above–mentioned interaction potential, viz. the repulsive strengthparameter (b, ρ) and third body interaction (TBI)parameter f(r). The two repulsive strength parameters (b, ρ) can be calculated from the equilibrium conditions.

$$\left(\frac{d\Phi_{\text{total}}(\mathbf{r})}{dr}\right)_{r=r_0} = 0 \tag{11}$$

DOI: 10.9790/4861-1205024247

Andbulk modulus expression

$$\left(\frac{d^2\Phi_{\text{total}}(\mathbf{r})}{dr^2}\right)_{r=r_0}^r = \frac{18r_0}{\beta_T} \tag{12}$$

The three body interaction(TBI) parameter can be evaluated by the expression of the second order elastic constant expression [44] .

$$C_{11} = \frac{e^2}{4a^4} \left[0.7010 \, Z_m^2 + \frac{A_{lm} + 2 \, B_{lm}}{6} + 5.4283 \, Zr_0 f_0' \right] \tag{13}$$

$$C_{12} = \frac{e^2}{4a^4} \left[-0.6898 \, Z_m^2 + \frac{A_{lm} - 4 \, B_{lm}}{6} + 5.4283 \, Z r_0 f_0' \right] \tag{14}$$

$$C_{44} = \frac{e^2}{4a^4} \left[-0.3505 \, Z_m^2 + \frac{A_{lm} + 2 \, B_{lm}}{6} \right] \tag{15}$$

COMPUTATIONS

The vdWcoefficient (C_{Im} and d_{Im}) required for the present study have been calculated by using the expression (4) and (5) for the mixedND₄Cl– ND₄Brcrystals. Their value listed in Table 4 for the host and mixed ND₄Cl– ND₄Brcrystals and used to obtain repulsive strength parameters(b, ρ) whose values are listed in table 2. The required three body interaction (TBI) parameters f(r) have been calculated by using the expression (13) to (15). The equilibrium in inter ionic separation r_0 are used as input data are listed in Table 1.A linear variation of r_0 with concentration, as depicted in Fig. 1, is a feature identical to that exhibited by other mixed crystals (AgCl–AgBr)[42],KCl– KBr [27] and KBr- KI & KI – KCL [28] mixed crystals.

The values of these models parameter together with vdW coefficient listed in table 4 and 2 have been used to compute the cohesive energy and the second order elastic constant (SOE) using the equation given from (13) to (15). Their value havebeen listed in Table 1-5 and plotted respectively in figure 1 and figure 2 against the percentage concentration of $ND_4Cl-ND_4Brmixed$ crystals.

Our results on the cohesive energy and harmonic and elastic constant of ND_4Cl – ND_4Br have been compared with the available experimental and other theoretical results. Such comparison for the mixed crystals could not be possible in the absence of measured data on them.

TABLE – 1

Innut d	loto o	ftha	minad	or votol NT) C1	ND Dr
mput c	iala O	I ule	IIIIXeu	CIVSIAIINL	JUL	$IND_{1}DI$.

Input ND ₄ Cl	InputND ₄ Br
$r_0 = 3.307 \times 10^{-8} cm$	$r_0 = 3.51 \times 10^{-8} cm$
$C_{11} = 4.79 \times 10^{11} dyn./cm^2$	$C_{11} = 3.4293 \times 10^{11} dyn./cm^2$
$C_{12} = 1.64 \times 10^{11} dyn./cm^2$	$C_{12} = 0.7716 \times 10^{11} dyn./cm^2$
$C_{44} = 1.43 \times 10^{11} dyn./cm^2$	$C_{44} = 0.7605 \times 10^{11} dyn./cm^2$
$\alpha_{+} = 1.154 \times 10^{-24} Ref 5$	$\alpha_{+} = 1.154 \times 10^{-24} Ref 5$
$\alpha_{-} = 3.355 \times 10^{-24}$	$\alpha_{-} = 4.157 \times 10^{-24}$
$N_{+} = 17.0$	$N_{+} = 17.0$
$N_{-} = 17.0$	$N_{-} = 22.0$

	Table- 1: Input data of the Mixed Crystal ND ₄ Cl- ND ₄ Br								
% Crystal	$\mathbf{r}_0 = (1-\beta) \mathbf{r}_{0,\mathrm{cl}} + \beta \mathbf{r}_{0,\mathrm{Er}}$	$C_{11} =$ (1- β) $C_{11,cl}$ + $\beta C_{11,Br}$	$C_{12} = (1-\beta) C_{12,cl} + \beta C_{12,Br}$	$C_{44} = (1 - \beta)$ $C_{44,cl} + \beta C_{44,Br}$	$\alpha_{-} = (1 - \beta) \alpha_{-, cl} + \beta \alpha_{-, Br}$	$N = [(1-\beta) N Cl+ \beta N Br$			
$ND_4\ Cl_{100}\ Br_0$	3.3070 [37]	4.79000 [39]	1.64000 [39]	1.43000 [39]	3.3550 [41]	17.00			
ND4 Cl90 Br10	3.3273	4.65393	1.55316	1.36305	3.4352	17.5			
ND4 Cl80 Br20	3.3476	4.51786	1.46632	1.29600	3.5154	18			
ND ₄ Cl ₇₀ Br ₃₀	3.3679	4.38179	1.37948	1.29915	3.5956	18.5			
ND4 Cl60 Br40	3.3882	4.24572	1.29264	1.16220	3.6758	19.0			
ND4 Cl50 Br50	3.4085	4.10965	1.2058	1.09525	3.7560	19.5			
ND4 Cl40 Br60	3.4288	3.97358	1.11896	1.0283	3.8362	20.0			
ND4 Cl30 Br70	3.4491	3.83751	1.03212	0.96135	3.9164	20.5			
ND4 Cl20 Br80	3.4694	3.70144	0.94528	0.8944	3.9966	21.0			
ND4 Cl10 Br90	3.4897	3.56537	0.85844	0.82745	4.0768	21.5			
ND4 Cl0 Br100	3.5100 [38]	3.4293 [40]	0.77160[40]	0.7605 [40]	4.1570 [41]	22.0			

Study of Cohesive and Harmonic Properties Ofnd₄cl-Nd₄br Mixed Crystal

Where β is the concentration of mixed crystal.

Table –2 : Model Parameter of mixed crystal b and ρ are in unit of 10^{-8} cm

	<u>D anu p are</u>		<u>u cin</u>		
% Crystal	<i>f</i> (<i>r</i>)	$r_0 f_0'$	b	ρ	Zm ²
$ND_4 \ Cl_{100} \ Br_0$	-0.0193552	0.0089146	1.3009872	0.2975072	0.6903168
ND4 Cl90 Br10	-0.0189825	0.0082702	1.1787965	0.3021544	0.696279
$ND_4 \operatorname{Cl}_{80} Br_{20}$	-0.0186131	0.0075873	1.0575996	0.3072031	0.70219
ND ₄ Cl ₇₀ Br ₃₀	-0.0182642	0.0068643	0.9391605	0.3126968	0.7077721
ND4 Cl60 Br40	-0.017931	0.0061014	0.8251143	0.3186851	0.7131036
ND4 Cl ₅₀ Br ₅₀	-0.0176142	0.0052961	0.7169051	0.3252252	0.7181721
ND4 Cl40 Br60	-0.0173145	0.0044476	0.6157548	0.3323826	0.7229665
ND4 Cl ₃₀ Br ₇₀	-0.0170328	0.0035548	0.5225989	0.3402343	0.7274742
$ND_4\ Cl_{20}\ Br_{80}$	-0.0167697	0.0026164	0.4380948	0.3488702	0.7316833
ND4 Cl10 Br90	-0.0165261	0.0016312	0.3626045	0.3583957	0.7355813
$ND_4 \operatorname{Cl}_0 Br_{100}$	-0.0163027	0.0005979	0.296205	0.3689367	0.7391555

Table – 3: Model parameters of ND4Cl – ND4Br Mixed Crystals

% Crystal	A_1	\mathbf{B}_1	$\mathbf{C}_1 = \mathbf{A}_1^2 / \mathbf{B}_1$
$ND_4 Cl_{100} Br_0$	4.2138736	-0.3254832	-54.554984
ND4 Cl90 Br10	4.1812719	-0.3273004	-53.415867
ND4 Cl80 Br20	4.1441856	-0.3292266	-52.165512
ND4 Cl70 Br30	4.1023594	-0.33127	-50.802526
ND4 Cl60 Br40	4.055537	-0.3334319	-49.327555
ND4 Cl50 Br50	4.00344	-0.3357167	-47.741241
ND4 Cl40 Br60	3.9458633	-0.3381293	-46.046992
ND4 Cl30 Br70	3.8824728	-0.3406781	-44.245859

$ND_4 \operatorname{Cl}_{20} Br_{80}$	3.8129571	-0.3433694	-42.341111
ND4 Cl10 Br90	3.7389666	-0.3462042	-40.337233
$ND_4Cl_0Br_{100}$	3.6541123	-0.3491897	-38.238633

Study of Cohesive and Harmonic Properties Ofnd₄cl–Nd₄br Mixed Crystal

Crystal %	C_{ij}	C _{ii}	C_{jj}	\mathbf{d}_{ij}	d _{ii}	\mathbf{d}_{jj}	C*	D **
ND ₄ Cl ₁₀₀ Br ₀	138.22069	64.30364	318.76133	76.3555	25.3027	213.866	1882.6424	885.68052
ND4 Cl90 Br10	-141.76414	64.30364	335.08072	78.1523	25.3027	224.213	1942.4244	911.70771
ND4 Cl80 Br20	-145.30654	64.30364	351.80399	79.9494	25.3027	234.804	2002.9131	938.00548
ND4 Cl70 Br30	-148.84795	64.30364	368.93113	81.7468	25.3027	245.64	2064.109	964.57491
ND4 Cl60 Br40	-152.38845	64.30364	386.46217	83.5444	25.3027	256.72	2126.0128	991.41409
ND4 Cl50 Br50	-155.92811	64.30364	404.39713	85.3422	25.3027	268.045	2188.6251	1018.5241
ND4 Cl40 Br60	-159.46698	64.30364	422.73601	87.1403	25.3027	279.614	2251.9464	1045.9047
ND4 Cl30 Br70	-163.00513	64.30364	441.47882	88.9385	25.3027	291.427	2315.9773	1073.5542
ND4 Cl20 Br80	-166.54258	64.30364	460.62558	90.7369	25.3027	303.485	2380.7181	1101.4746
ND4 Cl10 Br90	-170.0794	64.30364	480.1763	92.5354	25.3027	315.788	2446.1693	1129.665
ND4 Cl ₀ Br ₁₀₀	-173.61563	64.30364	500.13095	94.3341	25.3027	328.334	2512.3313	1158.1241

Table 4: Calculation of Van-der Waal's Coefficients

* C has unit of 10^{-60} erg–cm⁶ ** D has unit of 10^{-76} erg–cm⁸

|--|

Crystal %	Φ_c	Φ_v	Φ_I	Φ_R	Φ_{total}	Φ_{exp} . Φ_{other}
$ND_4 \ Cl_{100} \ Br_0$	-177.15973	-13.885705	1.1366323	22.3069	-167.6019	$-150.2 \ \Phi_{exp}$ -145.51 Φ_{other}
ND4 Cl90 Br10	-176.07889	-13.719433	1.0579127	22.422153	-166.31826	
ND4 Cl80 Br20	-175.01113	-13.549836	0.9748422	22.566076	-165.02005	
ND4 Cl70 Br30	-173.95625	-13.377457	0.8869959	22.741838	-163.70487	
ND4 Cl60 Br40	-172.91401	-13.202793	0.7938566	22.952464	-162.37048	
ND4 Cl50 Br50	-171.88419	-13.026298	0.6948682	23.201844	-161.01378	
ND ₄ Cl ₄₀ Br ₆₀	-170.86656	-12.848388	0.5893434	23.494012	-159.63159	
ND4 Cl30 Br70	-169.86091	-12.669441	0.4765087	23.833866	-158.21998	
ND4 Cl20 Br80	-168.86702	-12.489801	0.3554247	24.227313	-156.77408	
ND4 Cl10 Br90	-167.8847	-12.309783	0.2249995	24.680836	-155.28865	
ND4 Cl0 Br100	-166.91375	-12.129678	0.0839175	25.202502	-153.75701	-143.0 Φ _{exp} -130.65 Φ _{other}



References

- [1]. H. C. Teh and B. N. Brock House, Phys. Rev. 83, 2733 (1971)
- [2]. A.B. Lidiard .HandbuchbderPhysick, 20,246 (1957).
- [3]. K.J. Rao and C.N. Rao , Phys. Stat Solidi , 28 , 157 (1968).
- [4]. W. Bollman, Phys. Stat. Solidi, 18, 313 (1973).
- [5]. E. Barsis and A. Taylor , J. Chem. Phys. 48 , 4357 (1968).
- [6]. D.R. Figueroa, A.V. Chadwick and J.H. Strange, J. Phys, C11, 55 (1978).
- [7]. C. S. N. Murthy and V. V. G. S. Murty, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 31 1485 (1970)
- [8]. H. C. Teh and B. N. Brock House, **88**,3928 (1973)
- [9]. C. W. Garland and C. F. yeanell, Phys. Rev., J. Chem. Phys. 44, 1112 (1966)
- [10]. J. R. Tenman, A. H. Kahn and W. Shockky, *Phys. Rev.* **92**, 890 (1953)
- [11]. I. F. Chang and S. S. Mitra, Phys.Rev. 172, 924(1968); Adv. Phys.20 85(1971).
- [12]. D. W. Taylar, Solid Stat. Commun. 13, 117(1973).
- [13]. R. J.Elliot, J.A.Krumhansal and P.L.Leath, Rev. Mod. Phys. 46, 465(1974).
- [14]. A.S.Barker and A.J.Sieveirs, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*48, 5179(1975).
- [15]. R.T.Harley, J.B.Page and C.T.Walker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 23, 922(1969).
- [16]. R.Srinivasan and G.Lakshmi, Lattice Dynamics ed M. Balakanski (Paris: Flammarion) p. 429(1977)
- [17]. A.R.Mackintosh and H.B.Moller, Localized Excitation in Solids ed. R.F.Wallis (New York : Plenum) 1968.
- [18]. D.M.Talwar and B.K.Agarwal Phys. Rev. B9, 4362(1974).
- [19]. P.N.Ram and B.K.Agarwal, Phys. Stat. Solidi (b)61, 341(1974).
- [20]. R.K.Singh and Shanker P.Sanyal)J. Phys. C, Solid State Physcis15, 1765, (1982).
- [21]. M.P. Verma, R.K. Singh , Phys. Status Solidi 33 , 769 (1969).
- [22]. R.K. Singh , K.S. Upadhyaya , Phys, Status Solidi (b) , 51 , 389 (1972).
- [23]. R.K. Singh, H.N. Gupta, Proc. R. Soc. London, A349, 289, (1976).
- [24]. R.K. Singh, K. Chandra , Phys. Rev. , B14, 2625 (1976).
- [25]. R. K. Singh, S.P. Sanyal, Phys. Status Solidi (b) 105, 193 (1981).
- [26]. J. Shanker, G.D. Jain, S.K. Sharma, Phys. Stat. Solidi (b) 104, 75 (1981)
- [27]. J.P.S. Rana, Alvin Daud, Acta Physica Polonica A(70), 665 (1986)
- [28]. J.P.S. Rana, Alvin Daud, Acta Physica Polonica A(75), 701 (1989).
- [29]. M. Born and J.E. Mayer Z Phys. 75, 1, (1932).
- [30]. M.P. Tosi, Solid State Physics 16, 1, (1964).
- [31]. J.C. Slator and J.G. Kirkwood , Phys. Rev. 37 , 682 (1931)
- [32]. J. Shanker, J.P. Singh and V.P. Gupta, Phys. Stat.Sol.(b) 103, 573 (1981).
- [33]. R.K. Varsheney and J. Shanker, Phys. Stat.Sol.(b) 126, 77, (1984).
- [34]. N.Dutt , G.G. Agarwal and J. Shanker , , Phys. Stat.Sol.(b) 129 , 73 (1985).
- [35]. R.K. Varsheney and J. Shanker, Phys. Stat.Sol.(b) 129, 66, (1985).
- [36]. R.K. Varsheney and J. Shanker, Phys. Stat.Sol.(b) 122, 65, (1984).
- [37]. H.C. Teh and B.N. Brockhouse, Phys. Rev. 83, 2733 (1971).
- [38]. C.S.N. Murthy and V.V. G.S. Murthy, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 31, 1485 (1970).
- [39]. H.C. Teh and B.N. Brockhouse, Phys. Rev. B8, 3928 (1973).
- [40]. C.W. Garland and C.F. Yarnell , J. Chem. Phys. 44 , 112 (1966).
- [41]. J.R. Tessman, A.H. Kahn and W. Shockley, Phys. Rev. 92, 890 (1953)
- [42]. J. Shanker, G.D. Jain and S.K. Sharma, Phys. Stat.Sol.(b) 104,75 (1981)
- [43]. F. London Z. Phys. Chem. 11B, 222 (1930).
- [44]. R.P. Singh and j. Shanker Phys. Stat. Sol.(b) 93 ,373 (1979).

Alvin Daud. "Study of Cohesive and Harmonic Properties Ofnd4cl– Nd4br Mixed Crystal." *IOSR Journal of Applied Physics (IOSR-JAP)*, 12(5), 2020, pp. 42-47.

DOI: 10.9790/4861-1205024247