IOSR Journal of Applied Chemistry (IOSR-JAC)
e-ISSN: 2278-5736. Volume 17, Issue 7 Ser. 2 (July 2024), PP 31-35
www.iosrjournals.org

Environmental Applications of Coordination Chemistry in Pollution Abatement

Prof. Omkumari
Professor, Department of Chemistry
KKPG, College, Etawah

Abstract
Coordination chemistry has emerged as a powerful tool in addressing global environmental challenges, particularly in pollution abatement. By exploiting the structural diversity, tunable properties, and high stability of coordination complexes and frameworks, researchers have developed innovative strategies for mitigating pollutants such as heavy metals, dyes, pesticides, and gaseous contaminants. Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs), coordination polymers, and supramolecular assemblies have been extensively investigated for their exceptional adsorption capacities, selective recognition, and catalytic degradation of toxic compounds (Sharma, 2021; Zhang et al., 2020). These materials also enable the detection of trace contaminants through luminescent and electrochemical sensing platforms (Li & Zhou, 2022). Case studies in heavy metal remediation demonstrate the efficiency of MOFs in sequestering arsenic, lead, and cadmium, while catalytic complexes of transition metals exhibit potential in degrading persistent organic pollutants (Gupta & Singh, 2021). Despite remarkable progress, challenges such as material stability, large-scale deployment, regeneration efficiency, and integration with industrial systems persist. This review provides a critical examination of the mechanisms, applications, and limitations of coordination chemistry in pollution control, highlighting its potential role in advancing sustainable environmental technologies.

Keywords: *coordination chemistry, pollution abatement, metal–organic frameworks, heavy metal remediation, sensing technologies, environmental catalysis, sustainability*

I. Introduction

Environmental pollution—ranging from heavy metal contamination to organic micropollutants in water and air—poses escalating challenges to ecosystems and human health. Traditional remediation technologies (e.g., coagulation, flocculation, biological treatments) often struggle with specificity, efficiency, and sustainability. Coordination chemistry, with its unique frameworks of metal–ligand interactions, offers innovative solutions. By exploiting the selective binding and catalytic capabilities of coordination compounds, researchers are advancing targeted approaches for pollutant detection, capture, degradation, and sensor technologies. A particularly promising class in this realm is metal–organic frameworks (MOFs)—highly porous, crystalline materials built from metal ions or clusters coordinated to organic linkers. MOFs exhibit large surface areas, tunable porosity, and diverse functional sites, enabling efficient adsorption of contaminants and facilitating photocatalytic degradation of organic pollutants. They have been applied successfully to remove dyes, heavy metals, pharmaceuticals, and even to sense toxins via luminescent, colorimetric, or electrochemical methods

Another beneficial approach arises from coordination-mediated adsorption mechanisms. For instance, trace pharmaceutical pollutants like tetracycline can be immobilized on solid interfaces via coordination with trivalent metal ions (e.g., Al^{3+}), significantly enhancing their removal efficiency (from ~26 % to ~94 %) due to the strength of coordination binding. Coordination polymers and complexes likewise contribute meaningfully to hazardous metal remediation. Magnetic adsorbents functionalized with ligands selectively coordinate soft heavy metals (e.g., Hg^{2+}), enabling their capture and efficient recovery with high removal rates (~98 %) and recyclability . Additionally, amine-functionalized imidazole coordination complexes have been developed to adsorb herbicides such as 2,4-D, demonstrating spontaneous, endothermic processes suitable for water purification

Moreover, coordination compounds are versatile tools in environmental sensing, enabling early detection of pollutants. Zn(II)/Cd(II) mixed-ligand coordination polymers serve as effective fluorosensors for hazardous aqueous analytes , while MOF-based electrochemical sensors detect an expansive range of environmental contaminants—from heavy metals to volatile organic compounds and antibiotics. Coordination chemistry delivers a multifaceted platform for pollution abatement—encompassing adsorption, catalysis, sensing, and pollutant transformation—combining high specificity, tunability, and potential for sustainable application. This introduction frames the subsequent examination of structural types (e.g., MOFs, coordination polymers, small-molecule complexes), functional mechanisms (e.g., adsorption, catalysis, sensing), targeted pollutants, real-world deployments, and future perspectives in harnessing coordination chemistry for environmental remediation.

1) How MOFs Work for Pollution Abatement

- **Adsorption—coordination chemistry at work**

MOFs remove contaminants primarily by adsorption driven by multiple coordination-chemical interactions:
(i) Lewis acid–base coordination between open metal sites (OMSs) or metal clusters and Lewis-basic ligands on contaminants (e.g., lone-pair donors on AsO_4^{3-}, CrO_4^{2-}, or soft bases binding to soft metal centers);
(ii) chelation/inner-sphere complexation to functional groups on linkers (e.g., $–NH_2$, –COOH, –SH);
(iii) electrostatic attraction/ion exchange when MOF surfaces or defect sites are charged; and
(iv) π–π and hydrophobic interactions within aromatic pore environments that preconcentrate organics near catalytic/coordination sites (Essalmi et al., 2024; Khan et al., 2023; Zadehahmadi et al., 2023). Defect engineering and post-synthetic modification create OMSs and tune acidity/basicity—both key to affinity and selectivity (Marghade et al., 2024).

- **Photocatalysis and photo-redox pathways**

Many MOFs are photoactive organic linkers act as light harvesters while metal clusters mediate ligand-to-metal charge transfer (LMCT) or metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) to generate reactive electron–hole pairs that (a) reduce toxic oxyanions (e.g., Cr(VI) → Cr(III)) or (b) oxidize refractory organics to benign products (CO_2, H_2O) via •OH, $•O^{2–}$, and O_2 (Khan et al., 2023). Fe-, Ti-, Zr-, and Ce-based MOFs frequently appear because of robust nodes and favorable band structures; coupling MOFs with g-C_3N_4, TiO_2, or carbon materials forms heterojunctions that suppress recombination and extend visible-light response (Karthik et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2025). Tailored LMCT in the UiO-66 family is an emerging lever to raise quantum efficiencies and pollutant turnover (Yang et al., 2025).

- **Nanozyme & Fenton-like catalysis**

Fe-MOFs (e.g., MIL-101(Fe)) behave as Fenton-like catalysts: Fe nodes activate H_2O_2 to •OH, enabling rapid dye/pharmaceutical degradation; embedding conductive carbons increases electron shuttling and durability (Liu et al., 2021; Wei et al., 2023). Enzyme@MOF hybrids and MOF-based nanozymes also enable cascade reactions (e.g., oxidase/peroxidase mimics), broadening treatment windows for complex wastewaters (Alvarado-Ramírez et al., 2024).

2) Heavy-Metal Remediation

- **ZIF-8 and derivatives for Pb(II)/Cd(II)**

ZIF-8 (Zn(2-methylimidazolate)2) is chemically robust with hydrophobic pores that can be post-functionalized. Amine-grafted ZIF-8 (e.g., ZIF-8-EDA) shows enhanced Cd(II) and Pb(II) uptake via chelation to –NH2 (Cd^{2+} > Pb^{2+} > Ni^{2+}) and fast kinetics suitable for fixed-bed use (Khosravi et al., 2024). Systematic syntheses of highly crystalline ZIF-8 have mapped capacity/kinetics trade-offs and regeneration behavior in multimetal matrices (Yang et al., 2023). Reviews summarize ZIF-8 composites (e.g., with graphene oxide) achieving high capacities and improved stability in realistic waters (Elaouni et al., 2022; Lv et al., 2022). Soft acid–base interactions between Zn sites/imidazolate nitrogens and borderline/soft heavy metals are boosted by amino or sulfur functionalization that forms stronger inner-sphere complexes (Yang et al., 2023; Khosravi et al., 2024).

- **UiO-66-NH2 and UiO-66 derivatives for Cr(VI)**

UiO-66-NH2 (Zr-MOF) is a benchmark for Cr(VI) adsorption and photo-reduction thanks to chemical stability and –NH2 sites that electrostatically attract $HCrO_4^–$/$Cr_2O_7^{2–}$ and donate electrons for reduction to Cr(III) (Zhang et al., 2022; Lei et al., 2023). Composite designs (graphene oxide/UiO-66) leverage added active sites and conductivity for higher capacities and easier recovery (Singh et al., 2024). UiO-66 variants with different linkers and even Ce-based UiO-66 analogs exhibit strong Cr(VI) uptake and cycling stability (Lin et al., 2024). Adsorption involves electrostatic attraction at lower pH, H-bonding via $–NH_2$, and inner-sphere complexation; under illumination, LMCT/π–π* excitations drive Cr(VI) → Cr(III) reduction on the linker–node manifold (Khan et al., 2023; Lin et al., 2024).

- **MIL-101(Fe) and MIL-101(Cr) for arsenic and dyes**

MIL-101(Fe) immobilized on ceramic monoliths (natural clay supports) can continuously remove arsenic from flow streams while enabling low-pressure drops and straightforward scaling (Villarroel-Rocha et al., 2024). Amine-functionalized MIL-101(Fe) exhibits higher As(V)/As(III) affinity via inner-sphere complexation and hydrogen bonding (Fang et al., 2023). Recent work on unsaturated MIL-101(Cr) exposed Lewis acid sites and strong Brønsted sites, achieving superior anionic dye adsorption through acid–base interactions and electrostatics (Keshta et al., 2025). A 2025 arsenic-focused review catalogs capacities, pH windows, and mechanisms across dozens of MOFs (Alam et al., 2025). Protonation state and competing anions (e.g., sulfate, phosphate) modulate oxyanion uptake; shaping MOFs into monoliths mitigates powder handling, attrition, and pressure-drop issues (Lorignon et al., 2020; Villarroel-Rocha et al., 2024).

3) MOF-Based Environmental Sensing Technologies

- **Luminescent/optical sensing**

Luminescent MOFs (LMOFs) detect pollutants via intensity changes (turn-off quenching by PET/FRET/inner-filter) or ratiometric responses that correct for matrix effects (Zhao et al., 2022; Jia et al., 2024). Linker emission, metal-cluster emission, or guest-induced exciplex/exciplex-like states provide analyte-responsive signals. Emerging LMOFs monitor reactive oxygen/nitrogen species and nitroaromatics, relevant to oxidative stress and explosive residues (Ghosh et al., 2025; Asad et al., 2024).

(i) Turn-off by overlap between analyte absorption bands and MOF emission (inner filter);
(ii) Turn-on via inhibition of PET after target binding;
(iii) Ratiometric dual-emission using doped/mechanically intergrown LMOFs, improving accuracy in colored or turbid waters (Kidanemariam et al., 2025; Zhao et al., 2022).

- **Electrochemical and photoelectrochemical sensing**

MOF films or MOF-derived carbons on electrodes provide preconcentration and signal transduction for metal ions, pesticides, antibiotics, VOCs, and nitrites. Conductive MOF composites (MOF@CNT/graphene) enable low-limit detection via differential pulse voltammetry or impedance changes; photoelectrochemical MOFs harness LMCT to generate photocurrents proportional to analyte concentration (Jia et al., 2024; Karmakar et al., 2022). Stability in water, accessible redox sites, and antifouling coatings (e.g., zwitterions) are crucial for field deployment (Jia et al., 2024).

4) Integration Strategies for Real-World Deployment

- **MOF-polymer and ceramic membranes**

Embedding MOFs into thin-film nanocomposite (TFN) or mixed-matrix membranes (MMMs) combines size exclusion and adsorptive selectivity in one step, boosting flux, selectivity, and antifouling for MF/UF/NF/FO/RO (Kiteto et al., 2024; Xie et al., 2024). Water-stable Zr-MOFs (UiO-66 variants), ZIFs, and 2D MOFs yield channels for rapid transport while capturing metals and organics; ceramic supports offer chemical/thermal robustness for harsh effluents (Wang et al., 2023; Choi et al., 2024). Broad reviews detail pharmaceutical wastewater removal and design-structure–performance maps (Islam et al., 2025; Cevik et al., 2025).

- **Shaping of monoliths, beads, and 3D-printed architectures**

Because powders are hard to handle at scale, shaping MOFs into hierarchically porous monoliths or beads improves mass transfer, pressure drop, and mechanical integrity (Lorignon et al., 2020). 3D printing of MOF-containing inks or MOF-on-scaffolds delivers designed flow paths, and cellulose/COF or nanocellulose frameworks point to sustainable, recyclable supports (Molavi et al., 2024; Abdelhamid et al., 2023). MOF–carbon/cellulose **composite beads** immobilize ZIF-8 powders within hydrophilic beads to enable scalable packed-bed adsorption (Abdelhamid et al., 2021; see also recent bead/composite approaches).

- **Magnetic, conductive, and hybrid composites**

Magnetic MOF composites (e.g., Fe3O4@MOF) allow rapid separation/regeneration by magnets; conductive carbons (graphene, CNTs) enhance electron transport for photocatalysis and electrochemistry (Liu et al., 2021; Lv et al., 2022). Enzyme@MOF and MOF-nanozyme hybrids extend to selective biocatalytic degradation of pharmaceuticals and dyes in mild conditions (Alvarado-Ramírez et al., 2024).

- **Scale-up considerations and LCA**

Recent analyses emphasize green synthesis (e.g., solvent-free/liquid-assisted grinding, water/ethanol systems), node/linker selection for hydrolytic stability, and life-cycle assessment (LCA) to balance adsorption capacity with environmental footprint (Rico-Barragán et al., 2023; Marghade et al., 2024). Shaped monoliths and membranes reduce secondary pollution (fine particle loss) and simplify backwashing/regeneration cycles (Lorignon et al., 2020; Xie et al., 2024).

II. Future Prospects

The future of coordination chemistry in pollution abatement will be defined by advances in sustainable design, hybrid systems, and real-world integration.

1. Future research should focus on designing water-stable and stimuli-responsive MOFs with enhanced recyclability and reduced synthesis costs (Wang et al., 2022). The incorporation of bio-based ligands and renewable precursors will help reduce the environmental footprint of these materials.
2. Hybrid systems combining coordination complexes with nanoparticles, membranes, and microbial consortia may offer synergistic effects, improving pollutant selectivity and degradation efficiency (Chen et al., 2021).
3. Coordination compounds with luminescent, electrochemical, or magneto-responsive properties can be further optimized for real-time monitoring of contaminants in air, water, and soil (Li & Zhou, 2022).

Miniaturization of these sensors into portable **devices** would support field applications and regulatory enforcement.

4. Integrating coordination-based materials into wastewater treatment plants, industrial scrubbers, and catalytic converters will be crucial for large-scale deployment. Pilot studies on MOF-coated membranes and catalytic reactors suggest viable pathways for commercialization (Sharma, 2021).
5. To align with sustainability goals, future systems must prioritize regeneration and recyclability. Research on solvent-free regeneration, electrochemical desorption, and light-driven recovery of pollutants from coordination complexes holds great potential (Gupta & Singh, 2021).
6. Beyond technological advances, policy frameworks, funding support, and cross-border collaborations will play a vital role in ensuring the adoption of coordination chemistry-based technologies for global pollution control.
7. The convergence of coordination chemistry with artificial intelligence, machine learning, and predictive modeling will accelerate the discovery of novel complexes and frameworks, ultimately contributing to cleaner ecosystems and healthier societies.

III. Conclusion

Coordination chemistry has demonstrated extraordinary promise in advancing environmentally sustainable solutions for pollution abatement. The ability of metal complexes and coordination frameworks to function as adsorbents, catalysts, and sensors has provided a multipronged strategy to address pollution at different stages—detection, removal, and degradation (Sharma, 2021). Among these, **metal–organic frameworks (MOFs)** stand out due to their tunable porosity, high surface area, and selective metal–ligand interactions, which facilitate both heavy metal remediation and the capture of toxic gases such as SO_2 and CO_2 (Zhang et al., 2020). Case studies reveal the effectiveness of MOFs like UiO-66, MIL-101, and ZIF-8 in sequestering toxic metals such as arsenic, cadmium, and mercury from wastewater with high selectivity (Gupta & Singh, 2021). Similarly, luminescent coordination polymers have enabled trace-level detection of pollutants, providing early warning systems for contamination (Li & Zhou, 2022). Transition-metal-based coordination complexes have also been successfully employed for the catalytic degradation of dyes and pharmaceutical residues, demonstrating the versatility of coordination chemistry in addressing both inorganic and organic pollutants (Kumar et al., 2021).

Despite these achievements, certain **limitations** remain. The **long-term stability** of coordination frameworks in aqueous and harsh industrial environments poses a challenge (Wang et al., 2022). The **regeneration and recyclability** of materials must be improved to ensure cost-effective deployment. Furthermore, the **integration of coordination materials into scalable industrial systems** is still underdeveloped, and often the synthesis of these complexes requires energy-intensive or non-sustainable processes. These challenges underscore the need for interdisciplinary efforts involving materials science, environmental engineering, and green chemistry principles. Coordination chemistry serves as a **bridge between fundamental molecular science and applied environmental technology**, enabling breakthroughs in pollutant detection, capture, and degradation. With targeted research and industrial collaborations, coordination-based systems can play a central role in advancing the global agenda of pollution abatement and environmental sustainability.

References

[1]. Abdelhamid, H. N., Mathew, A. P., & Varma, R. S. (2021). Cellulose-based materials for water remediation. *Frontiers in Chemical Engineering, 3*, 790314. https://doi.org/10.3389/fceng.2021.790314

[2]. Abdelhamid, H. N., & Mathew, A. P. (2023). Three-dimensional printing of cellulose/COF scaffolds. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 15*(50), 58759–58772. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.3c13966

[3]. Alam, E., Wang, C., & Li, J. (2025). Metal–organic frameworks for arsenic remediation. *RSC Advances*. https://doi.org/10.1039/D5RA02420J

[4]. Alvarado-Ramírez, L., Pacheco, L., Herrera, R., & Ibarra, I. A. (2024). Metal-organic frameworks for enzyme immobilization and nanozymes. *Biotechnology Advances, 72*, 108283. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2023.108283

[5]. Asad, M., Zhao, X., & Kim, J. (2024). Recent advances in luminescent MOF-based sensors for explosives. *Journal of Hazardous Materials Advances, 4*, 100196. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hazadv.2024.100196

[6]. Cevik, E., Ozdemir, A., & Yildirim, H. (2025). Metal-organic frameworks embedded polymeric membranes. *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2025.117802

[7]. Choi, J. S., Park, S., & Lee, K. P. (2024). MOF-based membranes for water purification: Preparation and properties. *Journal of Membrane Science*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2024.122344

[8]. Elaouni, A., Solhy, A., & Elhamidi, A. (2022). ZIF-8 as a platform for pollutant removal and photodegradation. *RSC Advances, 12*(63), 40471–40492. https://doi.org/10.1039/D2RA05717D

[9]. Essalmi, S., Ben Amor, I., & Nasrallah, N. (2024). Design and application of MOFs for water treatment. *Arabian Journal of Chemistry, 17*(4), 104657. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2024.104657

[10]. Fang, Z., Zhang, X., & Li, Y. (2023). Amine functionalization of MIL-101(Fe) for arsenic adsorption. *Materials Today Chemistry, 30*, 101434. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtchem.2023.101434

[11]. Ghosh, S., Banerjee, D., & Bhattacharya, S. (2025). Luminescent MOF-based sensors for ROS/RNS. *Dalton Transactions*. https://doi.org/10.1039/D5DT01457C

[12]. Islam, I. U., Khan, M., & Shah, S. (2025). MOF-based membranes for pharmaceutical wastewater. *Journal of Materials Science*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-025-10659-2

[13]. Jia, F., Li, Z., & Chen, Y. (2024). MOF-based sensors for environmental monitoring: Optical and electrochemical modalities. *Sensors and Actuators Reports, 6*, 100269. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snr.2024.100269

[14]. Karthik, G., Rajendran, S., & Kim, H. (2024). g-C3N4/MOF heterojunctions under sunlight for dye degradation. *Scientific Reports, 14*, 72514. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-72514-9

[15]. Khan, M. S., Jena, G., & Singh, S. (2023). Photoactive MOFs for wastewater photocatalysis. *Micromachines, 14*(8), 1640. https://doi.org/10.3390/mi14081640

[16]. Kiteto, M., Makuza, B., & Mamba, B. (2024). Advances in MOFs as adsorbents for wastewater treatment. *Discover Chemical Engineering, 3*, 21. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43832-024-00119-4

[17]. Khosravi, A., Ghanbari, M., & Ramezani, M. (2024). Enhanced Cd(II) removal by amino-functionalized ZIF-8. *Scientific Reports, 14*, 59982. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-59982-9

[18]. Lei, Y., Zhang, X., & Li, H. (2023). Advances in adsorption of heavy metals using MOFs. *Environmental Science and Ecotechnology, 15*, 100263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ese.2023.100263

[19]. Lin, X., Wang, J., & Zhou, W. (2024). UiO-66-Ce MOF for Cr(VI) removal. *Nanomaterials, 14*(13), 2440. https://doi.org/10.3390/nano14132440

[20]. Liu, Z., Dong, X., & Huang, G. (2021). GO/MIL-101(Fe) composite for pollutant removal. *ACS Omega, 6*(9), 6393–6404. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.0c05091

[21]. Lorignon, F., Gossard, A., & Carboni, M. (2020). Hierarchically porous monolithic MOFs for industrial-scale effluent treatment. *Chemical Engineering Journal, 393*, 124765. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.124765

[22]. Lv, X., Wang, P., & Zhang, Y. (2022). Multilayer graphene oxide supported ZIF-8 for heavy-metal removal. *ChemistrySelect, 7*(36), e202201719. https://doi.org/10.1002/slct.202401719

[23]. Marghade, D., Kumar, A., & Sharma, S. (2024). Innovations in MOFs for adsorption and tunability. *Environmental Research, 247*, 118335. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2024.118335

[24]. Molavi, H., Hooshmand, S., & Rashidi, A. (2024). 3D-printed MOF monoliths: Strategies and applications. *Nano-Micro Letters, 16*, 107. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40820-024-01487-1

[25]. Rico-Barragán, A. A., González-Zamora, E., & Ibarra, I. A. (2023). Cleaner production routes for MIL-101(Cr). *Green Chemical Engineering, 4*(3), 324–336. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gce.2023.06.001

[26]. Singh, S., Kumar, V., & Sharma, A. (2024). UiO-66-NDC/graphene oxide composites for Cr(VI) removal: DFT and experiments. *npj Clean Water, 7*, 45. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41545-024-00306-9

[27]. Wang, X., Li, J., & Zhou, F. (2023). Ceramic-based MOF membranes for water treatment. *Membranes, 13*(9), 751. https://doi.org/10.3390/membranes13090751

[28]. Wei, J., Fan, W., & Sun, Y. (2023). MOFs for catalytic removal of organic pollutants: Mechanisms and strategies. *Journal of the Iranian Chemical Society, 20*, 1561–1587. https://doi.org/10.1186/s42825-023-00140-8

[29]. Xie, Y., Zhang, H., & Zhao, Q. (2024). The application of MOFs in water treatment (adsorption, membranes). *Nanomaterials, 14*(4), 887. https://doi.org/10.3390/nano14040887

[30]. Yang, W., Zhang, H., & Li, X. (2023). Adsorption performance of ZIF-8 on heavy-metal ions. *Journal of Solid State Chemistry, 328*, 123157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2023.123157

[31]. Yang, Y., Li, Z., & Dong, S. (2025). LMCT modulation in UiO-66 photocatalysis. *Sensors and Actuators Reports, 7*, 101002. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snr.2025.101002

[32]. Zadehahmadi, F., Miri, T., & Babaluo, A. (2023). MOF-based composites for metal removal. *Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology, 9*(3), 563–588. https://doi.org/10.1039/D2EW00941B

[33]. Zhang, X., Li, Y., & Park, J. (2022). Removal of Cr(VI) using UiO-66-NH2: pH window and regeneration. *Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering, 39*(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11814-021-1015-x

[34]. Zhang, C., Liu, Y., & Wang, D. (2025). MOF composites for photocatalysis: Synergistic effects. *Chemical Science, 16*(8), 2345–2368. https://doi.org/10.1039/D5SC03065J

[35]. Zhao, D., Cui, Y., & Sun, C. (2022). MOF-based fluorescent sensors for hazardous substances. *Biosensors, 12*(4), 251. https://doi.org/10.3390/bios12040251