Geoelectrical Sounding for The Determination Of Groundwater Prospects In Awgu And Its Environs, Enugu State, Southeastern Nigeria
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Abstract: Geoelectrical sounding to determine the groundwater prospect in Awgu and its environs has been carried out. The study area lies within longitudes 007°25'E and 007°35'E and latitudes 06°02'N and 06°17'N with an area extent of 513sqkm. The area is underlain by two lithostratigraphic units, Awgu Shale and Owelli Sandstone. A total of ninety five (95) Vertical Electrical Soundings (VES) was acquired employing the Schlumberger electrode array configuration, with a maximum electrode separation ranging from 700m to 800m. Data analysis was done using a computer program RESOUND to generate the layer apparent resistivity, thickness and depth. A maximum of eight (8) layer resistivity were generated in each sounding point with a depth range of 50m to 356m. From the interpreted VES data layer 6, 7, and 8 are possible target for prospective aquifer horizons. Interpreted geoelectric layers show a sequence of shale/sand – shale sand – sand. Various contour maps were constructed using surfer 10 contouring program- Iso resistivity, Isochore (depth), Isopach (thickness), Longitudinal conductance and transverse resistance to show variation of parameters in the study area. The frequency distribution of the VES curve types were plotted using the excel tool kit. The QQH curve type predominates. Groundwater potential zone map and flow direction maps were also plotted to show the groundwater distribution pattern in the study area. Three groundwater prospective zones were delineated. Deeper prospects zones cover 50% of the study area, with an average depth of 120m. Zones of shallow prospects covers 20% of the study area, with an average depth of 65m while No prospects zones covers 30% of the study area.


I. INTRODUCTION

Awgu and its environs are highly problematic in terms of groundwater. Following the increasing population in the area, there is need to explore for groundwater in other to meet the water requirements. To achieve this, we must have a reliable estimate of groundwater potential and this is possible by a systematic exploration program using modern scientific tools. Geophysical approach has among others, met this need because a wide range of the approaches has been used with varying degree of success in providing useful information about the aquifer (Sundararajan, et al, 2007). The use of geophysical methods provides valuable information with respect to distribution, thickness and depth of groundwater bearing formations. Various surface geophysical techniques are available but Electrical Resistivity Method has been used because of its relatively high diagnostic values. Abortive wells abound in the study area as most water wells are not functioning. Groundwater aquifer data largely do not exist in the area. Hence, delineating the groundwater zones will provide a better picture of the underground water exploration pattern.

Figure 1. Map of Enugu State showing the location of the study area.
II. LOCATION AND ACCESSIBILITY

The study area lies within longitudes 007°25'E and 007°35'E and latitudes 06°17'N and 06°02'N with an area extent of 513sqkm (Figure 1 and 2). The study area covers parts of Aninri and Nkanu West.

Figure 2: map of the study area

The major towns in these areas include: Ugboka, Amurri, Ndeaboh, Nenwe, Awgu, Mgbowo, Onoli, Mmaku, Ogugu, Owelli, Ihe, Agbogugu, Isu-Awaa among others. These areas are accessed by major and minor roads as well as track roads and footpaths that are interconnected. It is mainly accessed by the Enugu–Port Harcourt old road linking these towns.

PHYSIOGRAPHY

The study area consists of irregular topography. The eastern part of the study area is made up of highlands while the western part is fairly lowlands. (Figure 3). The highland areas include towns like: Ngene Ugbo, Amolie, Obeagu, Mmaku with Ugbokpala community as the highest in the area, with an elevation of 333 meters above sea level (ASL).

Figure 3: Surface map showing study area

The lowland areas include communities like Owelli, Awgu, Nenwe, Ndeaboh etc. The lowest part of the area is at Ndeaboh with an elevation of 53m ASL. Some of the activities in these areas are rice farming as a result of stagnant water especially, in parts of Ndeaboh, Mgbowo and Nenwe. The area is sparsely Vegetative.

GEOLOGY

The study area lies on the geologic formation referred to as Awgu-Ndiagboh formation and Agbani Sandstone is its lateral equivalent. The formation is within the southern portion of the Benue Trough of Nigeria. It has two Lithostrategraphic units characterized by sequence of shale and sand (Figure 4). It is well-bedded, Awgu Shale (Coniacian age) Owelli Sandstone (Campanian age) with a regional Dip of about 180. The Awgu Shale is underlain by the Eze-Aku shale conformably and is between Awgu and Ndeaboh in Southeastern Nigeria.
The lithology is bluish-grey well-bedded shale inter-bedded with fine yellow calcareous sandstone and shaly limestone with a total thickness of 900m.

HYDROGEOLOGY

The study area Awgu falls within the Anambra Basin, located to the southern part of Enugu metropolis. More than 90% of the area is underlain by Cretaceous rocks of the Asu River, Eze-Aku, Awgu, Nkporo and Mamu Formations, with the oldest, the Asu River Formation rocks. Awgu Ndeboh Formation is generally shale and clays with thickness of about 900meters. The groundwater potential is not encouraging (Ezeh, 2012) as shale does not readily give out its water content. Aneke (2007) proposed an exploration strategy for exploiting the groundwater from the fractured shaley units, which are the main water bearing units in the study area. Groundwater is mainly found in the overburden and zone of cracks restricted shallow depth and fractured shale from deeper probe.

THEORY AND METHOD

The apparent resistivity ($\rho$) function of current passed into the ground, measured by potential electrodes as potential difference (Voltage) is given as interpreted (Figure 5)

$$\rho_a = \pi \times \frac{V}{I} \times \left[ \frac{(AB/2)^2 - (MN/2)^2}{MN} \right]$$

Figure 4. Geologic map of the study area

Figure 5. Current flow patterns
Where R is the resistance, AB and MN are current and potential electrodes respectively (Paranis, 1986). A multilayer resistivity model consists of layer apparent resistivity, thickness and depth. Further derivatives are convolved to generate the Geoelectric parameters. These show electric boundaries separating layers of different resistivities (Zohdy, et al., 1990). A Geoelectric layer is described by two fundamental parameters: its layer apparent resistivity ($\rho_a$) and its thickness (h). The Geoelectric parameters are derived based on apparent resistivity and thickness include:

Longitudinal conductance ($S$)

$$S = \frac{h}{\rho_a} \quad \text{...(2)}$$

Transverse resistance ($T$)

$$T = h \cdot \rho_a \quad \text{...(3)}$$

The parameters $T$ and $S$ were named the “Dar – Zarrouk parameters “by Maillet (1947). Correlative resistivities of $T$ and $S$ are given below

Longitudinal resistivity ($\rho_L$)

$$\rho_L = \frac{h}{S} \quad \text{...(4)}$$

Transverse resistivity ($\rho_T$)

$$\rho_T = \frac{T}{h} \quad \text{...(5)}$$

Substituting equation (2) into equation (4), and equation (3) into equation (5) it appears that apparent resistivity equals longitudinal resistivity and transverse resistivity.

$$\rho_a = \rho_L = \rho_T \quad \text{...(6)}$$

Therefore, if apparent resistivity values can be used to infer subsurface lithology, then stacked longitudinal resistivity and transverse resistance can be used to investigate the lateral extent of subsurface lithology (Okonkwo, et al, 2014)

DATA ACQUIZATION

Ninety five (95) vertical electrical soundings (VES) were acquired within the study area (Figure 6). Some were stationed very close to existing boreholes, for correlation purposes. The Schlumberger electrode configuration was used with maximum current electrode separation AB, ranging from 700m to 800m depending on the accessibility of the road.

![Figure: 6: Showing the VES Points, Hand-dug wells and Borehole](image)

After acquiring the data, the measured field resistance (R) in ohms was converted to apparent resistivity ($\rho_a$) in ohm-meter by multiplying resistance (R) by the geometric factor (K). A log-log graph plot of apparent resistivity ($\rho_a$) against current electrode distance (AB/2) was plotted for each VES station to generate a sounding curve. Using the conventional partial curve matching technique, in conjunction with auxiliary point diagrams (Orellana and Mooney, 1966; Koefoed, 1979; Kellar and Frischknecht, 1966), layer resistivities and thickness were obtained and the results were presented as frequency distribution chart (Figure 7), geoelectric sections and maps. Table 1 shows the summary of the VES results in terms of the geoelectric properties of the study area. These parameters were used to prepare geoelectric sections and subsurface maps such as Isoresistivity, Isopach, Isochore, longitudinal conductance and transverse resistance maps.
The interpretation was done using the curve types, the resistivity values of the geoelectric units, the thicknesses, Dar-Zarrouk parameters (Maillet, 1947) and its analysis (Niwas and Singhal 1981) surface maps and regional geology of the study area.

The resistivity curve types associated with the study area from VES 1-95 include among others: QQH, AQA, AKH, HKK, HHA, KQH, AQQ, QKH, HQQ, QKK, and QQK (Table 1). H-type curve, account for 25%, indicating fractured shale horizon K-type curve account for 20% and A type-curve account for 21%. Approximately 4% of the soundings are 8-layered curve type, while about 40% are 7-layered, 45% are 6 layered, 7% are 5 layered, 2% are 4 layered and 2% 3 layered.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Contour maps of the apparent resistivity, the Isopach, the depth, the longitudinal conductance, the transverse resistance, groundwater potential and flow direction maps of the aquiferous horizons have been constructed using the results of the resistivity sounding interpretation. For layer 6, high resistivity range of 800 to 1400 was indicated around the south, Onoli; East, Ogbaku and Nomeh, Ngeneugbo, Mgbidi, Obeagu with thickness range of 15 to 30 extending to depth of 60m to 140m (Figure 8a, 8b, 8c)).
Low resistivity observed at Ndeaboh and Mgbowo among others which indicate argillaceous rocks, which are possible shale/clay.

For layer 7, high resistivity range of 1000ohm-m to 5000ohm-m observed almost the whole area (Figure 9a) thickness range of 32m to 60m (Figure 9b) and a depth range of 80m to 200m (Figure 9c) this is an evidence deep prospect. The distribution of the aquifer transverse resistance and longitudinal conductance of layers 6 and 7 are computed from the VES interpretation as shown in Figures (10a, 10b) and Figure (11a, 11b) respectively.

Figure 8: Countour Maps of Layers 6 showing (a) Iso resistivity, (b) Isopach, (c) Isochore

Figure 9: Countour Maps of Layers 7 showing (a) Iso resistivity, (b) Isopach, (c) Isochore

Figure 10: Contour Maps of Transverse resistance (a) Layer 5, (b) Layer 6
Groundwater potential distributions in the study area are indicated by green color (deeper prospect) towns in that area includes Ugboka at the northeast, Owelli, Ogugu, Ugbokpara, Ndeaborh amongst others. Yellow color (shallow prospect) towns in that area includes Ogbaku, Awgu and red (no prospect) are places like Mgbidi, Nnewe, Isu Awa(Figure 12a)

GROUNDWATER POTENTIAL ZONES

The ground water flow pattern in the study area is with a major divide along the east – west axis of the area. The flow directions are towards the Northeast, South and Southwest. The major flow pattern is to the North East, south, South East. There is also a convergence of flow around Ogugu-Ngeneugbo-Owelli indications of possibility of high yield. Water divide has a negative impact on the ground water resources because where they occur, there is low prospect. (Figure 12b)
Table 1: Interpreted Model Geoelectrical Parameters and Curve Types.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Minimum–Maximum values of $\rho$, $T$ and $D$ of geoelectric layers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NL</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONCLUSIONS**

Based on the study, the groundwater potential zones were delineated. About 50% of the study area falls within the deeper prospects ground water zones, 20% falls within shallow prospect groundwater potential zone, while the remaining 30% are areas where there is no prospect. The groundwater flow directions, Dar-zzarouk parameters, geology, and geoelectrical sounding attest to these facts. Hence, the groundwater potential rating of the area is considered generally moderate.

The results of this study have provided reliable information for borehole failure and abortive wells in the area, which was due to high content of argillaceous rock in the formation and the thin thickness of the layer. However there is evidence of deeper fractures. (Table 1) Future groundwater development in the study area should therefore; concentrate within the high/ medium groundwater prospect zones. Drill depth –deeper prospect 150-200meters, -shallow depth 50 – 60 meters.
Geoelectrical Sounding for the Determination of Groundwater Prospects in Awgu and Its Environs, ...

REFERENCE


